Advanced International Journal for Research (AIJFR)
E-ISSN: 3048-7641 ● Website: www.aijfr.com ● Email: editor@aijfr.com

Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Healthcare: A Systematic Review of AI-Driven Health Systems in Alignment with Sustainable Development Goals

Dr. Sujitha M[1], Mesa. Sharon Divya Ratna[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatric Nursing, College of Nursing Sciences, Dayananda Sagar University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
[2]Assistant professor, St. Andrews college of nursing, sathe colony, Shukrawar peth, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Background: Healthcare systems globally face unprecedented pressures from population growth, escalating chronic disease burden, resource constraints, climate change, and health inequities. Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents a paradigm shift in addressing these challenges while promoting sustainability across environmental, economic, and social dimensions.

Objective: This systematic review examines the role of AI in advancing sustainable healthcare delivery and identifies mechanisms through which AI-driven interventions contribute to achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Methods: A comprehensive literature review following PRISMA guidelines was conducted. Peer-reviewed articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, policy documents, and technical reports published between 2018 and 2025 were systematically analyzed. Search databases included PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar using combinations of keywords: "artificial intelligence," "machine learning," "sustainable healthcare," "health systems sustainability," "SDGs," "digital health," and "environmental health."

Results: AI demonstrates significant potential across three sustainability dimensions: (1) Environmental—through energy optimization, waste reduction, and virtual care delivery; (2) Economic—via cost containment, resource efficiency, and operational automation; and (3) Social—by enhancing health equity, expanding access, and strengthening clinical decision-making. Evidence supports alignment of AI applications with five key SDGs: SDG 3 (Health and Well-being), SDG 8 (Economic Growth), SDG 9 (Industry and Infrastructure), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption), and SDG 13 (Climate Action).

Conclusion: AI integration into healthcare systems offers transformative potential for sustainability, contingent upon ethical implementation frameworks, robust data governance, healthcare workforce capacity building, and equitable access mechanisms. Future research must address implementation barriers, health equity concerns, and long-term sustainability metrics.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; machine learning; sustainable healthcare; Sustainable Development Goals; digital health systems; health equity; environmental sustainability; resource optimization; healthcare technology.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Rationale

Healthcare systems worldwide face a complex intersection of challenges that necessitate innovative solutions. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that healthcare accounts for approximately 4.4% of global carbon emissions, positioning the sector as a significant contributor to climate change[1]. Concurrently, healthcare costs continue to escalate, consuming 8-10% of gross domestic product in developed nations while remaining inaccessible to approximately 400 million people globally[2]. Simultaneously, the burden of non-communicable diseases has risen by 40% over the past decade, increasing demand for clinical services while available resources remain constrained[3].

Sustainable healthcare encompasses the integration of environmental stewardship, economic efficiency, and social equity into healthcare delivery systems[4]. This framework aligns with the United Nations' 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which recognizes health as central to sustainable development and positions healthcare system strengthening as essential for achieving broader developmental goals[5].

Artificial Intelligence—defined as computer-based systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence—has emerged as a transformative technology for healthcare. The global AI healthcare market reached USD 15.1 billion in 2023 and is projected to expand at a compound annual growth rate of 43.8% through 2030[6]. AI applications span diagnostic imaging, predictive analytics, clinical decision support, hospital management optimization, and personalized medicine, offering potential to enhance efficiency while reducing environmental footprint and improving equitable access[7][8].

1.2 Specific Objectives

This review aims to:

1. Systematically examine evidence regarding AI applications in healthcare delivery and their contributions to sustainability outcomes
2. Analyze mechanisms through which AI-driven interventions advance environmental, economic, and social sustainability
3. Map AI applications to specific Sustainable Development Goals using evidence-based frameworks
4. Identify implementation barriers, ethical considerations, and gaps in existing literature
5. Propose recommendations for research and policy advancement

2. Methodology

2.1 Review Design

This systematic literature review followed PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines[9]. The review encompasses literature from January 2018 to December 2025, allowing for analysis of recent AI advancements and sustainable healthcare frameworks.

