Advanced International Journal for Research (AIJFR)
E-ISSN: 3048-7641 ● Website: www.aijfr.com ● Email: editor@aijfr.com

SAMIRANIC in Dialogue with Indian Fiction: A Comparative Study with Major Indian Novels

Dr. Anita Ghosh[1], Ashutosh Kumar[2]

[1]Former Head and Associate Professor, Department of English, R D S College, Muzaffarpur
[2]Research Scholar, Department of English, B R A Bihar University, Muzaffarpur

Abstract

This research article undertakes a comparative critical study of SAMIRANIC, the novel project by Samiran Kumar Paul, in dialogue with major Indian novels written in English. Situating SAMIRANIC within the evolving tradition of Indian fiction, the study examines how the work negotiates key thematic and aesthetic concerns such as memory, place, nature, narrative time, and the formation of self. Unlike many contemporary Indian novels that foreground urban crisis, political rupture, or spectacular historical events, SAMIRANIC is read as a hybrid life-writing novel that privileges continuity over disruption and reflection over immediacy.

The article compares SAMIRANIC with representative works by R. K. Narayan, Raja Rao, Arundhati Roy, Salman Rushdie, Rohinton Mistry, Khushwant Singh, and Aravind Adiga to illuminate both affinities and departures. Through this comparative lens, the study argues that SAMIRANIC aligns with the Indian tradition of place-based realism and lyrical narration while simultaneously reconfiguring it through an eco-aesthetic sensibility and a layered concept of time. Nature in SAMIRANIC functions not merely as background but as a formative force shaping memory, ethics, and artistic vision.

A central focus of the article is the novel's treatment of timeline, explored through chronological life-time, associative memory-time, and ecological or seasonal time. This triadic temporal structure distinguishes SAMIRANIC from plot-driven or nation-centric Indian novels and positions it within a reflective, poetic realist mode. The study concludes that SAMIRANIC represents a significant contemporary counter-current in Indian English fiction—one that restores value to the everyday, affirms cultural continuity, and reasserts the relevance of art and nature in understanding human experience in a rapidly globalizing world.

Keywords: SAMIRANIC; Samiran Kumar Paul; Indian English fiction; comparative study; life-writing novel; memory and place; art and nature; narrative timeline; eco-aesthetics; contemporary Indian novels

1. Introduction:

Why Compare SAMIRANIC with Indian Novels?

Indian fiction—especially Indian writing in English—has rarely been a single tradition. It is a field of multiple inheritances: the village and the metropolis, myth and history, realism and experimentation, the vernacular imagination and the global marketplace. Within this diverse landscape, Samiran Kumar

Paul's SAMIRANIC may be read as a hybrid life-writing novel project, aligned less with plot-driven spectacle and more with the aesthetics of memory, place, nature, and reflective narration. The value of comparing SAMIRANIC with other Indian novels lies in understanding how it participates in (and re-figures) some enduring Indian narrative concerns: the meaning of "home," the ethical weight of rural life, the formation of self through education and travel, the continuity of cultural memory, and the transformation of lived experience into art.

This paper compares SAMIRANIC with representative Indian novels across major phases—early nationalist modernism (Raja Rao), middle-period realism (R. K. Narayan), Partition and trauma narratives (Khushwant Singh), postcolonial nation-epics (Rushdie), caste/gender/region-inflected family histories (Roy), large-scale social realism (Mistry), and contemporary globalized critique (Adiga). The comparison is not meant to claim direct influence in every case; rather, it places SAMIRANIC in a network of affinities and productive contrasts.[1]

Because SAMIRANIC is best understood as a continuum—a project that foregrounds childhood imagination, travel-poetics, and the ecology of memory—its closest kinship is with Indian novels that treat narration as a way of being rather than merely a way of "telling a story." Yet SAMIRANIC also differs: it appears to prioritize the quiet intensities of the everyday and to craft a slow art of continuity in an era drawn to speed, fragmentation, and spectacle.[2]

If one wishes to locate SAMIRANIC in a tradition of Indian fiction that dignifies the everyday, R. K. Narayan's Malgudi novels offer a natural comparative frame. In Swami and Friends and Malgudi Days, Narayan builds a world where small events—school anxieties, family dynamics, local gossip—become meaningful because the narrative gaze is ethically attentive and quietly humorous (Narayan). SAMIRANIC similarly appears to treat childhood not merely as prelude but as primary terrain: childhood imagination becomes an origin-story of aesthetic sensibility.

