

Nutritional support for patients in critical care: Obstacles, Approaches, and Recent developments

**Sanjay Kumar Mishra¹, Rakesh Ranjan², Dr. Suman Kumari³,
Sukriti kumari⁴**

¹Research Scholar, Post Graduate Department of Home Science- Food & Nutrition, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

²Research Scholar, University Department of Home Science (Food & Nutrition), Patliputra University, Patna, Bihar, India.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Home Science (Food & Nutrition), Mahila College Khagaul, Patna, Bihar, India.

⁴Research Scholar, University Department of Home Science (Food & Nutrition), Patna University, Patna, Bihar, India.

Email: skmishra1973@rediffmail.com, dr_rranjan@yahoo.com, drsuman2038@gmail.com, & sukriti.thakur23@gmail.com.

Abstract

Nutritional support is an essential aspect of managing patients in intensive care units (ICUs). Critical illness induces a hyper-metabolic state, resulting in considerable nutritional requirements and muscle loss. Nutritional support for critically ill patients encompasses strategies such as initiating early enteral nutrition (EN) within 24–48 hours to preserve gut integrity and avert complications, along with the application of specialized formulas or immunonutrients to satisfy hypermetabolic requirements. Effective nutritional interventions can enhance clinical outcomes, shorten the duration of mechanical ventilation, and facilitate overall recovery. Nevertheless, providing sufficient nutrition to critically ill patients poses numerous challenges, including the patient's unstable condition, fluctuating metabolic needs, gastrointestinal dysfunction, and the complexities involved in achieving precise caloric and protein targets, which are approximately 25–30 kcal/kg/day and 1.2–2.0 g/kg/day, respectively.

Recent advancements are concentrating on personalized nutrition, with growing evidence endorsing the use of indirect calorimetry for accurate measurement of energy requirements, and an increased focus on functional and physical recovery outcomes alongside survival. The significance of early enteral nutrition and the creation of specialized formulas have contributed to enhanced patient care. Approaches such as personalized nutrition, immunonutrition, and monitoring tools like indirect calorimetry have become vital elements of nutrition management in the ICU. Furthermore, managing critically ill patients with co-morbidities, such as sepsis or multi-organ failure, necessitates customized strategies to avert malnutrition and prevent overfeeding.

This review emphasizes the primary challenges related to nutritional support in critical care, the current strategies utilized to optimize nutrition, and the recent developments in the field. By implementing evidence-based practices alongside personalized care, nutritional support can improve patient recovery, decrease ICU duration, and reduce morbidity and mortality rates.

Keywords: Critical care, Nutritional support, Hyper-metabolism.

1. Introduction:

Nutritional support is essential in the management of critically ill patients, forming a crucial component of patient care within intensive care units (ICUs). Critical illness profoundly impacts metabolism, resulting in a hyper-metabolic and catabolic condition that contributes to accelerated muscle loss, immune system impairment, and extended recovery periods. The main objective of nutritional support for critically ill patients is to deliver sufficient macro- and micronutrients to satisfy the heightened metabolic requirements and to avert or lessen the consequences of malnutrition. Malnutrition among critically ill patients correlates with poorer clinical outcomes, such as increased vulnerability to infections, prolonged mechanical ventilation, longer ICU and hospital admissions, and elevated mortality rates. Consequently, timely and suitable nutritional intervention can significantly enhance these outcomes. The difficulty arises from the intricacies of providing adequate nutrition to patients who are frequently hemodynamically unstable, on mechanical ventilation, or experiencing gastrointestinal complications. Nutritional requirements also differ markedly based on the underlying illness, co-morbid conditions, and the stage of critical illness (acute versus recovery).

In recent years, advancements in critical care nutrition have equipped clinicians with improved tools and strategies to tackle these challenges. Innovations like early enteral nutrition, personalized caloric goals determined by indirect calorimetry, and the incorporation of immunomodulating nutrients have contributed to enhanced nutritional management in the ICU environment. Furthermore, guidelines from critical care organizations are continually being updated, providing revised recommendations regarding the timing, method, and formulation of nutritional support.

Lack of nutrition and its impact on critically ill patients:

In critically ill patients, inadequate nutrition significantly heightens the risk of negative outcomes and hinders recovery. Critical illness initiates a hyper-metabolic and catabolic response, marked by elevated energy requirements and accelerated degradation of muscle proteins. In the absence of sufficient nutritional support, patients undergo rapid depletion of their body's energy reserves and lean muscle mass, which has serious implications for their overall health and recovery potential.

