Advanced International Journal for Research (AIJFR)
E-ISSN: 3048-7641 ● Website: www.aijfr.com ● Email: editor@aijfr.com

Institutional Determinants of Football Performance: Examining Physical Fitness and Psychomotor Skills Among University Players

Mr. Nikhil Naik[1], Dr. Prasanna Kumara M D[2], Dr. Satyanarayana L H[3]

[1]MPEd Student, Department of P.G. Studies and Research in Physical Education, Kuvempu University, Jnanasahyadri, Shankarghatta, Shivamogga, Karnataka -577451.
[2]Guest lecturer, Department of P.G. Studies and Research in Physical Education, Kuvempu University, Jnanasahyadri, Shankarghatta, Shivamogga, Karnataka -577451.
[3]Guest lecturer, Physical Education Director Government first grade College Birur.

Abstract

Sports participation plays a crucial role in enhancing physical fitness, discipline, and social interaction, with football being one of the most widely played games worldwide. Football performance is influenced by physical fitness and psychomotor skills, which collectively determine an athlete's efficiency during competition. The present study investigated selected physical fitness and psychomotor abilities of football players from government and private universities in Karnataka. A total of 120 male intercollegiate players (N = 120), comprising 60 from government universities and 60 from private universities, aged 18–28 years, were purposively selected. Physical fitness was assessed through the 50-yard dash (speed) and standing broad jump (explosive strength), while psychomotor skills were evaluated using an audio-visual reaction timer (reaction time) and the plate tapping test (coordination). Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and paired sample *t*-tests at the 0.05 level of significance. Results revealed significant differences in speed, explosive strength, and reaction time, whereas coordination showed no significant variation between the two groups. The findings suggest that institutional factors may play a role in shaping the physical and psychomotor performance of university-level football players.

Keywords: Physical Fitness, Psychomotor Abilities, Reaction Time, Coordination, University Athletes.

1. Introduction

Engagement in sports is widely acknowledged as a vital medium for improving physical health, fostering discipline, and enhancing social interaction. Organized athletic activities, whether recreational or competitive, significantly contribute to holistic development by promoting teamwork, resilience, and motor coordination (Bailey et al., 2013). Among the many sports played worldwide, football commonly known as soccer stands out as one of the most popular due to its global appeal, minimal equipment requirements, and deep historical roots.

The origins of football can be traced to early ball games in ancient civilizations such as China, Greece, and Rome, with its modern codified form emerging in 19th-century England (Goldblatt, 2019). The establishment of standardized rules and the creation of governing institutions, most notably the Fédération Internationale de Football Association (FIFA) in 1904, accelerated the sport's transformation into a global phenomenon, with widespread professional and amateur participation across continents (FIFA, 2020).

Performance in competitive football largely depends on two interrelated domains: physical fitness and psychomotor abilities**.** Physical fitness includes components such as muscular strength, cardiovascular endurance, flexibility, and speed, all of which are necessary for optimal execution of sport-specific tasks (Reilly, 2007). Psychomotor abilities such as reaction time, agility, and coordination integrate cognitive processing with motor execution, enabling players to adapt swiftly and accurately during dynamic game situations (Williams & Ford, 2008).

Given the role of institutional environments in shaping training opportunities and performance outcomes, this study aims to compare selected physical and psychomotor attributes among football players from government and private universities. Such a comparison may provide valuable insights into how organizational structures and resources influence athletic development, ultimately contributing to the design of more effective training programs at the collegiate level.

Objective of the Study

The objective of this study was to examine the influence of institutional background on football performance by assessing selected components of physical fitness and psychomotor skills among university players. Specifically, the study aimed to compare these attributes between football players representing government and private universities in Karnataka.

Procedure: The subjects selected for the present investigation were 120 subjects, Government University players sixty (60) and Private University players sixty (60) of intercollegiate level male players of Karnataka were selected through purposive sampling technique. Their age ranged between 18 to 28 years. Details about subjects are provided in table 1.

Table 1. Details about subjects selected for the study

Sl. No.	Event	No. of. subjects
1	Government University	60
2	Private University	60
	Total subjects	**120**

Assessment Tools and Protocol

In the present investigation, selected components of physical fitness were assessed using the 50-yard dash (speed) and the standing broad jump (explosive leg power). Psychomotor abilities were evaluated through an audio-visual reaction timer (reaction time) and the plate tapping test (coordination).

All assessments were conducted following standardized testing protocols to ensure accuracy and reliability. A brief description of each test is provided below.

