Decoding the Organizational Climate: Teacher's Perceptions and Multidimensional Pathways to Job Satisfaction

Uma Sheokand[1], Charmi Dhola[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, School of Liberal Arts and Management Studies,
P P Savani University, Gujarat, India.
[2]School of Liberal Arts and Management Studies,
P P Savani University, Gujarat, India.

Abstract

Organizational climate has long been recognized as a defining element of institutional effectiveness, yet its subtle influence on teachers' job satisfaction remains insufficiently decoded in the educational context. This study investigates how teachers perceive their school's organizational climate and how these perceptions shape their satisfaction through multiple pathways, including autonomy, collegial support, recognition, and leadership quality. Adopting a mixed-methods approach, data were collected from 300 teachers across public and private institutions in Gujarat, Haryana, and Delhi NCR. Quantitative findings reveal a significant positive correlation between perceived supportive climate and job satisfaction, with structural equation modeling identifying leadership and recognition as the strongest mediators. Thematic analysis of qualitative interviews further illustrates that emotional safety, fairness in administrative practices, and meaningful communication collectively construct the felt climate that drives engagement and retention. The study contributes to educational management literature by presenting a multidimensional pathway model connecting organizational climate and teacher satisfaction, offering policy-level insights for sustainable workforce well-being in schools.

Keywords: organizational climate, teacher perceptions, job satisfaction, educational management, school leadership, multidimensional model

1. Introduction

Teaching is often described as the cornerstone of national development, yet it remains one of the most emotionally demanding and administratively constrained professions. Across educational systems worldwide, teachers report declining motivation, limited autonomy, and increasing disillusionment with institutional culture. While remuneration and policy reforms frequently dominate discourse, emerging research underscores that **organizational climate**—the shared perceptions of policies, practices, and interpersonal relationships—plays a more decisive role in shaping teachers' professional experiences and overall job satisfaction (Schneider et al., 2013; Hoy & Miskel, 2015).

In schools, organizational climate is not merely a structural condition; it is the lived atmosphere that either nurtures or erodes teachers' sense of purpose. When teachers perceive trust, recognition, and open communication within their institutional environment, satisfaction tends to rise even amidst resource constraints. Conversely, hierarchical rigidity, opaque decision-making, and unsupportive leadership often result in emotional fatigue and attrition (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2000; Bogler & Nir, 2012). In developing contexts like India, where teachers are simultaneously agents of policy implementation and moral educators, the climate of their work environment profoundly shapes their professional identity, commitment, and creativity.

The Research Problem

Despite decades of reform, the Indian school system continues to face low levels of teacher satisfaction and morale (NCERT, 2021). Much of the existing scholarship remains focused on tangible factors such as workload, compensation, or policy design. However, teachers' **subjective perceptions of their institutional climate**—how fair, inclusive, and supportive they feel their environment to be—remain largely underexamined. This disconnect is critical, as policy effectiveness ultimately depends on how teachers experience their workplace. As Sheokand (2017a) argued, administrative design alone cannot sustain teacher satisfaction without an enabling culture of participation and psychological security.

Building on earlier analyses of school administration and satisfaction pathways (Sheokand, 2017b, 2023, 2024), this study moves beyond managerial variables to decode how teachers *perceive* their school climate and how these perceptions translate into job satisfaction through multidimensional pathways. It recognizes that climate is not a monolithic construct but a composite of tangible and intangible elements—leadership style, collegial relationships, communication flow, recognition systems, and emotional climate—that collectively determine how "healthy" an organization feels.

Conceptual Rationale

Organizational climate functions as a psychological mirror reflecting institutional reality. The way teachers interpret fairness, leadership intent, or peer support determines whether their work environment feels empowering or oppressive. This interpretive dimension is central to Social Exchange Theory, which posits that when individuals perceive organizational support, they reciprocate through loyalty, commitment, and performance (Blau, 1964). Similarly, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959) differentiates between hygiene factors—like salary or policies—and motivators such as recognition, autonomy, and meaningful work. In educational settings, the climate represents a blend of both: the structural and the emotional.

The multidimensional perspective adopted in this research draws on both psychological and administrative traditions. Earlier works (Sheokand, 2018, 2023) emphasize that managerial efficiency alone cannot yield satisfaction without addressing emotional and cognitive dimensions of work. Therefore, decoding teachers' perceptions offers not just diagnostic insight but a strategic pathway for transforming institutional culture.

Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of this study is to explore how teachers interpret and experience their school's organizational climate, and to identify the key dimensions that contribute to their overall job satisfaction. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. Examine the nature of teachers' perceptions regarding the organizational climate of their institutions.
2. Identify the climate dimensions most strongly associated with job satisfaction.
3. Develop a **Multidimensional Pathway Model** linking climate variables with satisfaction outcomes.

This investigation thus situates organizational climate as both a psychological and managerial phenomenon—one that mediates between leadership actions and teacher well-being.

Research Questions

1. How do teachers perceive their school's organizational climate?
2. Which dimensions of organizational climate most significantly predict job satisfaction?
3. How do teachers' lived experiences reveal the emotional texture of institutional life that statistical models may overlook?

Significance of the Study

This study contributes theoretically and practically to the field of educational management by integrating teachers' perceptual data into a systemic framework for understanding satisfaction. It aligns with Sheokand's (2024) argument that effective school administration must evolve from compliance-based supervision to climate-based leadership. Empirically, it extends international scholarship by contextualizing the climate–satisfaction nexus within Indian schooling realities, where institutional cultures often balance bureaucratic control with humanistic values.

At a policy level, decoding organizational climate through teachers' perceptions provides actionable intelligence for education departments, policymakers, and school leaders aiming to strengthen retention and morale. At a theoretical level, it refines our understanding of job satisfaction as a **multidimensional outcome**—not the sum of incentives, but the synthesis of culture, emotion, and cognition.

In essence, this research positions organizational climate as the *hidden curriculum of institutions*—a set of lived values, implicit norms, and interpersonal cues that determine whether teaching feels like a profession of inspiration or a routine of endurance. By decoding that climate, we uncover the emotional architecture that sustains the very idea of education itself.

2. Theoretical Framework

The concept of *organizational climate* occupies a central position in educational management research, functioning as a psychological construct that bridges organizational structure and human experience. It represents the collective perceptions of employees regarding their working environment, encompassing leadership behavior, communication style, collegial relationships, reward systems, and the overall emotional tone of the institution (Litwin & Stringer, 1968; Schneider, Ehrhart, & Macey, 2013). In the educational domain, this construct becomes particularly salient because schools are not merely administrative units—they are moral, cultural, and intellectual communities (Hoy & Miskel, 2015).

The present study is theoretically grounded in **three interrelated frameworks** that together illuminate how organizational climate influences teachers' job satisfaction: *Organizational Climate Theory*,

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory of Motivation, and *Social Exchange Theory*. These frameworks jointly explain both the structural and psychological mechanisms linking climate to satisfaction.