Malnutrition in critically ill patients leads to numerous adverse effects, with muscle wasting being the most notable. The loss of muscle can compromise respiratory function, making it increasingly challenging for patients to be weaned off mechanical ventilation. The diaphragm and other respiratory muscles weaken due to prolonged catabolism, raising the risk of respiratory complications and extended dependence on ventilators. Extended mechanical ventilation is also linked to a greater risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia, further complicating the clinical situation.

In addition to its effects on muscle mass, poor nutrition undermines the immune system, rendering critically ill patients more vulnerable to infections. Malnourished individuals frequently experience impaired wound healing and a higher rate of hospital-acquired infections, such as sepsis. This immune dysfunction occurs because essential nutrients are necessary for immune cell function, and without them, the body struggles to mount an effective defense against pathogens. Consequently, infections can prolong ICU stays and elevate mortality risk.

Malnutrition also negatively impacts the gastrointestinal (GI) system. The integrity of the gut mucosa is compromised when patients do not receive adequate nutrients, resulting in an increased risk of bacterial translocation from the gut to the bloodstream, which can lead to systemic infections. Furthermore, poor nutrition hampers the recovery of GI function, delaying the reintroduction of enteral feeding and perpetuating a cycle of underfeeding. Inadequate nutrition also plays a role in metabolic imbalances, particularly in disrupting glucose metabolism. Insufficient caloric consumption can worsen hyperglycemia, a prevalent issue among critically ill patients that correlates with poorer outcomes. This situation arises as the body, lacking adequate nutrients, resorts to breaking down its own tissues for energy, which results in an increase of glucose in the bloodstream. Concurrently, inadequate nutritional intake may cause deficiencies in vital vitamins and minerals, which can further hinder organ function and the recovery process.

Insufficient nutrition has a profound effect on critically ill patients, leading to muscle wasting, compromised immune function, and extended recovery times. These patients exist in a hyper-metabolic state that hastens protein degradation, and in the absence of sufficient nutritional support, they suffer considerable muscle loss. This loss weakens respiratory muscles and heightens the risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Furthermore, malnutrition renders patients more vulnerable to infections; delays wound healing, and may result in prolonged and more complex hospitalizations, ultimately increasing the risk of mortality.

Obstacles in providing nutritional support for patients in critical care:

Nutritional support for critically ill patients presents a distinct array of challenges due to the intricate nature of their conditions and the physiological changes induced by critical illness. One of the foremost challenges is the considerable metabolic alterations that take place during illness or trauma. Critical illness triggers a hyper-metabolic state, significantly increasing the body's energy requirements. This is frequently accompanied by a catabolic response that results in muscle degradation and a reduction in lean body mass. The extent of this metabolic shift can differ based on factors such as the underlying condition, the severity of the illness, and the phase of critical care, complicating the determination of accurate caloric and protein requirements for each patient. This hyper-metabolic state further complicates the efforts to satisfy nutritional needs, as it accelerates the depletion of protein and energy, often exceeding what can be supplied through nutritional support.

Another significant challenge is gastrointestinal dysfunction, which is prevalent among critical care patients. Conditions such as gastro-paresis, ileus, or mal-absorption hinder the digestive system's capacity to effectively absorb nutrients. Enteral nutrition (EN) is typically the preferred method for feeding critically ill patients, as it aids in maintaining gut integrity; however, its effectiveness relies on the proper

functioning of the gastrointestinal system. In cases where patients experience substantial gastrointestinal dysfunction, enteral feeding may be poorly tolerated, necessitating alternatives like parenteral nutrition (PN). Nonetheless, PN introduces its own set of risks, including infections associated with intravenous access, liver dysfunction, and metabolic complications, particularly in patients with pre-existing co-morbidities.

Hemodynamic instability is frequently observed in critically ill patients experiencing shock or those undergoing treatment with vasoactive medications, which complicates the provision of nutritional support. Insufficient blood flow to the gastrointestinal tract can result in a reduced tolerance for enteral feeding. In such instances, the initiation or continuation of enteral feeding may be impractical due to the risk of worsening gut ischemia. Clinicians must navigate the delicate balance between delivering nutritional support and the potential hazards associated with the patient's unstable condition.