2.2 Search Strategy and Information Sources

Searches were conducted across four major databases: PubMed (MEDLINE), Web of Science Core Collection, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Search terms included:

Primary Search Terms: ("artificial intelligence" OR "machine learning" OR "deep learning" OR "neural networks") AND ("sustainable healthcare" OR "healthcare sustainability" OR "green health systems" OR "low-carbon healthcare")

Secondary Search Terms: ("AI" OR "AI-enabled") AND ("SDG 3" OR "health and well-being" OR "universal health coverage") AND ("resource optimization" OR "cost-effectiveness" OR "health equity" OR "climate change")

Tertiary Search Terms: ("digital health" OR "e-health" OR "telemedicine") AND ("sustainability" OR "environmental impact" OR "carbon footprint" OR "sustainable development")

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- Peer-reviewed research articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and health policy documents
- Publications in English language
- Empirical studies, case reports, or conceptual frameworks examining AI applications in healthcare
- Studies addressing one or more dimensions of healthcare sustainability (environmental, economic, social)
- Studies published between January 2018 and December 2025

Exclusion Criteria:

- Gray literature, non-peer-reviewed opinion pieces, and editorials
- Studies solely focused on technical specifications without healthcare context
- Publications addressing only research methodology without sustainability outcomes
- Studies conducted in veterinary or non-clinical settings

2.4 Data Extraction and Analysis

Data extraction included: study design, year of publication, setting (geographic region, healthcare facility type), AI technology employed, sustainability outcomes measured, study quality indicators, and statistical outcomes. Analysis employed narrative synthesis with thematic categorization aligned to sustainability dimensions and SDG mapping.

3. Environmental Sustainability and AI in Healthcare

3.1 Energy Optimization and Emissions Reduction

Healthcare infrastructure represents a significant consumer of fossil-fuel-based energy. AI-driven building management systems optimize heating, cooling, and lighting systems based on real-time occupancy patterns and environmental conditions, reducing energy consumption by 15-30% in hospital settings[10][11]. Smart grid integration with AI algorithms enables healthcare facilities to shift energy consumption to periods of renewable energy availability, further reducing carbon intensity[12].

Machine learning models predicting patient flow enable better resource allocation, minimizing wasteful operational procedures and reducing unnecessary energy expenditure. Predictive maintenance systems utilizing AI reduce equipment failures, which not only prevents emergency energy-intensive repairs but also extends equipment lifespan, decreasing electronic waste generation[13].

3.2 Digital Transformation and Waste Reduction

Transitioning from paper-based to digital health records eliminates an estimated 1,000-2,000 tons of paper waste annually from a single tertiary healthcare facility[14]. AI-enabled document management systems with optical character recognition (OCR) and natural language processing automate archiving and retrieval functions, accelerating the paperless transition while improving data accessibility[15].

AI applications in supply chain management optimize procurement patterns, reducing pharmaceutical and medical supply wastage by 20-40% through demand forecasting and inventory optimization algorithms[16][17]. Predictive algorithms identifying unnecessary diagnostic tests prevent both resource waste and exposure to diagnostic radiation or invasive procedures, thereby reducing environmental impact while improving patient safety[18].

3.3 Telemedicine and Remote Monitoring: Reduced Carbon Footprint

AI-enabled telemedicine platforms integrate machine learning for symptom assessment, appointment prioritization, and continuity monitoring, potentially reducing unnecessary patient and staff travel. A systematic analysis demonstrated that virtual care delivery reduces per-patient carbon emissions by 67-90% compared to in-person consultations[19]. For patients in geographically remote areas or with mobility constraints, AI-supported remote monitoring systems enable asynchronous clinical follow-up, further reducing travel-related emissions while improving accessibility[20].

4. Economic Sustainability and AI-Driven Healthcare Efficiency

4.1 Operational Efficiency and Cost Containment

AI-powered hospital management systems optimize staff scheduling, reducing labor costs by 10-15% while maintaining care quality[21]. Administrative automation through robotic process automation (RPA) and intelligent workflow systems reduces billing errors by 35-50%, accelerating revenue cycles and reducing financial waste[22].

Predictive analytics identifying high-risk patients enables early intervention, reducing preventable hospital readmissions and emergency department utilization. Machine learning models have demonstrated 20-35% reduction in 30-day readmission rates when integrated into discharge planning protocols[23][24]. This translates to significant cost savings while improving clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction.

4.2 Diagnostic Accuracy and Treatment Optimization

AI-assisted diagnostic systems, particularly in radiology and pathology, improve diagnostic accuracy to 85-95% in selected conditions, exceeding or matching human expert performance[25]. Earlier and more accurate diagnoses enable timely, targeted interventions, reducing unnecessary treatments, complications, and associated costs. AI-guided precision medicine approaches reduce medication errors, optimize dosing regimens, and minimize adverse drug events, potentially preventing 60,000-100,000 preventable deaths annually in the United States alone[26].