2.1 Organizational Climate Theory

Organizational Climate Theory, originating from the work of Litwin and Stringer (1968), conceptualizes climate as the "personality" of an organization—an enduring pattern of perceptions that influence how members interpret events and behave within the system. Schneider et al. (2013) later extended this notion, emphasizing that climate is a *shared perception* shaped by policies, leadership communication, and social interaction.

In school contexts, this theory suggests that teachers' experiences of fairness, support, and collaboration collectively form the emotional fabric of the institution. A climate of trust and participative leadership can foster enthusiasm and creativity, whereas rigid hierarchies and poor communication create alienation and disengagement.

Sheokand (2017a) earlier observed that the administrative design of Indian schools often overlooks this psychological dimension, leading to an environment where teachers feel managed rather than empowered. In subsequent studies, she noted that leadership behaviors promoting open dialogue, appreciation, and participatory decision-making significantly enhance teacher satisfaction and performance (Sheokand, 2023, 2024). These findings underscore the argument that organizational climate is not a passive backdrop—it is the *active context* that determines how teachers interpret their professional worth and institutional belonging.

2.2 Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory

Herzberg's (1959) Two-Factor Theory remains one of the most enduring frameworks for understanding job satisfaction. It differentiates between *hygiene factors*—external conditions such as salary, policy, or job security—and *motivators*, which include intrinsic elements like recognition, responsibility, and personal growth. According to Herzberg, hygiene factors prevent dissatisfaction, but true satisfaction arises from motivators that fulfill psychological needs.

In educational settings, this duality is deeply relevant. Policies and pay scales may ensure basic compliance, but they seldom inspire professional fulfillment. Motivation emerges from recognition, collegial support, and opportunities for meaningful contribution—factors embedded in organizational climate.

Sheokand (2018) empirically demonstrated that even within constrained institutional systems, teachers who reported higher levels of interpersonal trust, administrative transparency, and recognition displayed stronger job satisfaction. These findings reinforce Herzberg's claim that satisfaction is internally constructed, not externally imposed. The present study extends this logic by exploring how teachers' perceptions of climate variables—particularly leadership quality and collegial trust—mediate the relationship between external conditions and intrinsic motivation.

2.3 Social Exchange Theory

While Organizational Climate Theory and Herzberg's model explain structural and motivational aspects, **Social Exchange Theory (SET)** provides the relational foundation for understanding satisfaction. Blau

(1964) posited that human relationships in organizations are guided by reciprocal exchange—employees respond to perceived organizational support with loyalty and performance.

In schools, this reciprocity manifests through a sense of emotional fairness and mutual respect. When teachers perceive that their efforts are valued, their trust in the institution deepens, which in turn enhances engagement and satisfaction. Conversely, when institutions appear indifferent to teacher well-being, emotional withdrawal and reduced commitment often follow (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005).

Sheokand (2017b, 2023) highlighted this relational dynamic by showing how bureaucratic rigidity and lack of interpersonal sensitivity weaken teacher morale, even when other resources remain adequate. Her research aligns with SET's principle that emotional reciprocity is a fundamental currency of organizational health.

2.4 Integrated Conceptual Model

Synthesizing these theoretical strands, the present study conceptualizes **organizational climate as a multidimensional system** in which structural, psychological, and relational elements interact to shape job satisfaction. The following conceptual relationships are posited:

1. **Structural Dimension (Organizational Climate Theory)**
   Leadership, communication patterns, and administrative practices establish the institutional "tone."
2. **Psychological Dimension (Herzberg's Motivation Factors)**
   Recognition, autonomy, and growth opportunities shape internal satisfaction.
3. **Relational Dimension (Social Exchange Theory)**
   Perceived support, fairness, and trust mediate emotional connection with the organization.

These three layers converge to form what this study terms the **"Multidimensional Pathway Model of Teacher Satisfaction"**—a model that positions climate as the psychological infrastructure of professional well-being. It suggests that satisfaction is not a singular emotion but a system of interactions: when climate dimensions align positively, teachers experience belonging, engagement, and commitment; when misaligned, dissatisfaction deepens despite extrinsic incentives.

2.5 Theoretical Contribution

This integrated framework contributes to contemporary debates in educational management by reframing job satisfaction as a **systemic construct** rather than an individual response. It moves the discourse beyond transactional models (which focus on compensation or workload) toward **transformational interpretations** of organizational life in schools.

By grounding the study in both classical theory and empirical evidence—including Sheokand's (2017a, 2018, 2023, 2024) body of work—the paper establishes an interdisciplinary foundation that can inform leadership practices, policy design, and teacher development frameworks. The theoretical lens thus serves not only to explain observed relationships but to reimagine school systems as emotional ecosystems, where satisfaction arises from climate coherence, not command compliance.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Conceptualizing Organizational Climate in Educational Contexts

Organizational climate represents the collective perception of how an institution functions—the felt sense of "how things are done around here." In education, this perception is often more powerful than formal policy because it governs how teachers experience leadership, collegiality, and their professional worth. Early frameworks defined climate as the enduring quality of an organization that influences behavior (Litwin & Stringer, 1968; Schneider et al., 2013). Later educational scholars, including Hoy and Miskel (2015), emphasized its contextual specificity, arguing that the "climate of schools" reflects both institutional purpose and human relationships.

In schools, climate encompasses dimensions such as trust, fairness, collaboration, and administrative transparency. Studies by Tschannen-Moran and Hoy (2000) demonstrated that climates characterized by high relational trust correlated strongly with teacher commitment and performance. Similarly, Bogler and Nir (2012) found that the perceived openness of communication channels within a school predicts teachers' enthusiasm and resilience.

Sheokand's (2017a) empirical analyses of school administration and work culture add depth to this discussion, revealing that teacher satisfaction is significantly influenced by leadership empathy, peer recognition, and clarity of communication. Her subsequent studies (Sheokand, 2023, 2024) highlight that the presence of participative managerial practices—such as shared decision-making—directly improves teachers' sense of belonging and professional pride. These findings echo the global consensus that the emotional tone of the institution often outweighs structural or policy variables in determining satisfaction.

3.2 Teachers' Perceptions: The Hidden Variable in Institutional Effectiveness

While policies define the formal boundaries of teaching, perception defines its lived reality. Perception operates as a filter that translates institutional structures into personal experiences. Research in organizational psychology shows that employee perception, rather than objective reality, predicts motivation and engagement (James et al., 2008).

In the education sector, the *subjective perception* of fairness, autonomy, and support is decisive. Studies by Kythreotis and Pashiardis (2016) revealed that teachers who perceive their leaders as approachable and just are significantly more satisfied, irrespective of pay or workload. Similarly, Collie et al. (2012) demonstrated that teachers' perceptions of emotional support within schools were stronger predictors of well-being than structural conditions.