Furthermore, when parenteral nutrition becomes essential, there are inherent risks linked to both overfeeding and underfeeding. Accurately determining energy needs in critically ill patients poses a significant challenge, particularly during the acute phase of illness, where both overfeeding and underfeeding can lead to adverse outcomes. Overfeeding may cause hyperglycemia, liver dysfunction, or complications in weaning from mechanical ventilation, whereas underfeeding can exacerbate malnutrition and extend recovery time.

Additionally, numerous critically ill patients exhibit co-morbidities such as sepsis, acute kidney injury, or respiratory failure, which further complicate nutritional management. These conditions modify nutrient metabolism and elevate the risk of both over- and underfeeding. For instance, sepsis induces a severe inflammatory response that heightens energy expenditure and protein breakdown, necessitating customized nutritional strategies. Effectively managing nutrition in patients with renal or liver impairment requires meticulous selection of macronutrient composition to prevent aggravating organ failure while simultaneously fulfilling metabolic requirements.

Approaches for achieving optimal nutritional support:

In light of the challenges posed by critical illness, various strategies have been devised to enhance nutritional support and improve patient outcomes. A primary strategy involves the prompt initiation of enteral nutrition (EN), which has demonstrated considerable advantages when commenced within the first 24 to 48 hours following ICU admission. Early EN is linked to lower infection rates, reduced durations of mechanical ventilation, and shorter ICU stays. This is attributed to enteral feeding's role in preserving gut integrity, minimizing bacterial translocation, and favorably modulating the immune response. Even in cases of gastrointestinal dysfunction, early enteral feeding can frequently be achieved through the use of small bowel feeding or prokinetic agents that promote gastric motility. Such interventions ensure that a significant number of critically ill patients receive at least a portion of their nutritional needs enterally, which is advantageous for overall recovery.

For patients who cannot tolerate enteral feeding due to gastrointestinal issues or severe illness, parenteral nutrition (PN) serves as a crucial alternative. Current guidelines stress that PN should not be initiated early during ICU admission unless absolutely necessary, particularly for patients at low nutritional risk, due to

the potential risks of overfeeding and infection. Nevertheless, for those with high nutritional risk or prolonged gastrointestinal intolerance, the earlier initiation of PN can avert the harmful consequences of extended malnutrition. Innovations in PN formulations have rendered this nutritional approach safer and more effective. For instance, new lipid emulsions enriched with omega-3 fatty acids have shown potential in mitigating the inflammatory response and enhancing clinical outcomes by modulating immune function and improving tolerance to parenteral nutrition.

Customizing nutritional strategies to address the unique requirements of each patient is an essential aspect of effective ICU management. Indirect calorimetry has become the benchmark for assessing energy expenditure in critically ill individuals, offering a precise evaluation of their caloric needs. By analyzing oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide output, indirect calorimetry enables healthcare providers to tailor nutritional goals and mitigate the risks linked to overfeeding or underfeeding. This method is especially beneficial for patients exhibiting unpredictable metabolic reactions, such as those suffering from sepsis or multi-organ failure, where conventional predictive formulas may fail to accurately reflect the patient's actual energy demands. While indirect calorimetry is not yet widely accessible in all ICUs, its increasing implementation signifies a notable progress in the field of critical care nutrition.

Immunonutrition is an emerging strategy that is gaining popularity in critical care environments. This method entails the utilization of specific nutrients that have been proven to influence the immune response, diminish inflammation, and enhance clinical outcomes in particular patient groups. Nutrients such as omega-3 fatty acids, glutamine, arginine, and antioxidants have shown advantages in patients suffering from trauma, undergoing surgery, or experiencing sepsis by improving immune function and lowering infection rates. Formulations of immunonutrition are increasingly being integrated into both enteral and parenteral nutrition plans, providing a promising complement to standard nutritional support.

Protein supplementation plays a vital role in the management of critically ill patients due to the swift muscle degradation and catabolism that occurs during illness. Sufficient protein intake is essential for preserving lean body mass, aiding in wound healing, and sustaining immune function. Guidelines advocate for a higher protein intake (1.2–2.0 g/kg/day) in comparison to non-critically ill patients, and research indicates that achieving these targets can lead to improved outcomes, especially in patients with extended ICU admissions. Administering protein through either enteral or parenteral methods has been found to be advantageous, particularly when conventional caloric objectives are challenging to meet due to gastrointestinal intolerance or hemodynamic instability.

