Advanced International Journal for Research (AIJFR)
E-ISSN: 3048-7641 ● Website: www.aijfr.com ● Email: editor@aijfr.com

Artificial Intelligence and Human Rights: A Critical Analysis of Benefits, Risks, And Legal Challenges

Ms. Anusree S[1], Dr. Chanjana Elsa Philip[2]

[1]Research Scholar at School of Legal Studies, CMR University
[2]Associate Professor at School of Legal Studies, CMR University

Abstract

In the 21st century, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed politics, the economy, security, healthcare, and social interaction. Artificial intelligence poses serious risks to privacy, equality, free speech, and due process. AI can increase human happiness and advance human rights, but it also poses substantial risks. The increased use of artificial intelligence-driven decision-making systems, surveillance technology, predictive policing, and automated data processing by corporations and governments raises questions of accountability, transparency, and discrimination.

This paper examines the relationship between human rights and AI, focusing on its pros and cons. By improving healthcare, education, and justice, artificial intelligence (AI) may promote human rights. Additionally, it examines how misuse, lack of control, and algorithmic discrimination might violate human rights. The paper examines international human rights law, new AI regulations, and the need for ethical governance frameworks to protect fundamental freedoms as technology advances.

Artificial intelligence has the potential to help humanity evolve, but research suggests it could lead to systematic human rights breaches due to a lack of legal safeguards and regulatory institutions. In this age of artificial intelligence, human dignity must be protected by a well-rounded strategy that includes innovation, ethical responsibility, and strong legislation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Human Rights; Privacy; Algorithmic Bias; Surveillance; Freedom of Expression

1. Introduction

The term "artificial intelligence" (AI) has rapidly become an essential component of contemporary society, shaping virtually every aspect of human existence. Governments, enterprises, and international organisations are increasingly using technologies powered by artificial intelligence. These technologies include facial recognition systems, automated decision-making, medical diagnostics, and internet platforms. New potential for economic growth, efficiency, and social welfare has been created as a result of these developmental advancements. The increasing reliance on artificial intelligence, on the other hand, poses serious challenges to the preservation of human rights.

The right to privacy, equality, freedom of expression, dignity, and a fair trial are all examples of human rights. Human rights are essential freedoms and safeguards guaranteed to every individual by international law for the duration of their lifetime. There are several important international documents that acknowledge these rights, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights. As artificial intelligence (AI) technology continues to advance, the question arises as to whether the legal frameworks now in place are adequate to safeguard these rights in the digital age.

Artificial intelligence has the potential to make a beneficial contribution to human rights by contributing to the improvement of access to healthcare, the enhancement of disaster response, the support of education, and the enhancement of efficiency in public administration. On the other hand, artificial intelligence systems can also be utilized for the purposes of mass surveillance, discriminatory profiling, information manipulation, and automated decision-making without accountability. The use of artificial intelligence (AI) without transparency, appropriate regulation, or ethical principles makes these concerns even more severe.

One of the most significant problems is algorithmic bias, which occurs when artificial intelligence systems make judgments based on data that is either insufficient or biased, which can result in discrimination against particular groups. A further cause for worry is the implementation of surveillance and facial recognition technologies, which have the potential to infringe upon individuals' rights to privacy and freedom of speech. A further possibility is that the right to equality and due process may be compromised by the use of automated decision-making in areas such as employment, credit score, and the criminal justice system.

Because of this, the interaction between artificial intelligence and human rights poses a number of potential as well as challenges. While artificial intelligence has the potential to facilitate social and economic growth, it also has the potential to give rise to new types of abuses of human rights that were not foreseen when the legal frameworks that are currently in place were formed. This circumstance calls for a serious review of the ways in which artificial intelligence need to be regulated and supervised in order to guarantee that the progression of technology continues to be in accordance with human dignity and fundamental liberties.

The purpose of this research paper is to provide an in-depth analysis of the impact that artificial intelligence has on human rights by investigating both the positive and negative aspects of this technology. The report analyzes the necessity of stronger international and national frameworks to avoid the misuse of artificial intelligence technology, as well as evaluates the legal protections that are now in place, identifies regulatory gaps, and evaluates existing frameworks.

2. Objectives of The Research

- To investigate the impact that artificial intelligence can have on the advancement of human rights
- To investigate the ways in which artificial intelligence can result in abuses of human rights
- To assess the existing international human rights laws regarding artificial intelligence
- To analyse the purpose of conducting an in-depth analysis of the ethical and legal concerns posed by AI governance

3. The Methodology of Research

In this study, doctrinal and analytical methodologies serve as the foundation. International human rights instruments, legal concepts, and policy texts are all examples of primary sources. Secondary sources include scholarly publications such as books, journal articles, reports, and other academic works related to human rights and artificial intelligence.

In order to analyse both the positive and negative effects of artificial intelligence, the study takes a critical perspective.

4. Literature Review

Scholars have devoted a significant amount of time and energy to discussing the connection between technology and human rights, particularly in the context of digital technologies and the protection of personal information. The issue has become more heated as a result of the development of artificial intelligence (AI), which possesses the capacity to make judgments on its own that have far-reaching implications for human life.

