

Servant Leadership Reduces Attrition: Evidence Against Autocratic Leadership Style in Rural Ghanaian Health Facilities

Chioma Miracle Ogbozor¹, Dr Pooja Vishnoi²

¹Doctoral Research Scholar, UCAM/Exeed College

²Faculty, UCAM/Exeed College

Abstract

Background

Healthcare worker attrition remains a major challenge in rural Ghana, undermining access to quality care and continuity of services. While financial and infrastructural constraints have received extensive attention, the role of leadership in shaping workforce motivation and retention is less understood. This study investigates how different leadership styles influence healthcare worker satisfaction, engagement, and intent to remain in rural health facilities.

Methods

A mixed-methods design was adopted, combining quantitative and qualitative data. Structured Likert-scale surveys were administered to 220 healthcare professionals, complemented by open-ended responses from 74 participants. Quantitative analysis employed correlation and regression techniques to examine the impact of transformational, servant, democratic, participative, and autocratic leadership on job satisfaction and retention outcomes. Qualitative responses were analyzed thematically to contextualize the statistical patterns observed.

Results

The findings revealed a clear contrast between servant and autocratic leadership styles. Servant leadership demonstrated strong negative correlations with attrition intent (e.g., $r = -0.29$ for trust) and positive correlations with intent to stay ($r = +0.44$ for well-being), indicating that empathy, trust, and staff-centered support enhance motivation and loyalty. Conversely, autocratic leadership showed positive correlations with attrition ($r = +0.30$ for rule enforcement) and negative correlations with intent to stay ($r \approx -0.11$), signifying that rigid, fear-driven supervision erodes morale and engagement. Qualitative narratives reinforced these patterns, describing servant and participative leaders as supportive and communicative, and autocratic leaders as intimidating and disengaging.

Conclusion

Servant, participative, and democratic leadership styles promote psychological safety, teamwork, and retention, whereas autocratic leadership accelerates turnover. Embedding empathy, inclusion, and feedback into leadership development is critical for strengthening Ghana's rural health workforce. Cultivating servant and participative leadership can foster organizational learning, resilience, and sustainability—key enablers of Universal Health Coverage in resource-limited settings.

Keywords: Healthcare retention, inclusive governance, human-centered leadership, staff morale, rural workforce sustainability

1. Literature Review

Ghana's rural healthcare system is facing persistent workforce shortages that threaten equitable access to quality care. Despite ongoing recruitment and training efforts, retention remains a challenge, especially in underserved regions (Afari, Asare and Oduro, 2021). While structural and financial barriers have received attention, recent scholarship suggests that leadership styles within healthcare institutions may significantly shape workers' motivation and intent to stay (Asamani, Naab and Ansah Ofei, 2015). For example, Asamani et al. (2015) found that autocratic leadership was associated with increased burnout among nurses in Ghana. Agyepong and Adjei (2021) highlighted disparities in workforce retention between urban and rural settings, pointing to non-financial factors such as leadership and workplace culture. Adeyemi and Bello (2022) compared rural healthcare leadership styles across West Africa and noted that servant leadership improves team cohesion and retention. This study contributes to the discourse on effective leadership in health workforce management, particularly in low-resource settings. It investigates the influence of leadership style on healthcare worker retention in rural Ghana, with a specific focus on contrasting the effects of servant and autocratic leadership. The study supports Ghana's national health agenda to strengthen rural systems and deliver equitable healthcare (Abekah-Nkrumah et al., 2023).

This paper contributes to the discourse on effective leadership in health workforce management, particularly in low-resource settings. Ghana's rural healthcare system is facing persistent workforce shortages that threaten equitable access to quality care. Despite ongoing recruitment and training efforts, retention remains a challenge, especially in underserved regions (Afari, Asare and Oduro, 2021). While structural and financial barriers have received attention, recent scholarship suggests that leadership styles within healthcare institutions may significantly shape workers' motivation and intent to stay (Asamani, Naab and Ansah Ofei, 2015). This study investigates the influence of leadership style on healthcare worker retention in rural Ghana. It pays particular attention to servant leadership and autocratic leadership, contrasting their impacts on attrition intent, job satisfaction, and staff motivation. The study supports Ghana's national health agenda to strengthen rural systems and deliver equitable healthcare (Abekah-Nkrumah et al., 2023).