Recent development in nutritional support:

The domain of critical care nutrition has experienced notable progress in recent years, many of which have contributed to overcoming the challenges associated with delivering sufficient nutritional support to critically ill patients. One of the most significant advancements is the creation of customized nutrition protocols that consider the unique needs and conditions of patients. For instance, individuals suffering from sepsis or trauma possess different metabolic requirements compared to those with chronic illnesses such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or heart failure. Customizing nutrition to fulfill these specific requirements has been demonstrated to enhance clinical outcomes by minimizing complications linked to under- or overfeeding and addressing the distinct metabolic needs of each patient.

group. The growing utilization of indirect calorimetry has also transformed the manner in which clinicians provide nutritional support in the ICU. Indirect calorimetry delivers real-time, precise measurements of a patient's energy expenditure, facilitating the accurate calculation of caloric needs. This advancement has diminished the dependence on predictive equations, which frequently prove to be unreliable for critically ill patients. Consequently, clinicians are now able to adjust nutritional support more accurately based on actual energy expenditure rather than estimates, which has been shown to lower the risk of both overfeeding and underfeeding, conditions that can hinder recovery.

Another fascinating field of study is the impact of the gut microbiome on critical illness and recovery. The gut microbiota is essential for sustaining immune function, metabolism, and the integrity of the gastrointestinal barrier. Nevertheless, critical illness frequently disrupts the gut microbiome, resulting in dysbiosis, which correlates with a heightened vulnerability to infections and unfavorable outcomes. Probiotics are currently being explored as a potential therapeutic option to restore the balance of gut microbiota in critically ill patients. Preliminary studies indicate that probiotics may assist in lowering infection rates and enhancing clinical outcomes, although further research is necessary to comprehensively understand their role in critical care nutrition.

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols, which were initially developed for surgical patients, are increasingly being applied to critically ill individuals who are undergoing significant surgical interventions or trauma management. These protocols highlight the significance of early nutrition, alongside other perioperative strategies, to minimize postoperative complications and reduce the length of hospital stays. ERAS protocols have shown considerable improvements in patient recovery, and their principles are being modified to enhance outcomes in critically ill patients requiring surgical interventions. Finally, advancements in lipid emulsions, especially those enriched with omega-3 fatty acids, have demonstrated potential in mitigating inflammation and improving outcomes in critically ill patients. Omega-3 fatty acids have been found to possess immunomodulatory properties, decreasing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and enhancing immune function. These lipid formulations are now being integrated into both enteral and parenteral nutrition strategies, providing a more customized approach to managing the inflammatory response in critically ill patients.

Conclusion:

Nutritional support in critical care is vital for patient recovery, but it presents numerous challenges due to a patient's complex metabolic changes, unstable condition, and potential organ dysfunction. Significant advances have led to more personalized and evidence-based strategies, including specialized formulas and better assessment methods, aimed at improving clinical outcomes.

The management of nutritional support for critically ill patients presents a significant challenge, yet it remains a vital aspect of critical care. The intricate nature of metabolic requirements, gastrointestinal issues, and existing co-morbidities necessitates a sophisticated strategy to guarantee sufficient nutritional provision. Initiating early enteral nutrition, setting personalized caloric and protein targets, employing immunonutrition, and advancements in parenteral nutrition have all played a vital role in enhancing outcomes for such types of patients. Recent developments, including the application of indirect calorimetry and customized nutrition protocols, are further refining nutritional support to ensure it aligns

with the unique needs of each individual patient. Continuous research into the gut microbiome's influence and the advantages of probiotics, alongside improvements in lipid formulations, signifies the forthcoming advancements in critical care nutrition.

Finally, there is increasing emphasis on integrating nutritional support with early physical rehabilitation for better long-term outcomes.

References:

