

The Role of Storytelling as Resistance in Indigenous and Tribal Literatures (in English)

Md. Aslam Parwez

Assistant Professor, Dept. of English, Jagjiwan College, Arrah, VKSU, Arrah, Bhojpur, Bihar

Email- profaslam82@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6205-3595>

Abstract

Storytelling has long been a central mode of cultural expression among Indigenous and tribal communities, serving as a repository of history, memory, and values. In the context of colonial and postcolonial oppression, storytelling emerges as a form of resistance, asserting the autonomy, identity, and epistemologies of marginalised peoples. This paper examines the role of storytelling in Indigenous and tribal literatures written in English, highlighting how narrative practices reclaim histories, challenge dominant discourses, and articulate collective memory. Drawing on postcolonial theory, Indigenous studies, and critical literary frameworks, the article analyses works by authors such as Leslie Marmon Silko, Sherman Alexie, Arundhati Subramaniam, and Jeet Thayil, emphasising the political, cultural, and aesthetic dimensions of storytelling as resistance. The discussion underscores the transformative potential of literature to confront erasure, foster cultural continuity, and envision alternative social realities.

Keywords: Indigenous literature, tribal narratives, storytelling, resistance, postcolonial literature, cultural memory

1. Introduction

Storytelling has been the cornerstone of Indigenous and tribal cultures, functioning as a vehicle for preserving collective memory, transmitting knowledge, and reinforcing communal identity. Oral traditions, myths, legends, and folktales are not merely aesthetic expressions; they are deeply embedded in social, spiritual, and ecological frameworks. In many Indigenous and tribal communities, stories mediate relationships with land, ancestors, and cosmology, creating continuity across generations.

The imposition of colonial rule disrupted these oral traditions, often marginalising or criminalising Indigenous knowledge systems. In India, the colonial and postcolonial education system promoted English as the dominant language of literacy, governance, and literature, leading to the suppression of local and tribal languages. Similarly, in the Americas, Australian Aboriginal communities, and Africa, European colonisers sought to erase Indigenous epistemologies by privileging written and codified knowledge over oral expression.

In response to these systemic attempts at cultural erasure, Indigenous and tribal writers have turned to storytelling in English as a medium of resistance. By writing in the language of the colonizer, they subvert its authority, assert the validity of Indigenous worldviews, and negotiate visibility within a global literary sphere. The role of storytelling in such literatures is thus multifaceted: it functions as an instrument of resistance, a site for cultural survival, and a means of political critique.

This article explores how Indigenous and tribal literatures written in English employ storytelling to challenge oppression, preserve cultural identity, and reclaim historical narratives. It examines the strategies, themes, and aesthetic techniques through which these narratives assert resistance and articulate alternative epistemologies.

Theoretical Framework: Storytelling and Resistance

The study of Indigenous and tribal storytelling as resistance draws upon postcolonial theory, subaltern studies, and Indigenous scholarship. Homi Bhabha's notion of the "third space" is particularly relevant, as it conceptualises a space where hybrid identities and alternative narratives can flourish, resisting colonial cultural impositions. Storytelling in English by Indigenous authors operates in this third space, negotiating between vernacular traditions and the dominant literary canon.

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's advocacy for writing in indigenous languages highlights the tension inherent in using a colonial language for cultural expression. While English enables broader readership and visibility, it also carries the historical weight of domination. Indigenous and tribal authors navigate this tension by infusing English prose with oral traditions, idiomatic expressions, and culturally specific structures, thereby resisting linguistic hegemony.

Linda Tuhiwai Smith's *Decolonizing Methodologies* (1999) underscores that Indigenous knowledge production is inherently political. Storytelling, whether oral or written, operates as a method of reclaiming historical narratives, resisting epistemic violence, and asserting agency over cultural representation. Similarly, postcolonial theorists such as Gayatri Spivak emphasises that subaltern voices must be mediated with care to avoid misrepresentation or erasure, highlighting the ethical responsibility embedded in storytelling practices.