In India, Sheokand (2018) identified that teachers' satisfaction is intricately linked to their sense of emotional recognition and respect, especially in public schools where bureaucratic systems often overshadow personal contribution. This aligns with the view that the climate perceived by teachers represents a *psychological contract*—a silent agreement between teacher and institution regarding mutual respect and support.

3.3 Dimensions of Organizational Climate and Job Satisfaction

Research across contexts identifies several dimensions that collectively construct organizational climate: leadership style, communication flow, collegial trust, autonomy, recognition, and emotional well-being. Each of these contributes differently to job satisfaction.

- **Leadership and Administrative Behavior:** Transformational leadership is consistently associated with higher satisfaction levels (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2006). Leaders who are empathetic, participative, and supportive create climates of trust and innovation. In her comparative analyses, Sheokand (2017b) documented that schools with transparent and consultative leadership structures foster stronger satisfaction even under limited resources.
- **Collegial Support and Collaboration:** Research by Johnson, Kraft, and Papay (2012) showed that collegial support buffers against stress and enhances motivation. Collaborative staff cultures lead to collective efficacy, which amplifies satisfaction and institutional performance.
- **Autonomy and Empowerment:** Teachers' sense of professional autonomy correlates positively with satisfaction and creativity (Pearson & Moomaw, 2005). Restrictive environments erode both motivation and innovation.
- **Recognition and Reward:** Herzberg's theory finds strong empirical backing in education. Recognition-based climates, as noted in Sheokand's (2023) work, yield intrinsic motivation even in resource-scarce conditions. Emotional validation acts as a non-monetary currency of morale.
- **Work–Life Balance and Emotional Climate:** Emotional exhaustion and imbalance are growing concerns globally. Skaalvik and Skaalvik (2018) highlight that a caring climate—where empathy and fairness prevail—reduces burnout and sustains professional identity.

Collectively, these dimensions form the architecture of satisfaction. The more coherently aligned they are, the more stable and motivated the workforce becomes.

3.4 Linking Organizational Climate and Job Satisfaction: Global and Indian Evidence

A wealth of global literature supports the positive association between organizational climate and job satisfaction (Liu & Ramsey, 2008; Collie et al., 2012). Teachers who perceive their institutions as supportive and communicative report stronger professional commitment and lower turnover intention.

In the Indian context, research remains fragmented but convergent in theme. Studies highlight that managerial inefficiencies, lack of trust, and inadequate feedback mechanisms significantly lower morale (NCERT, 2021; Bansal, 2019). Sheokand's (2017a, 2024) longitudinal examinations of teacher satisfaction across policy regimes show that administrative modernization alone fails to enhance satisfaction unless paired with human-centered governance. Her findings anticipate what global literature now validates—that climate perception is the bridge between policy intent and classroom reality.

3.5 Identified Research Gaps

Despite consensus on its importance, organizational climate research in Indian education has several gaps:

1. **Fragmented Operationalization:** Most studies treat organizational climate as a single construct, ignoring the interaction among its dimensions—leadership, recognition, and emotional safety.

2. **Limited Integration of Perceptual Data:** Few studies systematically decode *teachers' subjective perceptions* as the central analytic unit.

3. **Underrepresentation of Qualitative Insights:** Quantitative surveys dominate the field, leaving the lived narratives of teachers underexplored.

4. **Absence of Multidimensional Pathway Models:** While correlations are well established, the causal pathways linking climate and satisfaction remain theoretically underdeveloped.

This study directly addresses these gaps by integrating quantitative and qualitative evidence into a **multidimensional pathway model** that explains how structural, psychological, and relational elements of school climate interact to shape teacher satisfaction.

3.6 Conceptual Synthesis

The reviewed literature establishes that organizational climate acts as the *psychological infrastructure* of educational institutions. However, satisfaction is not produced by climate alone—it emerges from the *interaction* of leadership empathy, recognition culture, and emotional support.

Building on the theoretical integration outlined earlier and prior empirical foundations (Sheokand, 2017a, 2018, 2023, 2024), this study advances a new conceptual premise: that **teachers' perceptions function as cognitive mediators** transforming institutional climate into personal satisfaction.

The multidimensional approach taken here therefore seeks not only to quantify relationships but to decode meaning—the "why" behind the numbers. It positions teacher satisfaction as a dynamic product of perception, emotion, and structure—a perspective urgently needed to humanize education policy and institutional governance.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study employed a **mixed-methods sequential explanatory design**, integrating quantitative and qualitative strands to develop a comprehensive understanding of how organizational climate influences teachers' job satisfaction. The quantitative phase established structural relationships between climate dimensions and satisfaction, while the qualitative phase deepened interpretation by uncovering the emotional and perceptual nuances underlying statistical trends.

The mixed-methods approach was chosen because organizational climate is both measurable and interpretive—rooted in institutional systems but experienced through personal perception. This design aligns with Creswell and Plano Clark's (2018) argument that integrating quantitative breadth with qualitative depth allows for more robust and authentic insights into complex social phenomena such as job satisfaction.

4.2 Population and Sampling

The study population comprised school teachers across public and private secondary institutions in **Gujarat, Haryana, and Delhi NCR**, regions selected for their diversity in governance structures, socio-economic contexts, and educational management styles.

A **stratified random sampling** technique was used to ensure balanced representation across three strata: government schools, private unaided schools, and semi-aided institutions. The final sample included **300 teachers** (200 female, 100 male), reflecting the gender distribution typical of the Indian teaching workforce.

For the qualitative phase, **30 participants** (10 from each region) were selected purposively from the survey respondents to capture a wide range of perspectives on organizational climate. The selection was guided by the principle of **information-rich sampling**—participants who demonstrated strong, moderate, or low satisfaction levels in the quantitative phase were invited for interviews to ensure thematic diversity.

4.3 Instruments and Measures

Two primary instruments were employed:

1. **Organizational Climate Questionnaire (OCQ):**
   Adapted from Litwin and Stringer (1968) and validated for educational settings by Hoy and Clover (1986), this instrument measures teachers' perceptions across six key dimensions:
   a. Leadership behavior
   b. Communication and decision-making
   c. Collegial trust and cooperation
   d. Professional autonomy
   e. Recognition and support
   f. Emotional safety and fairness

The scale was modified through expert review to ensure contextual relevance for Indian schools. Each item was rated on a **five-point Likert scale** (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree).

2. **Job Satisfaction Scale (JSS):**
   Based on Spector (1997), the JSS measured intrinsic and extrinsic satisfaction through 36 items. Domains included work itself, supervision, co-worker relations, and institutional policies.

Both instruments demonstrated high reliability (Cronbach's α = 0.84 for OCQ; 0.88 for JSS). Construct validity was confirmed through confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), with all factor loadings exceeding the 0.60 threshold.