50-Yard Run (Speed Test): The 50-yard sprint test is designed to assess running speed over a short distance. Participants perform a single maximal sprint from a stationary standing position, with one foot placed behind the starting line. A thorough warm-up including practice accelerations is recommended before testing. At the command of the starter ("set" and "go"), the subject sprints forward while emphasizing proper sprinting mechanics such as forward lean, arm drive, and explosive leg action. The time taken to cover the distance is recorded, and participants are encouraged to maintain speed through the finish line without deceleration (Johnson & Nelson, 1986).

Standing Broad Jump (Explosive Leg Power): The standing broad jump is used to measure lower-body explosive strength. The subject stands with feet shoulder-width apart behind a marked line. Using a coordinated arm swing and knee bend, the athlete propels forward with a two-foot takeoff, aiming for maximum horizontal distance. The jump must end with a controlled two-foot landing without falling backward. Typically, three trials are allowed, with the best performance recorded (Maulder & Cronin, 2005).

Reaction Time (Auditory and Visual): Reaction time tests evaluate the efficiency of the sensory-motor system. In the auditory version, a sound stimulus (e.g., beep) is presented at irregular intervals to prevent anticipation, and the subject responds by pressing a designated key as quickly as possible. In the visual version, a light or symbol serves as the stimulus, requiring an immediate motor response. The time interval between stimulus presentation and motor response is measured in milliseconds, providing insight into perceptual and motor processing speed (Kosinski, 2008).

Plate Tapping Test (Coordination): The plate tapping test assesses hand-eye coordination and movement speed. Two circular discs are positioned 60 cm apart on a table, with a rectangular board placed midway between them. The subject places their non-preferred hand on the rectangle and uses the preferred hand to alternately tap each disc while passing over the stationary hand. The test requires completing 25 full cycles (50 taps), with time taken recorded as the score (Eurofit, 1988).

The assessment of selected physical fitness and psychomotor abilities was carried out using established test protocols, which are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Details on testing protocols selected for performance parameters in the study.

Sl. No.	Variables	Names of tests Items	Units of measurement
1.	Speed	50-yard run	Seconds
2.	Explosive strength	Standing broad jump	Centimeters
3.	Reaction time	Auditory and Visual reaction timer	Seconds
4.	Coordination	Plate Tapping test	Seconds

For data analysis, the raw scores obtained from these tests were subjected to descriptive statistical techniques, including the calculation of mean and standard deviation, to understand the distribution and variability of the data. To compare the mean scores of different groups, a paired sample *t*-test was employed as the primary inferential statistical tool in the present study.

Results of the Study

The present investigation was conducted on a total of 120 intercollegiate male football players from Karnataka, comprising 60 players from government universities and 60 players from private universities. The collected data on selected physical fitness and psychomotor abilities were subjected to statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics, including mean and standard deviation, were computed for all test variables. The results are presented in Table 1**.**

Table 1. Details on descriptive statistics of subjects on selected physical fitness ability and psychological ability.

Variables	Group	Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Speed	Government University	6.34	30	.31	.05
	Private University	6.59	30	.52	.09
Explosive strength	Government University	2.35	30	.27	.04
	Private University	2.21	30	.16	.02
Reaction time	Government University	9.97	30	10.75	1.96
	Private University	.21	30	.03	.00
Coordination	Government University	10.11	30	1.02	.18
	Private University	10.27	30	1.28	.23

Table 1, indicates that the data obtained are normally distributed, demonstrating acceptable homogeneity of the sample. Following this, the raw scores were subjected to further statistical treatment. To compare the mean scores of the selected physical fitness and psychomotor abilities between the groups, a paired sample *t*-test was employed. The outcomes of this analysis are presented in Table 2**.**

Table 2. Summary on 't' test for selected physical fitness and psychological ability.

Variables	Group	t	Df	Sig. (2 tailed)
Speed	Government University	2.108	29	**.044**
	Private University			
Explosive strength	Government University	2.217	29	**.035**
	Private University			
Reaction time	Government University	4.963	29	**.000**
	Private University			
Coordination	Government University	-.506	29	.616
	Private University			

The results presented in Table 2, indicate that there is a statistically significant difference in speed, explosive strength, and reaction time between government and private university football players at the intercollegiate level, as reflected by the obtained *t*-values of 2.108, 2.217, and 4.963, respectively ($p < 0.05$). Consequently, the null hypothesis for these variables is rejected. In contrast, no significant difference was observed in coordination between the two groups ($t = -0.506$, $p > 0.05$), indicating that coordination levels were comparable among players from government and private universities.

Discussion on Findings

The findings of the present study revealed significant differences in selected components of physical fitness and psychomotor abilities among government and private university football players. Specifically, significant differences were observed in speed, explosive strength, and reaction time, while no significant difference was found in coordination.