1. Shetti N Akshaya, Nutritional support in critical care patients: Challenges, strategies, and recent advances, *IP Journal of Nutrition, Metabolism and Health Science*. 7(4):141-145, 2024.
2. JP-Saw, Merriweather J, Wandrag L. Mal) nutrition in critical illness and beyond: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia*. 2023; 78(6):770-8.
3. Singer P, Blaser A, Berger M, Calder P, Casaer M, Hiesmayr M. ESPEN practical and partially revised guideline: Clinical nutrition in the intensive care unit. *Clin Nutr*. 2023; 42(9):1671-89.
4. Wischmeyer P, Bear D, Berger M, Waele ED, Gunst J, McClave S. Personalized nutrition therapy in critical care: 10 expert recommendations. *Crit Care*. 2023; 27(1).
5. Wischmeyer P, Bear D, Berger M, Waele ED, Gunst J, McClave S. Personalized nutrition therapy in critical care: 10 expert recommendations. *Crit Care*. 2023; 27(1).
6. Hermans A, Laarhuis B, Kouw I, Zanten AV. Current insights in ICU nutrition: tailored nutrition. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2023; 29(2):101-7.
7. Kagan I, Hellerman-Itzhaki M, Bendavid I, Statlender L, Fishman G, Wischmeyer P. Controlled enteral nutrition in critical care patients - A randomized clinical trial of a novel management system. *Clin Nutr*. 2023; 42(9):1602-9.
8. Ridley E, Lambell K. Nutrition before, during and after critical illness. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2022; 28(4):395-400.
9. Hill A, Elke G, Weimann A. Nutrition in the Intensive Care Unit-A Narrative Review. *Nutrients*. 2021; 13(8).
10. Nienow M, Susterich C, Peterson S. Prioritizing nutrition during recovery from critical illness.. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2021; 24(2):199-205.
11. Rougier L, Preiser J, Fadeur M, Verbrugge A, Paquot N, Ledoux D. Nutrition During Critical Care: An Audit on Actual Energy and Protein Intakes. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2021; 45(5):951-60.
12. Al-Dorzi H, Arabi Y. Nutrition support for critically ill patients. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2021; 45(S2):47-59.
13. Lambell K, Tatucu-Babet O, Chapple L, Gantner D, Ridley E. Nutrition therapy in critical illness: a review of the literature for clinicians. *Crit Care*. 2020; 24(35):1-11.
14. Stoppe C, Wendt S, Mehta N, Compher C, Preiser J, Heyland D. Biomarkers in critical care nutrition.. *Crit Care*. 2020; 24(1).
15. Bendavid I, Zusman O, Kagan I, Theilla M, Cohen J, Singer P. Early administration of protein in critically ill patients: a retrospective cohort study. *Nutrients*. 2019; 11(1).
16. Sharma K, Mogensen K, Robinson M. Pathophysiology of Critical Illness and Role of Nutrition. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2019; 34(1):12-22.

17. Zanten AV, Waele D, Wischmeyer E. Nutrition therapy and critical illness: practical guidance for the ICU, post-ICU, and long-term convalescence phases. *Crit Care*. 2019; 23(1).
18. Kagan I, Zusman O, Bendavid I, Theilla M, Cohen J, Singer P. Validation of carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) as a tool to calculate resting energy expenditure (REE) in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients: a retrospective observational study. *Crit Care*. 2018; 22(1).
19. Mehta Y, Sunavala J, Zirpe K, NTyagi, Garg S, Sinha S. Practice Guidelines for Nutrition in Critically Ill Patients: A Relook for Indian Scenario. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2018; 22(4):263-73.
20. Patel J, Hurt R, McClave S, Martindale R. Critical Care Nutrition: Where's the Evidence? *Crit Care Clin*. 2017; 33(2):397-412.
21. Oshima T, Berger M, Waele ED, Guttormsen A, Heidegger C, Hiesmayr M. Indirect calorimetry in nutritional therapy. A position paper by the ICALIC study group. *Clin Nutr*. 2017; 36(3):651-62.
22. Casaer M, Berghe GD. Comment on “protein requirements in the critically ill: a randomized controlled trial using parenteral nutrition”. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2016; 40(6).
23. Passier R, Davies A, Ridley E, McClure J, Murphy D, Scheinkestel C. Periprocedural cessation of nutrition in the intensive care unit: opportunities for improvement. *Intensive Care Med*. 2013; 39(7):1221-6.
24. Frankenfield D, Coleman A, Alam S, Cooney R. Analysis of estimation methods for resting metabolic rate in critically ill adults. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2009; 33(1):27-36.
25. Doig G, Simpson F, Finfer S, Delaney A, Davies A, Mitchell I. Effect of evidence-based feeding guidelines on mortality of critically ill adults: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2008; 300(23):2731-41.
26. Nguyen N, Chapman M, Fraser R, Bryant L, Holloway R. Erythromycin is more effective than metoclopramide in the treatment of feed intolerance in critical illness. *Crit Care Med*. 2007; 35(2):483-9.
27. Flancbaum L, Choban P, Sambucco S, Verducci J, Burge J. Comparison of indirect calorimetry, the Fick method, and prediction equations in estimating the energy requirements of critically ill patients. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999; 69(3):461-6.