3. **Qualitative Interview Protocol:**
   Semi-structured interview questions explored teachers' emotional experiences, perceptions of fairness, collegial interactions, and views on leadership responsiveness. Each interview lasted 45–60 minutes, recorded with informed consent.

4.4 Data Collection Procedures

Data collection was conducted between **January and June 2025**. Permissions were obtained from respective school authorities and ethical clearance boards. Participation was voluntary, and confidentiality was assured.

Quantitative data were gathered via self-administered questionnaires distributed both physically and digitally, ensuring accessibility across regions. The qualitative phase followed, with virtual or in-person interviews conducted depending on participant convenience. Each interview was transcribed verbatim and cross-verified by participants to enhance reliability through **member checking**.

4.5 Data Analysis Techniques

Quantitative Analysis:
Data were analyzed using SPSS 28 and AMOS 26. The following statistical techniques were applied:

- **Descriptive statistics** to summarize teacher demographics and climate dimensions.
- **Correlation analysis** to explore relationships among climate components and job satisfaction.
- **Multiple regression analysis** to identify predictive strength of specific dimensions.
- **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)** to validate the hypothesized *Multidimensional Pathway Model*, testing direct and mediated effects between climate factors and satisfaction outcomes.

Fit indices such as χ^2/df, CFI, TLI, and RMSEA were used to evaluate model adequacy, adhering to recommended thresholds (Hu & Bentler, 1999).

Qualitative Analysis:
Thematic analysis followed Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase process: familiarization, coding, theme development, reviewing, defining, and reporting. NVivo 14 software assisted in managing and coding data. Themes such as *emotional safety*, *leadership empathy*, *recognition culture*, and *collegial solidarity* emerged inductively from participant narratives.

Integration of findings occurred during the interpretation phase, where qualitative themes were used to explain and expand upon quantitative patterns—an approach consistent with the **convergent validation principle** of mixed-methods research.

4.6 Reliability, Validity, and Trustworthiness

Instrument reliability was ensured through Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability measures. **Convergent validity** was confirmed via average variance extracted (AVE > 0.5), and **discriminant validity** through Fornell-Larcker criteria.

For the qualitative strand, **trustworthiness** was established through triangulation of data sources, peer debriefing, and audit trails. Member checking ensured interpretive accuracy, while reflective journaling minimized researcher bias.

4.7 Ethical Considerations

All procedures adhered to the ethical guidelines of the Indian Council of Social Science Research (ICSSR). Participants were briefed about study objectives, assured of anonymity, and allowed to withdraw at any stage. Data were stored securely and used solely for academic purposes.

Given the sensitive nature of discussing institutional climate, special care was taken to maintain emotional comfort during interviews. Teachers' voices were represented respectfully, avoiding any disclosure that could identify specific schools or administrators.

4.8 Methodological Rationale

The chosen methodology reflects the study's philosophical stance: **pragmatic constructivism**. It recognizes that while job satisfaction can be quantitatively modeled, its deeper meaning emerges through lived experience. This approach harmonizes the objective rigor of SEM with the narrative depth of

thematic analysis, enabling a holistic understanding of how teachers decode and internalize their organizational climate.

In sum, this methodological architecture is designed not merely to measure relationships but to *reveal mechanisms*—to translate numbers into narratives and statistics into meaning. It aligns with the broader scholarly aim of rehumanizing educational research through evidence that is both empirically sound and experientially authentic.

5. Results and Analysis

5.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

The quantitative phase included 300 teachers from diverse institutional contexts. Of these, 66.7% were female and 33.3% male, reflecting the gendered distribution typical of the Indian school system. The average age was 38 years, with a mean teaching experience of 12.5 years. Approximately 52% worked in public schools, 36% in private unaided schools, and 12% in semi-aided institutions. This demographic spread ensured representativeness across regions and organizational types, strengthening the external validity of findings.

5.2 Descriptive Statistics: Perceived Organizational Climate

Teachers' responses indicated moderate-to-high perceptions of positive organizational climate (M = 3.84, SD = 0.68 on a five-point scale). Among the six dimensions measured, **leadership behavior** (M = 4.01, SD = 0.59) and **collegial trust** (M = 3.96, SD = 0.62) scored the highest, while **recognition and reward** (M = 3.51, SD = 0.71) lagged slightly behind.

Teachers frequently emphasized fairness, approachability, and communication transparency as defining attributes of supportive climates. As one respondent remarked:

"It's not the number of circulars or meetings that matters—it's whether our principal actually listens when we speak."

Such qualitative reflections echo the quantitative pattern: leadership empathy and emotional safety form the backbone of perceived climate quality.

5.3 Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients revealed strong positive relationships between most organizational climate dimensions and overall job satisfaction.

- Leadership behavior (r = 0.68, p < .001)
- Collegial trust (r = 0.61, p < .001)
- Communication and decision-making (r = 0.59, p < .001)
- Recognition and support (r = 0.57, p < .001)
- Emotional safety (r = 0.54, p < .001)

All associations were statistically significant, confirming that the climate–satisfaction linkage is multidimensional rather than singular.

5.4 Multiple Regression Analysis

To identify the strongest predictors of job satisfaction, multiple regression analysis was conducted with satisfaction as the dependent variable and climate dimensions as independent predictors. The model was significant ($F(6, 293) = 48.12$, $p < .001$), explaining **62% of variance ($R^2 = 0.62$)**.

Leadership behavior ($\beta = .41$, $p < .001$) emerged as the most powerful predictor, followed by **recognition and support ($\beta = .26$, $p < .01$)** and **collegial trust ($\beta = .21$, $p < .05$)**.
Communication clarity and emotional safety contributed modestly but significantly.

This suggests that teachers' satisfaction is not shaped solely by external conditions but by how leadership models respect, participation, and fairness.

One teacher expressed this succinctly:

"Recognition is more motivating than rewards. When our effort is acknowledged, we feel the school sees us as professionals, not just staff."

5.5 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM): Testing the Multidimensional Pathway Model

To validate the conceptual model, a **Structural Equation Model** was estimated using AMOS 26. The hypothesized model included latent constructs of *Organizational Climate* (measured through leadership, trust, communication, and recognition) and *Job Satisfaction* (measured through intrinsic and extrinsic dimensions).

The model demonstrated excellent fit:

- $\chi^2/df = 2.18$
- CFI = 0.95
- TLI = 0.93
- RMSEA = 0.048

All fit indices were within acceptable thresholds, indicating a strong theoretical–empirical alignment.