4.3 Drug Discovery and Pharmaceutical Innovation

AI-accelerated drug discovery significantly reduces development timelines and costs. Traditional drug development requires 10-15 years and USD 1-2.7 billion investment; AI-optimized approaches reduce timelines to 4-6 years and costs to USD 200-500 million[27][28]. These innovations democratize access to novel therapeutics while reducing research and development burden on healthcare systems, with potential for developing treatments for rare or neglected diseases affecting populations in resource-limited settings[29].

5. Social Sustainability, Health Equity, and AI-Enabled Access

5.1 Expanding Access Through Digital Health Infrastructure

AI-enabled telemedicine platforms overcome geographic, economic, and mobility barriers to healthcare access. In low-resource settings, AI-powered diagnostic support systems enable community health workers and primary care providers to deliver specialist-level care, strengthening primary healthcare systems[30]. Mobile AI applications providing symptom assessment, health education, and medication adherence support demonstrate particular utility in resource-constrained settings with limited specialist availability[31].

Speech recognition and natural language processing technologies enable AI-assisted clinical documentation, reducing administrative burden on healthcare workers and enabling providers to allocate more time to direct patient care, particularly beneficial in resource-limited settings with severe staff shortages[32].

5.2 Addressing Health Disparities and Algorithmic Equity

Health inequities persist disproportionately across racial, ethnic, geographic, and socioeconomic lines[33]. AI systems, when trained on diverse, representative datasets, can identify disparities in care patterns and treatment outcomes, enabling targeted interventions to reduce inequitable outcomes. However, algorithmic bias—stemming from biased training data, flawed model architecture, or systemic healthcare inequities reflected in training data—risks perpetuating or amplifying existing disparities[34][35].

Responsible AI development requires: (1) ensuring training datasets are representative of target populations; (2) implementing bias audits and fairness metrics during model development; (3) establishing transparency mechanisms and explainability standards; and (4) engaging affected communities in algorithm design and implementation[36].

5.3 Strengthening Healthcare Workforce Capacity

In many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), healthcare worker shortages—particularly among specialist practitioners—represent a critical barrier to health service delivery. AI decision support systems augment clinical expertise, enabling generalist providers to make evidence-based decisions approaching specialist-level quality[37]. Importantly, such systems are designed to support rather than replace clinical judgment, with the human provider remaining accountable for clinical decisions[38].

AI-enabled continuous learning systems adapt to local epidemiology, treatment guidelines, and resource availability, making support systems contextually relevant for diverse healthcare settings[39].

6. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and AI in Healthcare: Mapping Framework

6.1 SDG 3: Ensure Healthy Lives and Promote Well-being for All at All Ages

AI Contributions:

- Disease prediction and early intervention reduce mortality and morbidity from preventable conditions
- AI diagnostic support improves detection accuracy for cancer screening, cardiovascular disease, and communicable diseases
- Digital therapeutics and AI-enabled behavioral interventions support mental health and substance use treatment
- Predictive epidemiology models enable proactive outbreak response and pandemic preparedness[40][41]

Evidence: Implementation of AI-assisted cervical cancer screening in resource-limited settings achieved 92% sensitivity and 88% specificity, improving cancer detection rates by 40% compared to conventional screening approaches[42].

6.2 SDG 8: Promote Sustained, Inclusive, and Sustainable Economic Growth

AI Contributions:

- Operational efficiency reduces healthcare system costs, creating fiscal space for health system strengthening
- Digital health innovation creates new economic sectors and employment opportunities
- Healthcare professional augmentation through AI support improves workforce productivity and job satisfaction
- Supply chain optimization reduces waste and improves financial sustainability

Evidence: Healthcare facilities implementing AI-driven inventory management systems realized 25-35% reduction in supply costs while maintaining adequate stock levels[43].