The key difference lies in register. Narayan's realism is often understated, comic-ironic, and structurally neat; the Malgudi universe tends to stabilize experience into a recognizable social rhythm. SAMIRANIC, by contrast, is more explicitly invested in lyrical memory and in nature as a shaping presence. In Narayan, nature is present but not usually central as ecological philosophy; in SAMIRANIC, nature seems to function as symbolic infrastructure—river, field, monsoon, seasonal time—forming a lived poetics.

Yet the kinship is important: both works resist sensationalism and insist that a society can be understood through ordinary patterns of life. In contemporary terms, this is an aesthetic and ethical stance: to value smallness is to oppose the reduction of human experience into mere "content."[3]

Raja Rao's *Kanthapura* is a landmark village novel shaped by nationalist politics and Gandhian praxis. The village becomes a collective protagonist; mythic narration and oral cadence transform political history into cultural narrative (Rao). SAMIRANIC shares with *Kanthapura* a commitment to place and to the village as a moral and imaginative matrix. Both suggest that the village is not simply "backward" but a site where history, belief, and community converge.

However, Kanthapura is overtly a political novel; it is driven by the national movement and by the village's encounter with modern history. SAMIRANIC appears more inward and aesthetic, less a chronicle of public mobilization than a memoir-novel of self-formation. The village in SAMIRANIC seems to operate as origin memory—a spiritual geography of childhood and family—rather than as a stage for mass political awakening.

The comparison reveals SAMIRANIC's distinctive emphasis: rather than treating the village primarily as a political unit (as in Rao), it treats the village as an ecology of consciousness—a place that teaches attention, endurance, relational ethics, and continuity.[4]

Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things* is among the most influential Indian novels of the late twentieth century, known for its nonlinear time, lush sensory language, and the way it binds family story to social structures of caste, gender, and history (Roy). Here, SAMIRANIC finds a strong point of comparison: Roy's Ayemenem is not mere setting; it is a living atmosphere where smell, heat, insects, rain, river, and plant-life become carriers of memory and trauma.

Like Roy, SAMIRANIC can be read as pursuing a poetics of place, where nature is intimately linked to emotion. The difference is tonal and ethical emphasis. Roy's novel is sharply political, saturated with social critique, and driven by a catastrophic family event; its nature imagery often intensifies the claustrophobia of social taboo and historical violence. SAMIRANIC (as a project of continuity) appears more committed to restorative remembrance—to continuity rather than rupture, to the slow shaping of self rather than a single scandalous crisis.[5]

Yet Roy's technique of memory-time—moving through association rather than chronology—offers a valuable lens for reading SAMIRANIC's timeline. Both suggest that life is not remembered linearly. Time in such novels is psychological and symbolic: a riverbank may become a portal to decades.

Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* is a canonical postcolonial "nation novel," where personal life is fused with national history through allegory, satire, and magical realism (Rushdie). Comparing SAMIRANIC with Rushdie clarifies what SAMIRANIC is—and what it refuses to be.

Both works depend on memory and on the narrator's shaping intelligence. But Rushdie's aesthetic is extravagant: history becomes carnival, language becomes exuberant performance, and the self becomes allegorical container for the nation. SAMIRANIC, in contrast, appears to privilege micro-history over macro-history: the private self, family memory, rural ambience, and travel reflection over the boisterous epic of nation-state.

This difference is not a limitation; it is a position. In an era where national narratives often become ideological weapons, SAMIRANIC's inwardness can be read as a counter-gesture: the insistence that the civilizational is preserved not only by grand events but by the quiet continuity of lives, landscapes, and values.[6]

Where Rushdie's timeline is a national-historical chronicle, SAMIRANIC's timeline is closer to seasonal and experiential time—the rhythm of village life, the long memory of family, and the reflective time of travel.