Path coefficients revealed that:

- Leadership behavior → Perceived Support ($\beta = 0.72$, $p < .001$)
- Perceived Support → Autonomy ($\beta = 0.55$, $p < .001$)
- Autonomy → Job Satisfaction ($\beta = 0.63$, $p < .001$)
- Recognition → Job Satisfaction ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < .01$)

Indirect effects confirmed a mediated pathway: organizational climate enhances satisfaction primarily through the psychological experience of support and autonomy.

This empirically substantiates the **Multidimensional Pathway Model** proposed earlier—linking structural, psychological, and relational dimensions in a single interpretive chain.

5.6 Qualitative Findings: The Emotional Texture of Climate

Thematic analysis of 30 in-depth interviews illuminated four dominant themes:

1. **Leadership Empathy and Accessibility**
   Teachers repeatedly described leadership empathy as the cornerstone of a positive climate. One participant noted:

"When leaders take feedback seriously, even criticism feels safe."

Conversely, participants in restrictive environments reported alienation and withdrawal, underscoring that empathy functions as both a managerial and emotional variable.

2. **Recognition as Emotional Currency**
   Recognition emerged as an intangible yet vital form of institutional validation. Teachers equated acknowledgment with respect. As one observed:

"Appreciation doesn't cost anything, but it builds everything."

3. **Collegial Solidarity and Informal Support Systems**
   Beyond formal teamwork, the sense of camaraderie among teachers was a critical buffer against stress. Informal peer networks compensated for bureaucratic rigidity, illustrating the social dimension of organizational health.

4. **Emotional Safety and Trust**
   Teachers valued environments where mistakes could be discussed without fear. Psychological safety was found to precede creativity and reflective practice.

These qualitative themes not only enrich the quantitative model but also humanize its implications—demonstrating that satisfaction is an emotional outcome grounded in relational trust and recognition.

5.7 Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Findings

When both data strands were integrated, a coherent pattern emerged: **teachers interpret organizational climate as an emotional experience shaped by leadership and recognition**. Quantitatively, leadership, recognition, and autonomy predicted satisfaction; qualitatively, teachers described these same elements as the essence of their emotional engagement.

Thus, the data affirm the **Multidimensional Pathway Model**, where:

Organizational Climate → Perceived Support → Autonomy → Job Satisfaction

This integration validates earlier theoretical propositions (Litwin & Stringer, 1968; Herzberg, 1959; Blau, 1964) while reinforcing prior Indian empirical evidence (Sheokand, 2017a, 2018, 2023, 2024).

5.8 Summary of Findings

1. Organizational climate significantly predicts teacher satisfaction, accounting for 62% of variance.
2. Leadership behavior, recognition, and collegial trust are the strongest determinants.
3. Satisfaction is mediated by perceived support and autonomy.
4. Emotional climate—trust, safety, recognition—acts as the invisible architecture of institutional success.
5. Teachers interpret organizational health not through policies but through *relationships*.

These findings collectively redefine job satisfaction as a **psychological ecosystem** rather than a managerial output, highlighting that improving teacher morale requires cultivating emotionally intelligent institutions.

6. Discussion

The present study set out to decode how teachers perceive their school's organizational climate and how these perceptions translate into job satisfaction through multidimensional pathways. The findings reveal that organizational climate is neither an abstract institutional attribute nor a managerial convenience; it is the *emotional and cognitive ecosystem* within which teachers construct meaning, motivation, and professional identity.

The discussion unfolds around three major interpretations: the **centrality of leadership empathy**, the **reciprocity of recognition and trust**, and the **psychological mediation of autonomy** — all converging into what can be called the *human architecture of satisfaction*.

6.1 Leadership as the Emotional Climate Maker

The quantitative results identified leadership behavior as the strongest predictor of job satisfaction ($\beta = .41$, $p < .001$). This echoes global evidence that leadership is not merely an administrative role but a relational act that defines the emotional tenor of an organization (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2006; Hallinger, 2011).

However, the qualitative insights refine this understanding. Teachers did not equate "good leadership" with strict efficiency or procedural control, but with **approachability, fairness, and empathetic responsiveness**. Leadership, in this sense, becomes the *emotional climate maker*.

One teacher's reflection — "When our principal listens, we don't need incentives to stay" — captures the essence of this paradigm. It aligns with Hoy and Miskel's (2015) argument that leadership trustworthiness catalyzes motivation and commitment.

This also resonates with Sheokand's (2017a, 2023) earlier conclusions that administrative empathy and participative governance are the missing variables in India's policy-heavy but emotionally thin educational management systems. The present findings strengthen this claim empirically through SEM and thematically through teacher narratives.

6.2 Recognition and Trust: The Reciprocity of Satisfaction

The strong effects of recognition ($\beta = .26$, $p < .01$) and collegial trust ($\beta = .21$, $p < .05$) illustrate that **satisfaction is relational before it is procedural**. Recognition emerged not as a token gesture but as a moral affirmation of teachers' worth — what Blau's (1964) Social Exchange Theory calls the *reciprocity of respect*.

Teachers who perceived acknowledgment of their effort reported higher emotional resilience and reduced burnout. This finding supports Herzberg's (1959) notion of *motivators* — intrinsic factors that generate satisfaction independently of external rewards.

Sheokand (2018, 2024) observed similar patterns, showing that recognition-driven climates sustain commitment even when resources are limited. The current study extends this understanding by situating recognition within a systemic climate model: it is both a product and a producer of trust.

Thematic evidence confirmed this reciprocity. In schools where leaders publicly appreciated teachers, collegial trust deepened. In contrast, hierarchical or dismissive cultures created emotional alienation. This substantiates Tschannen-Moran and Hoy's (2000) thesis that organizational trust is not a byproduct of climate but its emotional core.

6.3 Autonomy and the Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment

The SEM results established that autonomy mediates the relationship between perceived support and job satisfaction (β = 0.63, p < .001). This mediation indicates that *teachers interpret support as genuine only when it translates into empowerment*.

Quantitative data showed high correlations between leadership empathy, autonomy, and satisfaction, while qualitative insights revealed teachers' need for decision-making freedom and pedagogical creativity. This reinforces Pearson and Moomaw's (2005) argument that autonomy is a psychological necessity, not a managerial luxury.

The finding also supports Sheokand's (2017b, 2023) view that teachers' satisfaction declines in command-based environments and thrives in collaborative, participatory ones. The data thus affirm the multidimensional pathway model: structural support (leadership) enhances psychological empowerment (autonomy), which then manifests as affective satisfaction.

6.4 The Emotional Architecture of Organizational Climate

Beyond individual predictors, the qualitative findings revealed an emergent theme — **emotional safety as the foundation of institutional health**. Teachers emphasized environments where they could express dissent, admit mistakes, and share ideas without judgment. This reflects the construct of *psychological safety* (Edmondson, 1999), which predicts innovation and learning.

In Indian schools, where hierarchical control and fear of appraisal often prevail, such safety is rare but transformative when achieved. As one teacher expressed, *"In our school, we can fail without fear. That's what keeps us growing."*

This emotional architecture confirms that climate is not a static condition but a dynamic relationship system — continuously negotiated through communication, empathy, and recognition.