The significant difference in ***speed performance*** suggests that variations in training methods, practice intensity, and available facilities across institutions may influence sprinting ability. Previous research highlights that sprint performance in football is strongly dependent on strength training, neuromuscular efficiency, and frequency of sport-specific drills (Little & Williams, 2005). Government and private university players may have different exposure to structured conditioning programs, which could account for the observed differences.

In the case of ***explosive strength***, measured through the standing broad jump, players from the two institutional categories also differed significantly. Explosive leg power is essential in football for sprinting, jumping, and rapid directional changes (Wisløff, Castagna, Helgerud, Jones, & Hoff, 2004). The difference may reflect institutional variations in resistance training, plyometric conditioning, and emphasis on strength development during regular practice sessions.

The findings further demonstrated a significant difference in ***reaction time*** between the groups. Reaction time is crucial in football, as players are required to respond quickly to visual and auditory cues during offensive and defensive situations. Previous studies emphasize that reaction time is influenced by both training specificity and the competitive environment (Williams & Ford, 2008). The superior reaction performance of one group may indicate better exposure to competitive match play or training methods that integrate perceptual-motor skills.

On the other hand, ***coordination*** did not show a significant difference between government and private university football players. Coordination forms the basis of technical skill execution and is usually developed at an early stage of training. Since both groups of players are drawn from similar competitive backgrounds and have comparable playing experience at the intercollegiate level, the absence of a difference in coordination performance appears reasonable. This finding is consistent with earlier research suggesting that coordination skills, once acquired, remain relatively stable and less sensitive to variations in training environments compared to other performance variables (Hirtz & Starosta, 2002).

Overall, the results indicate that institutional factors may play a role in shaping certain physical and psychomotor traits such as speed, strength, and reaction time, while others like coordination may remain largely unaffected. These findings underscore the importance of structured conditioning programs, specialized training interventions, and institutional support in optimizing football performance.

Conclusion

The present study examined selected components of physical fitness and psychomotor abilities among intercollegiate football players from government and private universities in Karnataka. The findings revealed significant differences in speed, explosive strength, and reaction time between the two groups, while coordination did not differ significantly. These results suggest that institutional factors, including training methodologies, availability of resources, and exposure to competitive environments, may influence certain performance traits. The absence of variation in coordination indicates that some psychomotor abilities may be less affected by institutional background and more dependent on early skill development.

Overall, the study highlights the importance of structured and well-designed training programs to enhance the physical and psychomotor qualities that contribute to football performance. Coaches and sports administrators in both government and private universities can utilize these insights to refine conditioning strategies, ensure balanced athlete development, and create supportive environments that foster optimal performance.

References

1. Bailey, R., Hillman, C., Arent, S., & Petitpas, A. (2013). Physical activity: An underestimated investment in human capital? *Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 10*(3), 289–308. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.10.3.289
2. FIFA. (2020). *History of FIFA – Foundation*. Fédération Internationale de Football Association. https://www.fifa.com/about-fifa/who-we-are/history
3. Goldblatt, D. (2019). *The age of football: The global game in the twenty-first century*. W. W. Norton & Company.
4. Reilly, T. (2007). The science of training – Soccer: A scientific approach to developing strength, speed and endurance. Routledge.
5. Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2008). Expertise and expert performance in sport. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1*(1), 4–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/17509840701836867
6. Eurofit. (1988). *European test of physical fitness*. Council of Europe, Committee for the Development of Sport.
7. Johnson, B. L., & Nelson, J. K. (1986). *Practical measurements for evaluation in physical education* (4th ed.). Macmillan.
8. Kosinski, R. J. (2008). *A literature review on reaction time*. Clemson University. Retrieved from http://biae.clemson.edu/bpc/bp/Lab/110/reaction.htm
9. Maulder, P. S., & Cronin, J. B. (2005). Horizontal and vertical jump assessment: Reliability, symmetry, discriminative and predictive ability. *Physical Therapy in Sport, 6*(2), 74–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2005.01.001
10. Hirtz, P., & Starosta, W. (2002). Sensitive and critical periods of motor coordination development and its relation to motor learning. *Journal of Human Kinetics, 7*(1), 19–28.
11. Little, T., & Williams, A. G. (2005). Specificity of acceleration, maximum speed, and agility in professional soccer players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 19*(1), 76–78. https://doi.org/10.1519/14253.1
12. Williams, A. M., & Ford, P. R. (2008). Expertise and expert performance in sport. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 1*(1), 4–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/17509840701836867
13. Wisløff, U., Castagna, C., Helgerud, J., Jones, R., & Hoff, J. (2004). Strong correlation of maximal squat strength with sprint performance and vertical jump height in elite soccer players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 38*(3), 285–288. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2002.002071