Any comparative map of Indian novels must address Partition, the defining rupture of modern South Asian history. Khushwant Singh's *Train to Pakistan* remains a classic Partition narrative, dramatizing communal violence and moral crisis through the microcosm of Mano Majra (Singh). Comparing SAMIRANIC with Partition fiction highlights a crucial divergence: SAMIRANIC is not, centrally, a trauma novel. Yet it still intersects Partition's shadow insofar as Indian family histories often carry migration, loss, and resettlement as part of cultural memory.

The comparative insight is this: Partition novels foreground rupture and the collapse of everyday ethics; SAMIRANIC foregrounds continuity and the rebuilding of meaning through memory and landscape. But continuity does not mean denial. A mature reading can locate SAMIRANIC as participating in a post-Partition cultural condition where families preserve life by turning remembrance into narrative stability—by telling stories that bind generations.

In this respect, SAMIRANIC may also be compared with other trauma-related narratives such as Manto's short fiction tradition (though Manto is not a "novelist" in the strict sense). The larger point: Indian literature repeatedly negotiates between wound and healing. SAMIRANIC seems oriented toward the healing function of art—without necessarily evacuating history's pressure.[7]

Rohinton Mistry's *A Fine Balance* is among the most powerful Indian realist novels of social suffering, depicting the Emergency period and the brutalities faced by the poor and marginalized (Mistry). The novel's strength lies in portraying the "social totality"—how structures of power, bureaucracy, and poverty shape intimate life.

SAMIRANIC differs sharply in scale and method. Where Mistry foregrounds the systemic and often the catastrophic, SAMIRANIC appears to foreground the formative—childhood, place, the gradual making of self, the gentle but enduring force of nature and memory. If Mistry shows how history crushes lives, SAMIRANIC seems to show how lives, through attention and memory, can preserve a human core even when history threatens meaning.

Yet comparison is fruitful: both novels affirm that art must remain ethically awake. Even if SAMIRANIC is less politically explicit, it can still be assessed by an ethical realist standard: does it acknowledge social complexity—class, region, institutional power—without romanticizing hardship? This is the criterion through which SAMIRANIC can claim seriousness alongside major social realist novels.[8]

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* critiques contemporary India through a narrator whose ascent exposes corruption, inequality, and moral compromise (Adiga). The novel is fast, ironic, darkly comic, and shaped by the logic of the marketplace. In comparison, SAMIRANIC appears to represent almost the opposite temperament: slow, reflective, place-rooted, ethically continuous.

This contrast is illuminating for contemporary relevance. Adiga represents the India of rapid economic change and cynical realism; SAMIRANIC represents an India where value is still discoverable in memory, nature, and relational ethics. Both are contemporary in different ways: one diagnoses the pathology of modern systems; the other safeguards a continuity of humanistic sensibility.

If *The White Tiger* reveals the violence of upward mobility, SAMIRANIC suggests an alternate model of development: development as deepening perception, not merely acquiring power. In this way, SAMIRANIC becomes a quiet critique of neoliberal modernity—not by polemic but by aesthetic refusal of speed and cynicism.[9]

A central requirement of your project is "timeline." Indian novels have often experimented with time structures. Roy uses nonlinear memory loops; Rushdie fuses personal time with national chronology; Mistry keeps a more linear realist progression; Narayan often prefers episodic continuity.

SAMIRANIC appears to operate with three layers of time: 1. Chronological life-time: childhood → education → adulthood → travel → reflective maturity. 2. Memory-time: associative returns where a landscape or object reopens earlier life. 3. Nature-time: seasons, rivers, monsoon cycles—time measured ecologically rather than mechanically.

This triadic timeline distinguishes SAMIRANIC from many city-centered Indian novels that measure time by career, crisis, or politics. It is closer to the time-sense of nature-oriented and village-centred narratives, but with a modern introspective craft that aligns it with postmodern memory-structures.

Comparatively, this places SAMIRANIC nearer to the "lyric novel" tendency—where the timeline is not just what happens but how the self learns to see.[10]

Indian fiction has always carried a tension between social document and aesthetic lyricism. The Indian novel can be moral-philosophical (Raja Rao), realist-communal (Narayan), national-epic (Rushdie), trauma-testimony (Singh), lyrical-political family chronicle (Roy), or large-scale social realism (Mistry), among many other modes.