6.5 Theoretical Integration: From Climate to Consciousness

Synthesizing these findings with the study's theoretical foundations reveals a coherent theoretical advancement.

1. **Organizational Climate Theory (Litwin & Stringer, 1968)** explains the structural dimensions — leadership, communication, and fairness.
2. **Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959)** elucidates the psychological dimensions — intrinsic motivation through recognition and responsibility.

3. **Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964)** provides the relational lens — satisfaction as reciprocal trust and perceived support.

The integration of these frameworks, validated through SEM and thematic convergence, leads to what can be termed a **Multidimensional Pathway Model of Teacher Satisfaction**, where:

Structural Support → Perceived Fairness → Autonomy → Emotional Recognition → Job Satisfaction

This model reframes satisfaction not as an endpoint but as a *process of conscious reciprocity* — where organizational climate evolves through ongoing relational feedback.

Sheokand's earlier body of work (2017a, 2018, 2023, 2024) laid the empirical groundwork for this shift, arguing that school effectiveness requires emotional governance alongside administrative efficiency. The present study operationalizes that argument through a tested, multidimensional model, contributing both conceptual and methodological innovation to the field.

6.6 Comparative and Cultural Insights

The findings align with global evidence yet carry distinctly **Indian cultural nuances**. In collectivist societies, relationships, hierarchy, and emotional respect carry greater weight than formal autonomy (Hofstede, 2011). Thus, recognition and trust emerged as stronger predictors than compensation or workload.

While Western models often prioritize individual efficacy, Indian teachers derive satisfaction from relational harmony — *santosha* in the workplace. This cultural translation underscores that effective school climates must be context-sensitive, blending structural clarity with emotional resonance.

6.7 Implications for Leadership and Policy

These findings compel a rethinking of educational leadership. School climate should not be managed; it should be **cultivated**. Administrators must view themselves not as enforcers of compliance but as *designers of emotional culture*.

Policy frameworks that measure teacher performance without assessing institutional climate risk perpetuating burnout and turnover. Integrating climate audits and perception surveys into school evaluation systems can transform management from reactive supervision to proactive well-being design.

In alignment with Sheokand (2024), this study argues for leadership training modules centered on *empathic communication, recognition culture, and psychological safety*. Such interventions can institutionalize the affective dimensions of climate, ensuring sustainability of teacher morale.

6.8 Synthesis

In essence, this research decodes the silent variables of institutional life.
 Leadership empathy, recognition, trust, and autonomy are not peripheral virtues—they are *organizational forces* that determine whether schools function as learning communities or compliance systems.

The study advances a simple yet profound insight:

A healthy organizational climate is not what a school does to its teachers; it is what a school becomes through its teachers.

This understanding situates organizational climate at the heart of educational reform, where emotional intelligence and structural design must converge to sustain teacher satisfaction and, by extension, the moral vitality of the education system itself.

7. Implications

The study's findings extend far beyond statistical relationships; they reframe how we understand organizational vitality in educational institutions.
 The **multidimensional pathway model** developed through this research provides actionable insights for leaders, policymakers, and scholars seeking to build emotionally intelligent, performance-oriented schools.

7.1 Policy Implications: From Structural Reform to Emotional Reform

Educational policy in India has historically prioritized infrastructure, curriculum, and assessment — often neglecting the affective dimensions of school culture. The evidence from this study suggests that **emotional climate is policy in action**: the lived reality that determines whether reform goals succeed or dissolve in classroom fatigue.

Key policy directions emerging from this research include:

1. **Institutional Climate Audits:**
    National or state education departments should incorporate climate perception surveys into school accreditation frameworks. These audits can assess dimensions like leadership trust, teacher voice, and recognition culture alongside traditional metrics such as student outcomes.
2. **Leadership Training in Emotional Governance:**
    Policy-level leadership development programs (e.g., through NCERT or SCERT) must integrate modules on empathy-based communication, participatory decision-making, and conflict resolution. Leadership empathy should be treated as a *competency*, not a soft skill.
3. **Teacher Retention Strategies:**
    Climate enhancement—through recognition systems, peer mentoring, and participative councils—should be institutionalized as part of teacher retention policies.
4. **Data-Driven Well-Being Index:**
    Ministries of Education should adopt a *Teacher Well-Being Index* that measures job satisfaction and emotional climate, feeding into national dashboards of school performance.

By shifting policy emphasis from administrative compliance to emotional climate cultivation, educational systems can achieve both efficiency and empathy—an equilibrium that sustains reform over time.

7.2 Managerial Implications: Designing Emotionally Intelligent Institutions

At the institutional level, the implications are deeply practical. Principals, administrators, and school management committees hold the levers for transforming climate perception into sustained satisfaction.

1. Leadership as Climate Stewardship:

School leaders must see themselves not as managers but as *climate architects*. Every administrative interaction communicates values—whether inclusio n, fairness, or indifference. Establishing feedback mechanisms, open-door policies, and regular reflection sessions can strengthen trust.

2. Recognition Systems as Motivational Infrastructure:

Recognition should be systematic, not situational. Regular acknowledgment of effort through internal bulletins, peer-nomination awards, and feedback sessions reinforces intrinsic motivation more effectively than external incentives.

3. Empowerment through Participative Decision-Making:

Teachers' involvement in curriculum design, student assessment reforms, and institutional planning enhances ownership. This aligns with Sheokand's (2023, 2024) findings that autonomy is the emotional currency of satisfaction.

4. Cultivating Collegial Solidarity:

Structured mentoring and professional learning communities can channel peer support into a sustained emotional resource, preventing burnout.

5. Climate as a Strategic KPI:

Institutions should measure climate indicators (trust, fairness, collaboration) as key performance metrics alongside student achievement scores. What gets measured gets managed—and what gets emotionally acknowledged gets sustained.

7.3 Theoretical and Scholarly Implications

This research contributes to three ongoing academic discourses:

1. Reconceptualizing Job Satisfaction:

The study reframes satisfaction not as an individual variable but as a *systemic condition*. It confirms that job satisfaction in education arises from the interplay of structural, psychological, and relational factors rather than from material incentives alone.

2. Integrating Multidisciplinary Theories:

By blending Organizational Climate Theory (Litwin & Stringer, 1968), Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959), and Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964), the study offers a **unified theoretical framework** for analyzing human experiences in institutional contexts. This integration strengthens cross-disciplinary scholarship connecting management, psychology, and education.

3. Advancing Indian Scholarship:

The findings substantiate earlier Indian research (Sheokand, 2017a, 2018, 2023, 2024) and provide a robust conceptual model that situates teacher satisfaction within India's cultural realities—where relational harmony and respect often outweigh formal autonomy. By validating these dynamics empirically, this study adds indigenous insight to global literature, enhancing India's contribution to the field of organizational behavior in education.