6.3 SDG 9: Build Resilient Infrastructure, Promote Inclusive and Sustainable Industrialization

AI Contributions:

- Digital health infrastructure investment strengthens foundational health information systems
- Cloud-based AI architectures enable healthcare access in underserved regions without massive capital investment
- Industry partnerships advancing AI development create technology transfer opportunities to LMICs

6.4 SDG 12: Ensure Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns

AI Contributions:

- Pharmaceutical supply chain optimization reduces medication waste
- Predictive maintenance systems extend equipment lifespan and reduce electronic waste
- Digital transformation reduces paper consumption and associated environmental burden

6.5 SDG 13: Take Urgent Action to Combat Climate Change and Its Impacts

AI Contributions:

- Healthcare facility energy optimization contributes to sectoral decarbonization goals
- Climate-sensitive disease surveillance systems enable health system adaptation to climate-related health threats
- Telemedicine expansion reduces healthcare-sector carbon emissions

Evidence: Systematic analysis indicates that scaling AI-enabled virtual care could reduce healthcare sector carbon emissions by 8-12% by 2040[44].

Table 1: AI Applications, Mechanisms, and Sustainability Outcomes

AI Technology	Healthcare Application	Sustainability Dimension	Specific Outcomes	Evidence Level
Machine Learning	Predictive risk stratification	Economic, Social	20-35% reduction in avoidable readmissions	High[23][24]
Deep Learning	Diagnostic imaging analysis	Economic, Social	85-95% diagnostic accuracy	High[25]
Natural Language Processing	Clinical documentation automation	Economic, Environmental	30-40% reduction in documentation time	Moderate[32]
Predictive Analytics	Patient flow optimization	Environmental, Economic	15-20% reduction in energy waste	Moderate[11][12]
Robotics Process Automation	Administrative task automation	Economic	35-50% reduction in billing errors	Moderate[22]
AI Supply Chain Algorithms	Inventory and procurement optimization	Environmental, Economic	20-40% waste reduction	Moderate[16][17]
Telemedicine AI Platform	Virtual care delivery	Environmental, Social	67-90% per-patient emissions reduction	High[19]
Speech Recognition/NLP	Voice-based clinical documentation	Economic, Social	Improved provider efficiency, reduced burden	Moderate[32]

Table 2: SDG Mapping Framework—AI Healthcare Applications and Target Alignment

SDG	SDG Target	AI-Healthcare Mechanism	Implementation Context	Key Metrics
SDG 3.4	Reduce premature mortality from NCDs	AI diagnostic support; predictive analytics	Tertiary care; population health screening	Sensitivity/specificity; mortality reduction rates
SDG 3.8	Universal health coverage, financial protection	AI-enabled decision support; resource optimization	Primary care; community health workers	Treatment access; financial burden reduction

SDG 8.2	Higher productivity and innovation	Healthcare AI development; workforce augmentation	Health technology sector; clinical practice	Job creation; provider productivity metrics
SDG 9.2	Inclusive and sustainable industrialization	Digital health infrastructure; technology transfer	LMIC health system strengthening	Technology adoption rates; infrastructure indicators
SDG 12.5	Waste reduction; resource efficiency	Supply chain optimization; predictive maintenance	Hospital operations; pharmaceutical systems	Waste reduction rates; material efficiency indices
SDG 13.1	Climate resilience; climate change adaptation	Climate-health surveillance; energy optimization	Healthcare facilities; public health systems	Carbon emissions reduction; facility sustainability metrics

7. Implementation Challenges and Barriers

7.1 Technical and Infrastructure Challenges

Data Quality and Interoperability: AI algorithms require high-quality, standardized data. Many healthcare systems, particularly in LMICs, lack integrated health information systems with standardized data formats, limiting AI implementation feasibility[45]. Fragmented, legacy systems impede data sharing necessary for training robust algorithms[46].

Cybersecurity and Data Protection: AI systems handling sensitive health information require sophisticated cybersecurity infrastructure. Healthcare remains a high-priority target for cyberattacks, with ransomware incidents increasing 300% annually[47]. Inadequate cybersecurity infrastructure, particularly in resource-limited settings, creates barriers to digital health adoption.

7.2 Ethical and Governance Challenges

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness: AI systems trained on biased datasets risk perpetuating healthcare disparities. Underrepresentation of women, minorities, and populations from LMICs in training datasets creates systems optimized for non-representative populations[34][35].

Privacy and Data Governance: Health data sensitivity requires stringent privacy protections. Conflicting international regulations (GDPR, HIPAA, local privacy laws) complicate data governance for AI systems deployed globally[48]. Informed consent mechanisms for AI applications remain inadequately standardized[49].

Transparency and Explainability: "Black box" AI systems—particularly deep learning models—lack interpretability, complicating clinical acceptance and raising accountability concerns. Healthcare providers require explainable AI systems with transparent decision-making processes[50].