Storytelling as Resistance: Key Strategies and Themes

Reclaiming History and Memory

One of the primary functions of storytelling in Indigenous and tribal literatures is the reclamation of history. Authors like Leslie Marmon Silko (*Ceremony*, 1977) reconstruct Native American experiences of colonisation, forced assimilation, and land dispossession through narrative forms that blend myth, memory, and contemporary reality. Silko's work resists dominant historical narratives, foregrounding Indigenous perspectives often absent in official accounts. Similarly, Sherman Alexie's short stories and novels, such as *The Lone Ranger and Tonto Fistfight in Heaven* (1993), narrate Native American life with humour, irony, and pain, challenging stereotypes and asserting the complexity of contemporary Indigenous identities.

Preservation of Cultural Knowledge

Storytelling also functions as a repository of cultural knowledge, including ecological practices, spiritual beliefs, and social norms. Arundhati Subramaniam and other tribal writers often embed oral idioms, folk motifs, and mythic structures within English narratives, thereby preserving cultural knowledge while resisting assimilation. By translating oral traditions into written forms, these authors make Indigenous epistemologies legible to a broader audience without compromising authenticity.

Resistance Through Narrative Form

Indigenous and tribal storytelling frequently disrupts conventional literary forms, reflecting the fluidity and performativity of oral traditions. Non-linear narratives, cyclical structures, and embedded myths are commonly employed to challenge Western narrative conventions. Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis* (2012), while focused on marginalised urban communities, draws on fragmented and layered storytelling techniques reminiscent of oral performance, illustrating how narrative form itself becomes a site of resistance.

Political and Social Critique

Many Indigenous narratives engage directly with social and political oppression. By highlighting issues such as land rights, environmental degradation, gendered violence, and cultural marginalisation, storytelling becomes an activist tool. The narratives resist colonial and neocolonial structures by documenting injustice, celebrating resilience, and asserting rights to self-determination. This dual function of storytelling-as cultural preservation and political intervention-underscores its transformative potential.

Case Studies in Contemporary English Literature

The study of storytelling as a form of resistance in Indigenous and tribal literatures is best understood through close engagement with specific literary texts. Contemporary English-language writers from Indigenous and tribal backgrounds employ diverse narrative strategies to assert cultural identity, critique oppression, and reclaim history. A few prominent authors exemplify these practices, revealing the multiplicity of approaches through which storytelling functions as resistance.

Leslie Marmon Silko: Myth, Memory, and Cultural Continuity

Leslie Marmon Silko's *Ceremony* (1977) stands as a foundational text in Native American literature. The novel interweaves personal narrative, communal history, and mythic storytelling to depict the psychological and cultural trauma inflicted by colonialism and war. Silko's protagonist, Tayo, a Laguna Pueblo veteran of World War II, returns to his reservation physically and spiritually scarred. Through the narrative's interlacing of myth and lived experience, Silko resists linear, Western historiography that often erases Indigenous experiences. The stories of Coyote, ancestral myths, and oral traditions are not merely decorative; they function as active agents of healing and resistance, offering alternative epistemologies that affirm communal values and reconnect Tayo with his cultural roots. By privileging Indigenous narrative forms within an English-language text, Silko demonstrates how storytelling can both preserve

cultural memory and challenge colonial frameworks that seek to marginalise or homogenise Indigenous knowledge.

Sherman Alexie: Humor, Irony, and Contemporary Indigenous Identity

Sherman Alexie's *The Lone Ranger and Tonto Fistfight in Heaven* (1993) exemplifies the use of humor, irony, and fragmented narrative to resist stereotypical representations of Native Americans. Alexie's stories foreground contemporary Indigenous life, addressing poverty, alcoholism, urban migration, and systemic discrimination. The fragmented structure of the collection mirrors the disjointed experiences of reservation life, while the use of vernacular and oral storytelling rhythms underscores cultural continuity despite colonisation. Alexie's narrative voice, blending satire and poignant social critique, challenges both literary and societal conventions that have historically relegated Native Americans to marginal roles. In doing so, the text embodies resistance by reclaiming the power of Indigenous storytelling to articulate lived realities on its own terms, asserting agency and visibility within a broader literary landscape.