7.4 Practical Model Output: The Teacher Climate–Satisfaction Pathway Framework

Based on both theoretical synthesis and empirical validation, the study proposes the **Teacher Climate–Satisfaction Pathway Framework**, a practical tool for leadership training, institutional assessment, and policy formulation.

Core Components:

Dimension	Description	Practical Implication
Leadership Empathy	Perceived fairness, approachability, and emotional intelligence of administrators	Introduce climate leadership training focusing on listening and participatory communication
Collegial Trust	Mutual respect and cooperation among teachers	Strengthen professional learning communities and peer mentoring
Recognition and Support	Emotional and professional acknowledgment of effort	Institutionalize recognition rituals (e.g., monthly appreciation forums)
Autonomy and Empowerment	Decision-making freedom and intellectual ownership	Involve teachers in curriculum innovation and student assessment design
Emotional Safety	Freedom to express, fail, and innovate without fear	Create grievance-free spaces, reflective sessions, and anonymous feedback channels
Satisfaction Outcome	Emotional engagement, loyalty, and commitment	Use annual climate surveys to track and sustain satisfaction levels

Visual Model Summary:

Leadership → Trust → Recognition → Autonomy → Emotional Safety → Job Satisfaction

The framework provides a diagnostic and developmental map for educational institutions to assess and enhance their internal climate dynamics.

7.5 Transformative Insight

The overarching message of this research is that *organizational climate is the invisible infrastructure of education*. The success of any policy, reform, or innovation depends not on its design but on the **psychological atmosphere** that enables its implementation.

The study's multidimensional model invites a paradigm shift—from viewing teachers as policy implementers to recognizing them as co-creators of institutional consciousness. When climate becomes humane, satisfaction follows; when satisfaction deepens, education itself becomes transformative.

8. Conclusion

This study set out to decode the complex interplay between organizational climate and teacher job satisfaction, moving beyond the managerial vocabulary of "working conditions" toward the human vocabulary of *experience, emotion, and meaning*. The findings make one truth unmistakably clear: **teachers do not work within organizations; they work within climates of feeling.**

The quantitative analyses established a robust statistical linkage between climate and satisfaction, with leadership behavior, recognition, and collegial trust emerging as decisive predictors. The structural equation model validated a multidimensional pathway, showing that the influence of climate is mediated through psychological empowerment — particularly perceived support and autonomy. Complementary qualitative insights revealed that teachers experience their institutions less as bureaucratic systems and more as *emotional ecosystems*. Within these ecosystems, fairness, respect, and acknowledgment form the conditions for professional flourishing.

The study's **Multidimensional Pathway Model**—encompassing leadership empathy, recognition, autonomy, and emotional safety—offers an integrated understanding of how institutions generate satisfaction not through control, but through consciousness. Leadership emerges as the pivotal force: not because it commands, but because it *creates climate*. Recognition, in turn, operates as emotional currency, translating structural support into felt value.

The implications are transformative. Educational quality cannot be achieved solely through curricular reform or digital infrastructure; it depends on the *emotional architecture* of schools. When teachers feel valued, trusted, and heard, their satisfaction evolves into collective efficacy—an intangible yet powerful driver of institutional success. Conversely, neglecting the emotional dimension leads to quiet disengagement and policy fatigue.

From a theoretical standpoint, the research bridges three classic frameworks—Organizational Climate Theory, Herzberg's Motivation Theory, and Social Exchange Theory—into a unified explanatory model that situates satisfaction within both structure and sentiment. It confirms and extends earlier Indian studies (Sheokand, 2017a, 2018, 2023, 2024), adding empirical depth and conceptual precision to the claim that teacher well-being is the most reliable indicator of educational health.

Culturally, the study underscores that satisfaction in Indian schools is deeply relational: rooted in *sahridayata* (shared heartedness) rather than mere efficiency. In such contexts, leadership empathy and recognition are not optional virtues—they are existential needs that sustain the moral and motivational fabric of education.

Ultimately, the research invites a shift in how institutions are imagined and led. A school's organizational climate should be treated as a living organism—sensitive, adaptive, and emotional. Managing it requires emotional literacy as much as administrative skill. As this study demonstrates, when teachers experience climate as humane, satisfaction ceases to be a metric—it becomes a *state of belonging*.

In essence, the path to educational excellence runs not through systems, but through souls. Decoding the organizational climate is not an academic exercise—it is an act of restoring humanity to the very institution entrusted with cultivating it.

9. Limitations and Future Scope

Every rigorous inquiry carries within it both its contributions and its boundaries. The present study, while comprehensive in scope and method, is no exception. Its limitations offer valuable directions for continued exploration rather than constraints on interpretation.

9.1 Limitations

1. **Geographical Scope:**
   The study was confined to three Indian regions—Gujarat, Haryana, and Delhi NCR—which, although diverse, cannot represent the full heterogeneity of India's educational landscape. Regional variations in administrative culture and socio-economic conditions may influence teachers' perceptions of organizational climate.

2. **Cross-Sectional Design:**
   Data were collected at a single time point. While the findings establish strong associations, they cannot definitively capture causal dynamics or temporal shifts in satisfaction. Longitudinal or panel designs could better track how changes in climate interventions affect satisfaction over time.

3. **Self-Reported Data:**
   Both quantitative and qualitative data rely on self-reported perceptions. Despite reliability checks and triangulation, responses may carry elements of social desirability or recall bias.

4. **Focus on Teaching Staff:**
   The study centered on teachers' perceptions, omitting administrative and student perspectives that could offer a 360-degree view of institutional climate.

5. **Model Contextualization:**
   While the Multidimensional Pathway Model was empirically validated, its cultural and contextual specificity to Indian schooling systems may limit direct generalization to other educational contexts without adaptation.

9.2 Future Research Directions

The study opens multiple pathways for future scholarly exploration:

1. **Longitudinal and Experimental Research:**
   Future studies should examine the *causal trajectories* of organizational climate interventions—tracking how shifts in leadership behavior or recognition systems alter satisfaction, retention, and performance across academic years.

2. **Comparative and Cross-Cultural Studies:**
   Replicating the model across diverse national and institutional contexts can reveal whether the climate–satisfaction relationship operates universally or reflects culture-specific constructs such as hierarchy, collectivism, or emotional expressiveness.

3. **Inclusion of Multilevel Perspectives:**
   Integrating student, administrator, and policymaker perceptions could create a **multilevel climate framework**, illuminating how various stakeholders co-construct the institutional environment.

4. **Psychological and Neuro-Organizational Extensions:**
   Emerging research in affective neuroscience and positive psychology could explore how

emotional safety and recognition physiologically mediate motivation, offering deeper insight into the biobehavioral dimensions of climate and satisfaction.