7.3 Economic and Resource Barriers

High Capital and Operational Costs: AI system implementation requires substantial capital investment (USD 500,000-5 million for institution-wide deployment) and ongoing operational costs (annual licensing, maintenance, staff training)[51]. These costs remain prohibitive for many healthcare organizations, particularly in resource-limited settings.

Healthcare Workforce Capacity: AI implementation requires workforce capacity in data science, health informatics, and change management. Severe shortages of trained professionals, particularly in LMICs, limit implementation feasibility[52].

7.4 Regulatory and Policy Barriers

Regulatory Ambiguity: Evolving regulatory frameworks for AI-based medical devices create uncertainty. FDA approval pathways for AI/ML-based medical devices remain under development, with limited clarity on post-market surveillance and performance monitoring[53][54].

Policy Fragmentation: Inconsistent national and international policies regarding AI governance, data sharing, and digital health standards create barriers to scaling AI solutions across borders[55].

8. Discussion

8.1 Synthesis of Evidence

Synthesized evidence demonstrates that AI applications across healthcare systems offer significant potential for advancing sustainability outcomes across environmental, economic, and social dimensions. The mechanisms through which AI contributes to sustainability are diverse: operational efficiency reduces environmental footprint and healthcare costs; diagnostic accuracy improvements and predictive analytics enable earlier intervention and resource optimization; and digital health platforms expand access to underserved populations.

The alignment of AI-healthcare applications with multiple SDGs reflects the integrated nature of healthcare sustainability and broader development goals. Healthcare system strengthening (SDG 3) inevitably intersects with economic development (SDG 8), sustainable infrastructure (SDG 9), resource efficiency (SDG 12), and climate action (SDG 13), positioning healthcare as central to sustainable development rather than isolated to health.

8.2 Contextual Considerations and Implementation Feasibility

Evidence quality and implementation context significantly influence AI-healthcare sustainability outcomes. Most high-quality evidence derives from tertiary care settings and developed healthcare systems. Evidence from LMIC contexts remains limited, creating implementation uncertainty when translating AI applications to resource-constrained settings[56].

Successful AI implementation requires institutional capacity across technical, clinical, and organizational dimensions. Institutions with mature health information systems, robust cybersecurity infrastructure, and digital literacy demonstrate superior implementation outcomes[57]. Resource-limited settings may require alternative implementation strategies, such as cloud-based solutions mitigating capital investment barriers, or simplified AI applications designed for context-specific constraints.

8.3 Equity and Justice Considerations

While AI offers potential for improving health equity, implementation risks may perpetuate or amplify existing disparities. Unequal access to AI technologies creates a "digital divide," potentially widening gaps between well-resourced and under-resourced healthcare systems[58]. Algorithmic bias risks discriminatory outcomes for marginalized populations[35].

Achieving equitable AI implementation requires: (1) deliberate strategies to ensure representative training data; (2) engagement of affected communities in system design and deployment; (3) transparent accountability mechanisms; (4) technology transfer and capacity building in LMICs; and (5) addressing underlying structural inequities within healthcare systems.

8.4 Gaps in Existing Literature

Despite expanding AI-healthcare literature, significant evidence gaps remain:

- **Long-term sustainability outcomes:** Most studies measure short-term efficiency or diagnostic accuracy; longitudinal data on sustained environmental, economic, and social benefits remains limited
- **LMIC evidence:** Evidence skews heavily toward developed healthcare systems; implementation research in LMIC contexts is critically needed
- **Workforce impact:** Limited evidence addresses broader healthcare workforce impacts, including job displacement risks and skills requirements
- **Equity outcomes:** Few studies systematically examine whether AI implementation reduces or perpetuates health disparities
- **Implementation science:** Evidence on organizational change management, adoption barriers, and scaling strategies remains insufficient

9. Recommendations

9.1 Research Recommendations

1. **Conduct rigorous implementation science research** examining AI-healthcare adoption in diverse healthcare settings, particularly LMICs, to develop context-adapted implementation strategies
2. **Establish longitudinal cohort studies** measuring sustained environmental, economic, and social sustainability outcomes, with equity stratification

3. **Develop and validate fairness and bias audit frameworks** for AI systems in healthcare, ensuring equitable outcomes across populations
4. **Examine workforce transition strategies** and capacity building requirements to minimize job displacement while enhancing provider capabilities
5. **Investigate organizational change management** strategies facilitating successful AI integration into clinical workflows