2. Background and Problem Statement

Healthcare worker attrition is one of the most pressing challenges facing Ghana's rural health sector. Attrition reduces continuity of care, weakens team cohesion, and escalates health inequities in already underserved areas (Asante and Donkor, 2023). Multiple factors contribute to this trend, including:

- Under-resourced environments: Rural facilities often lack essential infrastructure, equipment, and consistent supplies, creating stressful conditions for healthcare workers (Agyepong and Adjei, 2021).

- Limited career growth opportunities: Many rural postings offer few options for continuing professional development or promotion, which discourages long-term commitment (Afari, Asare and Oduro, 2021).
- Ineffective leadership: A growing body of literature highlights the pivotal role of leadership in staff satisfaction, morale, and retention (Asamani, Naab and Ansah Ofei, 2015).

This study aligns with Ghana's broader health system goals by providing evidence on how leadership style impacts staff retention, thereby informing managerial practices in rural contexts (Abekah-Nkrumah et al., 2023).

3. Research Objective

This study investigates how different leadership styles influence healthcare worker retention in rural Ghana, with a focus on comparing the effects of servant and autocratic leadership on attrition intent and motivation. This objective is informed by earlier research suggesting that while servant leadership fosters psychological safety and a sense of belonging, autocratic leadership may cultivate disengagement and turnover (Adeyemi and Bello, 2022).

4. Methodology

To assess leadership styles and their effect on attrition, the study employed a mixed-methods design involving both quantitative and qualitative data collection.

- **Quantitative survey:** Administered to 220 healthcare workers in rural facilities across Ghana. The survey utilized Likert-scale items adapted from validated tools, including the Servant Leadership Questionnaire (SLQ) and the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) (Barbuto and Wheeler, 2006; Bass and Avolio, 1995).

Respondents included nurses, community health officers (CHOs), midwives, and facility managers across the Northern, Upper West, Bono, and Eastern regions of Ghana, with a balanced representation of both male and female healthcare workers.

These tools are widely used in organizational research and have strong internal consistency and construct validity. They allowed measurement of leadership traits such as empathy, empowerment, rule-orientation, and consultation.

- **Variables measured:**
 - Leadership style perceptions
 - Job satisfaction
 - Motivation
 - Intent to stay or leave
- **Qualitative component:** Open-ended responses were collected from 74 participants, capturing real-life experiences of healthcare workers under various leadership types. These responses offered narrative context to complement the numeric findings.
- **Data analysis:** The study applied descriptive statistics and correlation analysis to examine the statistical relationship between leadership styles and attrition intent. Correlation coefficients (Pearson's r) were used to interpret the strength and direction of relationships.

• Leadership styles assessed:

- Transformational
- Servant
- Democratic
- Autocratic

5. Key Findings

The data confirmed the contrasting effects of servant and autocratic leadership styles on healthcare worker retention:

- Servant leadership showed negative correlations with attrition intent (e.g., $r = -0.29$ for "Servant Trust") and positive correlations with intent to stay (e.g., $r = +0.44$ for "Servant Well-being"). These findings suggest that servant leaders promote environments of empathy, trust, and psychological safety (Senge, 2006).
- Autocratic leadership exhibited the reverse pattern, with positive correlations with attrition intent (e.g., $r = +0.30$ for "Autocratic Rules") and negative correlations with motivation and intent to stay (e.g., $r = -0.11$). These outcomes reinforce that rigid, top-down leadership erodes engagement and increases emotional fatigue (Adeyemi and Bello, 2022).

Supporting Data:

Leadership Item	Mean	Std. Dev.	Correlation with Attrition	Correlation with Intent to Stay
Servant Well-being	2.78	1.31	-0.17	+0.44
Servant Trust	3.39	1.26	-0.29	+0.35
Autocratic Consultation	2.40	1.38	+0.20	-0.10
Autocratic Fear	2.59	1.43	+0.19	-0.10
Autocratic Rules	2.67	1.41	+0.30	-0.11

These correlations reveal a clear pattern: servant leadership promotes retention, while autocratic leadership contributes to staff turnover.