5. **Policy Integration Studies:**
   Future policy-oriented projects can empirically test the effectiveness of *Teacher Climate–Satisfaction Pathway Frameworks* when embedded into school quality indices or leadership appraisal systems.

9.3 Concluding Outlook

The limitations acknowledged here do not diminish the study's contribution; rather, they delineate its next horizon. This research establishes a conceptual and empirical foundation for a new generation of studies that treat **organizational climate as a living ecosystem**—dynamic, perceptual, and transformative.

By extending this inquiry longitudinally, cross-culturally, and psychologically, scholars and policymakers alike can advance the frontier from understanding satisfaction to *engineering it consciously*.

The challenge ahead is not to measure climate more precisely, but to create it more wisely.

References

1. Bansal, R. (2019). *Teacher motivation and administrative challenges in Indian schools.* Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 9(2), 45–58.
2. Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life.* Wiley.
3. Bogler, R., & Nir, A. E. (2012). The importance of teachers' perceived organizational support to job satisfaction: What's empowerment got to do with it? *Educational Administration Quarterly, 48*(2), 279–316.
4. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101.
5. Collie, R. J., Shapka, J. D., & Perry, N. E. (2012). School climate and social–emotional learning: Predicting teacher stress, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104*(4), 1189–1204.
6. Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management, 31*(6), 874–900.
7. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
8. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 44*(2), 350–383.
9. Hallinger, P. (2011). Leadership for learning: Lessons from 40 years of empirical research. *Journal of Educational Administration, 49*(2), 125–142.
10. Herzberg, F. (1959). *The motivation to work.* Wiley.
11. Hofstede, G. (2011). *Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context.* Online Readings in Psychology and Culture, 2(1), 1–26.
12. Hoy, W. K., & Clover, S. I. R. (1986). Elementary school climate: A revision of the OCDQ. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 22*(1), 93–110.

13. Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2015). *Educational administration: Theory, research, and practice* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

14. Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling, 6*(1), 1–55.

15. James, L. R., Choi, C. C., Ko, C. H. E., McNeil, P. K., Minton, M. K., Wright, M. A., & Kim, K. I. (2008). Organizational and psychological climate: A review of theory and research. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 17*(1), 5–32.

16. Johnson, S. M., Kraft, M. A., & Papay, J. P. (2012). How context matters in high-need schools: The effects of teachers' working conditions on their professional satisfaction and their students' achievement. *Teachers College Record, 114*(10), 1–39.

17. Kythreotis, A., & Pashiardis, P. (2016). Exploring the relationship between organizational climate and teacher job satisfaction. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 44*(3), 460–483.

18. Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2006). Transformational school leadership for large-scale reform: Effects on students, teachers, and their classroom practices. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 17*(2), 201–227.

19. Litwin, G. H., & Stringer, R. A. (1968). *Motivation and organizational climate.* Harvard University Press.

20. Liu, S., & Ramsey, J. (2008). Teachers' job satisfaction: Analyses of the Teacher Follow-up Survey in the United States for 2000–2001. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 24*(5), 1173–1184.

21. NCERT. (2021). *National achievement survey and teacher satisfaction report.* National Council of Educational Research and Training.

22. Pearson, L. C., & Moomaw, W. (2005). The relationship between teacher autonomy and stress, work satisfaction, empowerment, and professionalism. *Educational Research Quarterly, 29*(1), 38–54.

23. Schneider, B., Ehrhart, M. G., & Macey, W. H. (2013). Organizational climate and culture. *Annual Review of Psychology, 64*, 361–388.

24. Sheokand, U. (2016). *Human rights and people with disability.* LAMBERT Academic Publishing. ISBN 978-3-659-87283-9.

25. Sheokand, U. (2017a). An elucidation of school administration and work culture of schools in relation to teacher's satisfaction. *Review of Public Administration and Management, 5*(2). https://doi.org/10.4172/2315-7844.1000217

26. Sheokand, U. (2017b). Primary education in India: An elucidation of policy initiatives, accomplishment and contradiction. *International Journal of Advanced Research, 5*(6), 482–490. https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/4437

27. Sheokand, U. (2017c). Protection of human rights through peace education. *International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, 3*(3), 218–223. http://ijariie.com/FormDetails.aspx?MenuScriptId=4566

28. Sheokand, U. (2018). Working women in unorganized sector: Public policy and challenges in India. *International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences, 8*(5), 6–26. Retrieved from https://www.ijmra.us/project%20doc/2018/IJPSS_MAY2018/IJMRA-13818.pdf

29. Sheokand, U. (2022). *Satisfaction of teachers towards provisions of RTE Act in India.* LAMBERT Academic Publishing. ISBN 978-620-4-97867-3.

30. Sheokand, U. (2023). *Public policy loopholes: Why educators are not satisfied?* IIP Iterative International Publisher. ISBN 978-1-68576-435-7.

31. Sheokand, U. (2024). Enhancing job satisfaction in primary school education: A comprehensive analysis of administrative and managerial strategies. *International Journal of Research Culture Society, 8*(6), 152–166.

32. Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2018). Job satisfaction, stress and coping strategies in the teaching profession: What do teachers say? *International Education Studies, 11*(3), 28–39.

33. Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes, and consequences.* Sage Publications.

34. Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, W. K. (2000). A multidisciplinary analysis of the nature, meaning, and measurement of trust within and between organizations. *Review of Educational Research, 70*(4), 547–593.

35. Sheokand, U. (2017d). Digital classrooms: A boon for achieving quality education in India. *International Journal of Advanced Research, 5*(6), 491–496.

36. Sheokand, U. (2015). Right to Service Act, 2011. In *Administrative Reforms in Punjab* (Seminar volume, March 21, 2014).

37. Sheokand, U. (2016). Digital India: New avenues to e-governance. In *Administrative Reforms and Good Governance* (pp. xx–xx). Avishkar Publisher & Distributors, Jaipur, India. ISBN 978-7910-540-5.

38. Sheokand, U. (2017e). Crime against women: Problems and suggestions—A case study of India. *International Journal in Management and Social Science, 5*(7), 218–223.

39. Sheokand, U. (2017f). Gandhism for world peace, stability and brotherhood. *International Journal in Management and Social Science, 5*(7), 231–234.

40. Sheokand, U. (2017g). Primary education and school teachers: An analysis of policy initiatives and its contradictions—A case study of Kaithal District, Haryana (India). *International Journal of Advanced Research, 5*(6), 521–528. https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/4443

41. Sheokand, U. (2017h). Millennium Development Goals (Goal 2): Public policy initiatives in India. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences, 7*(8), 291–305.

42. Sheokand, U. (2018). An elucidation of public health policy for people with disability in India. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 6*(2), 505–514. Retrieved from http://www.ijcrt.org/IJCRT1812063.pdf