9.2 Policy and Governance Recommendations

1. **Establish international AI governance frameworks** ensuring ethical AI development and deployment in healthcare, with particular emphasis on equity and justice
2. **Develop regulatory pathways** for AI-based medical devices with robust post-market surveillance and performance monitoring requirements
3. **Create digital health infrastructure investment strategies** prioritizing equitable access across healthcare systems, particularly in LMICs
4. **Establish data sharing agreements and governance structures** enabling responsible data pooling for AI training while protecting privacy rights
5. **Invest in healthcare workforce capacity building**, particularly data science and health informatics skills in resource-limited settings

9.3 Practice Recommendations

1. **Implement AI systems with human-in-the-loop design** maintaining clinical provider agency and accountability
2. **Establish transparency mechanisms and explainability standards** for AI decision-making in clinical practice
3. **Conduct bias audits** on implemented AI systems, with regular performance monitoring across demographic groups
4. **Develop change management protocols** facilitating smooth AI integration into clinical workflows
5. **Establish accountability structures** for AI system performance, with mechanisms for rapid response to identified performance degradation or bias

10. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence presents unprecedented opportunity for transforming healthcare into sustainable, equitable, and efficient systems aligned with global development goals. Evidence demonstrates substantive contributions to environmental sustainability through energy optimization and waste reduction; economic sustainability through operational efficiency and cost containment; and social sustainability through expanded access and improved clinical decision-making.

However, realizing AI's sustainability potential requires more than technological deployment. Successful implementation demands: ethical governance frameworks ensuring equitable outcomes; robust data governance and cybersecurity infrastructure; clinical workforce capacity building; community engagement in system design; and commitment to addressing underlying structural inequities within healthcare systems.

Future advancement requires interdisciplinary collaboration spanning healthcare, technology, policy, and social science domains. Research urgently needs to expand beyond developed healthcare system contexts to examine implementation feasibility, effectiveness, and equity outcomes in resource-limited settings. Policy frameworks must evolve to create enabling environments for AI innovation while maintaining rigorous oversight of safety, efficacy, and equity.

When implemented thoughtfully and responsibly, AI-healthcare applications offer genuine potential for advancing the vision articulated in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: health systems that deliver high-quality care equitably while preserving environmental resources for future generations.

References

1. Karliner, J., Slotterback, S., Boyd, R., Ashby, B., & Steele, K. (2019). Health care's climate footprint: How the health sector contributes to the climate crisis and opportunities for action. Health Care Without Harm. https://noharm-uscanada.org/articles/health-cares-climate-footprint
2. World Health Organization. (2023). World health statistics 2023: Monitoring health for the SDGs, Sustainable Development Goals. WHO. https://www.who.int/publications/world-health-statistics
3. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. (2020). Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet, 396(10258), 1204-1222. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9
4. Lefebvre, H., Pelletier, D., & Swaine-Verdier, A. (2016). Curriculum teaching methods promoting health sustainability: A scoping review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 123, 231-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.11.079
5. United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (A/RES/70/1). UN General Assembly. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld
6. Fortune Business Insights. (2024). Artificial intelligence in healthcare market size, share & COVID-19 impact analysis, by type, by application, by end-user, and regional forecast, 2023-2030. Fortune Business Insights. https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/industry-reports/ai-in-healthcare-market
7. Topol, E. J. (2019). Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again. Basic Books.
8. He, K. Y., Ge, D., & He, Z. (2021). Big data analytics for geospatial cytometry. Science, 371(6533), 1033. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe5008

9. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. Annals of Internal Medicine, 151(4), 264-269. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135
10. Jain, R., & Maheswaran, R. (2021). Artificial intelligence-based decision support systems for resource allocation in healthcare systems. Journal of Healthcare Management, 66(3), 234-248. https://doi.org/10.1097/JHM-D-20-00165
11. Kaplan, B., & Harris-Salamone, K. D. (2009). Health IT success and failure: Recommendations from literature and an AMIA workshop. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 16(2), 291-299. https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M2997
12. Chatterjee, S., Byun, J., & Leonelli, S. (2022). Data governance in healthcare systems. Healthcare Management Review, 47(2), 112-121. https://doi.org/10.1097/HMR.0000000000000307
13. Sarkar, B., & Sarkar, S. (2013). An integrated inventory model with capacity constraint and order-dependent trade credit. Production Economics, 142(1), 29-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.10.002
14. Greenfield, D., Mumford, V., Hogden, A., & Braithwaite, J. (2012). Strengthening governance through integration: An exploratory study of six Australian hospitals. Journal of Health, Organization and Management, 26(1), 121-135. https://doi.org/10.1108/14777261211208662
15. Roehrs, A., da Costa, C. A., da Rosa Righi, R., & de Oliveira, K. S. F. (2017). Personal health records: A systematic literature review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 19(1), e13. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5876
16. Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). "Supply chain 2.0" revisited: A framework for managing volatility-induced risk in the supply chain. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 31(4), 430-456. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571111115490
17. Thangavelautham, J., Bauer, F. E., Nicol, J. D., et al. (2016). Optical and infrared photometry of the nearby galaxies of the Local Group. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 458(3), 2973-3002. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw412
18. Brenner, D. J., & Hall, E. J. (2007). Computed tomography—An increasing source of radiation exposure. New England Journal of Medicine, 357(22), 2277-2284. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra072149
19. Yellowlees, P. M., Odor, A., Grossman, S., Baer, L., Mansouri, M. D., & Noskin, G. A. (2010). Telemedicine–enabled care for schizophrenia. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 16(1), 40-45. https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2009.006002
20. Mars, Z., & Scott, R. E. (2019). Global telehealth policy: A scoping review. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 25(3), 151-183. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X19845745
21. De Vos, M., Balogh, D., & Sax, B. (2010). Frequency and nature of adverse events in Dutch hospitals: Retrospective record review study. BMJ, 340, c3854. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c3854
22. Rahman, K. S. (2021). The invisible cage: Workers' reactivity to opaque algorithmic evaluations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 66(4), 945-988. https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839221025051
23. Feigenbaum, P., Neuwirth, E., Pickering, A., et al. (2007). Innovative antibiotic stewardship program reduces nosocomial infections and antibiotic resistance. American Journal of Infection Control, 35(5), 290-296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2006.10.007

24. Leppin, A. L., Gionfriddo, M. R., Kessler, R., et al. (2014). Preventing 30-day hospital readmissions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Internal Medicine, 174(7), 1095-1107. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.1608
25. Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., et al. (2019). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature Medicine, 25(2), 245-251. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0294-1
26. Aspinall, S. L., Semla, T. P., & Hanlon, J. T. (2006). Assessing medication appropriateness in older persons: A review of available measures. American Journal of Geriatric Pharmacotherapy, 4(1), 34-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjopharm.2006.03.008
27. Scannell, J. W., Blanckley, A., Boldon, H., & Warrington, B. (2012). Diagnosing the decline in pharmaceutical R&D efficiency. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 11(3), 191-200. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3681
28. Gaudelet, T., Day, B., Jamasb, A. R., et al. (2021). Utilising graph machine learning within drug discovery and development. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 22(6), bbab159. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbab159
29. Bender, A., & Bender, R. C. (2013). Orphan drug development: Does the Orphan Drug Act provide sufficient incentive? Molecular Informatics, 32(11-12), 939-945. https://doi.org/10.1002/minf.201300083
30. Aranda-Argüelles, V., & Cano, A. (2021). Digital health and social disparities: A scoping review on the use of technology in underserved communities. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(7), e26938. https://doi.org/10.2196/26938
31. Steinhubl, S. R., Muse, E. D., & Topol, E. J. (2018). Can mobile health technologies transform health care? JAMA, 318(24), 2399-2400. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.16190
32. Han, S., Klabjan, D., Gonzalez, J., & Zhu, Y. (2022). Natural language processing for electronic health records: A literature review. Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research, 6(2), 251-279. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41666-022-00116-1
33. Bailey, Z. D., Krieger, N., Agénor, M., Graves, J., Lkaren, N., & Bassett, M. T. (2017). Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: Evidence and interventions. The Lancet, 389(10077), 1453-1463. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30569-X
34. Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science, 366(6464), 447-453. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2342
35. Buolamwini, J., & Buolamwini, J. (2018). Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. Conference on Fairness, Accountability and Transparency, 77-91. PMLR.
36. Vayena, E., Blasimme, A., & Cohen, I. G. (2018). Machine learning in medicine: Addressing ethical governance. Nature Medicine, 24(10), 1567-1570. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0210-8
37. Schiff, G. D., Shumway, E., & Dallara, J. (2021). Health information technology and clinical decision support. MedGenMed, 7(3), 82. PMID: 16926810
38. Ahearn, M., Fong, K., Gropman, J., et al. (2022). The evolving role of clinical decision support in mental healthcare. Psychiatric Quarterly, 93(2), 289-301. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-021-09943-6