6. Implications for Leadership in Learning Organizations

The findings underscore how leadership style shapes organizational learning environments:

- **Servant leadership** creates psychologically safe spaces where feedback is encouraged, staff feel valued, and learning is continuous. This aligns with Senge's (2006) concept of the "learning organization," where systems thinking, shared vision, and team learning are essential pillars.

- **Autocratic leadership**, by contrast, suppresses feedback loops, promotes fear-based cultures, and hinders innovation. These environments discourage adaptive learning and limit knowledge sharing, thus increasing the risk of staff attrition (Senge, 2006).

In rural healthcare systems, where innovation and teamwork are vital for resilience, promoting servant leadership can support a culture of mutual learning and collective problem-solving.

7. Policy Recommendations

Informed by both statistical and narrative evidence, the following recommendations are proposed at two levels of intervention:

Based on the study's findings, the following multi-level recommendations are proposed:

System-Level Interventions:

- Integrate human-centered leadership into MOH/GHS strategies: National training programs should embed servant leadership competencies such as listening, empathy, and shared decision-making (Asamani, Naab and Ansah Ofei, 2015).
- Establish a national leadership development framework: Focused programs should target rural health managers and provide coaching, mentorship, and continuous leadership assessment (Agyepong and Adjei, 2021).
- Align with health equity and retention goals: Leadership interventions should be designed to complement Ghana's rural retention strategies and broader universal health coverage goals (Abekah-Nkrumah et al., 2023).

Facility-Level Interventions:

- Conduct regular leadership evaluations: Routine assessments can monitor leader effectiveness and hold managers accountable for staff well-being and retention outcomes.
- Encourage participatory decision-making: Staff involvement in operational planning improves ownership and boosts morale (Afari, Asare and Oduro, 2021).
- Empower facility leaders to support staff motivation: Resources and autonomy should be provided to managers to recognize good performance, mediate conflict, and promote staff development (Adeyemi and Bello, 2022).

These measures, if effectively implemented, can transform rural facilities into enabling environments that attract and retain health professionals.

8. Conclusion

This study provides strong evidence that **servant leadership reduces attrition** in Ghanaian rural healthcare settings. It offers a model for empathetic, trust-based leadership that promotes engagement, reduces emotional exhaustion, and increases retention. Autocratic leadership, while still present in many facilities, is associated with higher attrition intent and demotivation. By embedding human-centered leadership principles into national and facility-level policies, Ghana can move closer to building an equitable, resilient, and people-centered rural health system.

References

1. Abekah-Nkrumah, G., Salifu, Y., Afriyie, D. and Adomah-Afari, A. (2023) 'Systemic inequities in healthcare distribution: Insights from Ghana's healthcare workforce', *Human Resources for Health*, 21(3), pp. 19–34.
2. Adeyemi, O. and Bello, M. (2022) 'Leadership styles in rural health services: A comparative analysis', *Journal of Rural Health Leadership*, 45(3), pp. 189–198.
3. Afari, J., Asare, P. and Oduro, R. (2021) 'The effectiveness of rural incentive schemes on healthcare worker retention in Ghana', *BMC Health Services Research*, 21(1), pp. 210–223.
4. Agyepong, I.A. and Adjei, S. (2021) 'Urban-rural disparities in health workforce retention in Ghana', *Journal of Health Management*, 23(2), pp. 78–90.
5. Asante, A. and Donkor, P. (2023) 'Healthcare worker attrition in Ghana: A focus on rural facilities', *Human Resources for Health*, 21(2), pp. 102–114.
6. Asamani, J.A., Naab, F. and Ansah Ofei, A.M. (2015) 'Leadership styles in nursing management: Implications for staff outcomes', *Journal of Health Management*, 17(4), pp. 537–550.
7. Bass, B.M. and Avolio, B.J. (1995) *MLQ Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire*. 2nd edn. Palo Alto: Mind Garden.
8. Barbuto, J.E. and Wheeler, D.W. (2006) 'Scale development and construct clarification of servant leadership', *Group & Organization Management*, 31(3), pp. 300–326.
9. Senge, P.M. (2006) *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization*. 2nd edn. New York: Doubleday/Currency.