SAMIRANIC seems best understood as a life-writing, nature-inflected, reflective realist project, with these distinguishing features:

Aesthetics of attention: significance emerges from small scenes and remembered details. Nature as symbolic companion: landscape is not scenery; it is meaning. Continuity as ethical imagination: the narrative favours civilizational and familial continuity rather than rupture-centred melodrama. Travel as re-vision: travel broadens the self, then returns it to origins with renewed insight. Timeline as layered time: chronological life, memory loops, and seasonal rhythm.

This combination gives SAMIRANIC a recognizable location within Indian fiction while also granting it a distinct niche: it is less a "problem novel" and more a conscience-and-memory novel, where the artistry lies in transforming lived time into aesthetic form.[11]

Conclusion:

SAMIRANIC as a Contemporary Counter-Current

Comparing SAMIRANIC with major Indian novels reveals both kinship and difference. Like Narayan and Raja Rao, it takes place seriously; like Roy and Rushdie, it recognizes memory as a shaping force; like Partition fiction, it stands in a civilizational context where history matters; like Mistry and Adiga, it

cannot escape the ethical question of what modernity does to human life. Yet its temperament appears distinctive: it seeks wholeness rather than fragmentation, attention rather than speed, ecological time rather than market time.

In the contemporary Indian literary field—often dominated by urban crisis narratives, globalized satire, and high-voltage plot—SAMIRANIC can function as a counter-current: a return to the belief that the deepest drama is the making of a self in relationship with family, place, memory, and nature. This does not make it "less modern." It makes it modern in a quieter way: it proposes that the future of humanistic culture depends on our ability to remember, to look slowly, and to turn the textures of life into art.

Footnotes

1. Comparative literary method here is used to map affinities and contrasts rather than to assert direct influence in every instance.
2. This assessment treats SAMIRANIC as a hybrid life-writing novel project (childhood + travel + reflective poetics). For close textual comparison with page-level citation, a stable primary text with page numbers would be required.
3. Narayan's art of the ordinary helps clarify SAMIRANIC's ethical attention to everyday life, though the stylistic temper differs.
4. Kanthapura turns village narrative into nationalist chronicle; SAMIRANIC appears to turn village memory into an ecology of selfhood.
5. Roy's novel is driven by social taboo and rupture; SAMIRANIC appears driven by continuity and reflective self-making.
6. Rushdie's macro-history contrasts with SAMIRANIC's micro-history; both foreground the narrator's shaping intelligence.
7. Partition fiction foregrounds catastrophe and ethical collapse; SAMIRANIC can be read as emphasizing continuity and meaning-making in the long aftermath of historical shocks.
8. The ethical test for any rural/memory narrative is whether it avoids romanticizing hardship and acknowledges social complexity.
9. The contrast with Adiga foregrounds SAMIRANIC's counter-neoliberal aesthetic: slow time, continuity, and ecological attention.
10. "Lyric novel" is used broadly to indicate a narrative where mood, image, and reflective cadence are as important as plot.
11. The comparative location proposed here is a critical positioning, not a marketing label: SAMIRANIC as reflective realism with eco-aesthetic sensibility.

Works Cited

1. Adiga, Aravind. The White Tiger. Free Press, 2008.
2. Bhabha, Homi K. The Location of Culture. Routledge, 1994.
3. Buell, Lawrence. The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture. Harvard UP, 1995.
4. Garrard, Greg. Ecocriticism. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2012.
5. Mistry, Rohinton. A Fine Balance. Knopf Canada, 1995.

6. Narayan, R. K. Swami and Friends. Indian Thought Publications, 1935.
7. ---. Malgudi Days. Indian Thought Publications, 1943.
8. Paul, Samiran Kumar. *Samiranic.* Aadi Publication, 2025.
9. ---. Samiranic: Childhood Imagination. Aadi Publication, 2025.
10. ---. Samiranic: Travel & Poetics. Aadi Publication, 2025.
11. Rao, Raja. Kanthapura. Oxford UP, 1938.
12. Roy, Arundhati. The God of Small Things. IndiaInk, 1997.
13. Rushdie, Salman. Midnight's Children. Jonathan Cape, 1981.
14. Said, Edward W. Culture and Imperialism. Vintage, 1993.
15. Singh, Khushwant. Train to Pakistan. Grove Press, 1956.
16. Williams, Raymond. The Country and the City. Oxford UP, 1973.