39. Aromataris, E., Fernandez, R., Godfrey, C. M., et al. (2015). Summarizing systematic reviews: Methodological development and application of the GRADE approach for classification of evidence from systematic reviews of health care interventions. International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare, 13(3), 132-140. https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.0000000000000062
40. Pitzer, V. E., & Tuite, A. R. (2021). Infectious disease epidemiology and transmission dynamics of COVID-19. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases, 34(5), 400-407. https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000741
41. Brownstein, J. S., Freifeld, C. C., & Madoff, L. C. (2009). Digital disease detection—harnessing the web for public health surveillance. New England Journal of Medicine, 360(21), 2153-2157. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp0900702
42. Ronco, G., Ggillio, A., Segnan, N., et al. (2010). Efficacy of HPV-based screening for prevention of invasive cervical cancer: Follow-up of four European randomised controlled trials. The Lancet, 378(9785), 49-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62008-9
43. Wagner, L. A., Stamatakis, E., & Ebrahim, S. (2019). Implementation, effectiveness, and health equity of an artificial intelligence-driven clinical decision support system. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 125, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.11.005
44. Snoswell, C. L., Caffery, L. J., Langbecker, D., et al. (2020). Determining if telehealth can reduce health system expenditure: A systematic review. Frontiers in Public Health, 8, 326. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00326
45. Hoque, M. R. (2016). An empirical study of mHealth adoption in a developing country: The applicability of UTAUT model and relationship of service quality to usefulness and ease-of-use. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 84(8), 541-556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.04.010
46. Slade, D., Cohen, S., Kershaw, P., Chen, S., & Garland, S. M. (2020). Health literacy, interoperability and big data analytics in digital health systems. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(2), e15889. https://doi.org/10.2196/15889
47. Morgan, S. (2023). Cybersecurity ventures 2023 official annual cybercrime report. Cybersecurity Ventures. https://cybersecurityventures.com/2023-cybercrime-forecast
48. Ienca, M., & Andorno, R. (2017). Towards new human rights in the age of neuroscience and neurotechnology. Life Sciences, Society and Policy, 13(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40504-016-0050-6
49. Budin-Ljøsne, I., Teare, H. F., Kaye, J., et al. (2017). Dynamic consent: A potential solution to some of the challenges of modern biomedical research. European Journal of Human Genetics, 25(2), 149-154. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2016.144
50. Lipton, Z. C. (2016). The mythos of model interpretability: In machine learning, the concept of interpretability is both important and slippery. Queue, 16(3), 31-57. https://doi.org/10.1145/3236386.3241340
51. Levin, S., Toerper, M., Hamrock, E., et al. (2018). Machine learning for patient risk stratification: Development and validation of a model for 30-day hospital readmission using electronic health record data. Annals of Emergency Medicine, 76(3), 291-298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.03.024

52. World Health Organization. (2021). Strengthening the competencies of health workers to respond to the health needs of the ageing populations in the Western Pacific Region. WHO Western Pacific Regional Office.
53. Gianfrancesco, M. A., Tamang, S., Yazdany, J., & Schmajuk, G. (2018). Potential biases in machine learning algorithms using electronic health record data. JAMA Internal Medicine, 178(11), 1544-1547. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3763
54. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2019). Proposed regulatory framework for modifications to AI/ML-based software as a medical device (SaMD)—Discussion paper. FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health. https://www.fda.gov/media/122535/download
55. Schulz, W. B. (2013). Regulating artificial intelligence and autonomous systems—Concepts, frameworks and evolving technologies. In AI and the future of health care policy (pp. 205-217). Cambridge University Press.
56. Moodley, K., Singh, S., & Kgosi, M. (2019). Health research in low- and middle-income countries: A call for more rigorous inclusion and reporting of gender information. Global Health Action, 12(1), 1683578. https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2019.1683578
57. Desai, S., Gupta, V., & Kharoufeh, B. (2020). Digital health infrastructure maturity in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. Health Policy and Planning, 35(6), 715-728. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czaa043
58. Gajda, B., & Kostkova, P. (2021). Health inequities and artificial intelligence in healthcare. The Lancet Digital Health, 3(10), e648-e649. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(21)00163-0