Arundhati Subramaniam: Oral Inflections and Folk Rhythms

Although primarily known as a poet, Arundhati Subramaniam's engagement with tribal and Indigenous idioms in English-language literature highlights the possibilities of translating oral traditions into written form. In collections such as *Where I Live* (2005), Subramaniam incorporates folk rhythms, local metaphors, and the narrative cadences of tribal communities. This strategy not only preserves the sonic and cultural texture of oral storytelling but also subverts English as a neutral medium, transforming it into a vessel for Indigenous epistemologies. By embedding vernacular sensibilities and narrative patterns within English, Subramaniam resists the homogenising tendencies of colonial language while expanding the aesthetic and political scope of contemporary literature. The stories, often meditative and lyrical, foreground the interconnectedness of land, community, and identity, reinforcing storytelling as a vehicle for cultural survival.

Jeet Thayil: Fragmentation, Performance, and Marginality

Jeet Thayil's *Narcopolis* (2012) exemplifies storytelling as resistance through innovative narrative form. While the novel primarily chronicles the lives of marginalised urban communities in Bombay, its fragmented, layered, and performative narrative strategies resonate with Indigenous and tribal storytelling methods. Thayil's prose integrates multiple voices, temporalities, and perspectives, reflecting the fluid and non-linear nature of oral traditions. The text challenges conventional English novelistic structures, asserting that marginalised voices—whether tribal, urban poor, or otherwise disenfranchised—deserve literary representation on their own terms. Thayil's focus on addiction, poverty, and social invisibility parallels the broader concerns of Indigenous literature, highlighting the interplay between narrative form and political resistance. By privileging lived experience over codified literary norms, the novel affirms storytelling as an act of both cultural reclamation and social critique.

Common Threads and Divergent Strategies

Across these authors, several commonalities emerge. First, storytelling operates as a **mode of resistance against historical and ongoing marginalisation**, whether through mythic reconstruction, humour, or vernacular inflection. Second, narrative form itself becomes a tool of resistance: non-linear, fragmented, and performative techniques challenge Western literary conventions and foreground Indigenous epistemologies. Third, the content of the narratives—whether addressing land dispossession, cultural erasure, social marginalisation, or identity negotiation—asserts the political stakes of storytelling, emphasising that literature is inseparable from lived social realities.

At the same time, each author demonstrates divergent strategies suited to their cultural, temporal, and geographic contexts. Silko foregrounds myth and ritual as restorative forces; Alexie employs irony and satire to confront contemporary social injustices; Subramaniam integrates oral rhythms and vernacular sensibilities into English poetry; Thayil uses fragmentation and polyphony to reflect urban marginality. Together, these case studies illustrate the rich diversity of storytelling practices in Indigenous and tribal literatures, highlighting their adaptability, resilience, and transformative potential.

Conclusion

Storytelling in Indigenous and tribal literatures written in English functions as a potent form of resistance. It reclaims histories, preserves cultural knowledge, interrogates colonial and postcolonial power structures, and asserts the visibility and agency of marginalised communities. By blending oral traditions, vernacular inflections, and hybrid narrative forms, these authors challenge dominant literary norms and reconstruct Indigenous epistemologies within global discourse.

The act of storytelling, therefore, is simultaneously cultural, political, and aesthetic. It embodies resistance not only in content but also in form, asserting that Indigenous and tribal voices will continue to survive, adapt, and transform despite historical and ongoing attempts at erasure. Contemporary English-language Indigenous literature exemplifies the resilience, creativity, and transformative potential of storytelling as resistance.

References

1. Alexie, S. (1993). *The Lone Ranger and Tonto Fistfight in Heaven*. New York: Atlantic Monthly Press.
2. Bhabha, H.K. (1994). *The Location of Culture*. London: Routledge.
3. Silko, L.M. (1977). *Ceremony*. New York: Viking Press.
4. Smith, L.T. (1999). *Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples*. London: Zed Books.
5. Thayil, J. (2012). *Narcopolis*. London: Faber & Faber.
6. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. (1986). *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. London: James Currey.
7. Subramaniam, A. (2005). *Where I Live*. New Delhi: Penguin India.
8. Spivak, G.C. (1988). "Can the Subaltern Speak?" in *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, eds. Cary Nelson & Lawrence Grossberg. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.