Scholars contend that if artificial intelligence is utilized in a responsible manner, it has the potential to enhance government and societal welfare. Research on the ethics of artificial intelligence suggests that automated systems have the potential to assist in lowering the rate of human error, boosting efficiency, and delivering improved public services. As an illustration, artificial intelligence-based medical diagnosis has the potential to enhance access to healthcare, particularly in underdeveloped nations where there is a shortage of medical experts.

Some experts, however, are concerned that artificial intelligence may also give rise to new kinds of discrimination and inequality. It has been demonstrated through research on algorithmic bias that artificial intelligence systems that are trained on biased data may yield unjust outcomes, particularly against disadvantaged groups and minority groups. In the context of the criminal justice system, predictive policing algorithms have been subject to criticism for potentially targeting certain communities in an unfair manner.

The utilization of surveillance technologies by governmental entities is yet another significant issue that has been highlighted in the literature. If they are utilized without any protections, facial recognition systems, biometric databases, and data tracking technologies can be helpful in maintaining security; but, they also have the potential to breach the right to privacy and the freedom of speech among individuals.

Not only that, but international institutions have acknowledged the dangers posed by AI. Despite the fact that artificial intelligence has the potential to contribute to sustainable development, it is imperative that it be regulated in order to guarantee that human rights be respected. One of the most significant issues that contemporary legal systems continue to face is the absence of clear legal criteria for making AI accountable.

As a result, the present body of research demonstrates that artificial intelligence is neither completely good nor completely dangerous. The manner in which it is made, regulated, and utilized will determine the influence it has. Because of this, it is essential to conduct an in-depth analysis of both the benefits and the risks associated with artificial intelligence in relation to human rights.

5. Benefits Of Artificial Intelligence For Human Rights

Artificial Intelligence can substantially enhance the promotion and safeguarding of human rights when developed and utilized properly. Technological breakthroughs have empowered governments, international organizations, and commercial institutions to augment access to important services, refine decision-making, and bolster procedures for safeguarding human dignity. While apprehensions about misuse are legitimate, it is also crucial to acknowledge that AI may function as a formidable instrument for promoting social justice, equality, and growth.

5.1 AI and the Right to Health

Artificial intelligence significantly enhances human rights in the realm of healthcare. The right to health is acknowledged in international human rights law, obligating states to provide access to medical care without discrimination. Artificial intelligence technologies can facilitate disease diagnosis, forecast health hazards, and enhance therapy methodologies.

AI-driven diagnostic systems can evaluate medical imaging, laboratory reports, and patient data more rapidly than conventional approaches. This assists physicians in delivering precise treatment, particularly in distant regions without medical professionals. AI-driven techniques are employed to identify cancer, heart disease, and infectious diseases in their early stages, hence enhancing survival probabilities. Artificial intelligence aids in the management of public health situations. In pandemics, AI systems can monitor disease transmission, forecast infection trends, and facilitate vaccine development. These competencies assist governments in meeting their duty to safeguard the right to life and health.

Nonetheless, the implementation of AI in healthcare necessitates meticulous regulation. If medical algorithms are developed with inadequate or biased data, they may yield erroneous outcomes for specific populations. Consequently, although AI can enhance the right to health, insufficient control may result in disparities in medical treatment.

5.2 AI and the Right to Education

Education constitutes a fundamental human right, and artificial intelligence can significantly enhance access to quality education. AI-driven educational platforms, virtual courses, and customized instructional systems enable learners to progress at their individual speed. These technologies are particularly beneficial in rural or economically challenged areas where educational resources are scarce. Artificial intelligence can assist educators in identifying kids who need supplementary assistance by analysing performance data. It can also offer automated translation, rendering educational content accessible to individuals who speak various languages. This fosters educational equity and diminishes obstacles to learning. Moreover, artificial intelligence can facilitate inclusive education for individuals with disabilities. Speech recognition, text-to-speech software, and adaptive learning systems facilitate enhanced educational participation for students with visual, auditory, or learning disabilities.

Notwithstanding these benefits, there are nonetheless apprehensions. Overreliance on AI-driven education may diminish human connection, which is crucial for personal growth. Moreover, disparate access to technology may exacerbate the divide between affluent and disadvantaged students. Consequently, AI need to function as an auxiliary instrument rather than a substitute for human instruction.

5.3 AI and Access to Justice

Artificial intelligence possesses the capacity to enhance access to justice, a fundamental component of human rights protection. Numerous legal systems encounter issues like delays, exorbitant costs, and insufficient openness. AI-driven solutions can assist in legal research, case management, and forecasting case outcomes, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the court system.

Online dispute resolution systems enable individuals to settle issues without protracted judicial processes. AI chatbots can furnish fundamental legal information to individuals without the financial means to hire attorneys. These advancements enhance the accessibility of legal help, particularly for vulnerable communities. Artificial intelligence can assist in analyzing extensive legal data, facilitating more consistent judicial conclusions. In many nations, AI is employed to evaluate evidence, identify fraud, and oversee administrative duties, thereby alleviating the workload of judges.

The application of AI within the justice system presents significant apprehensions. Automated decision-making may compromise the right to a fair trial if persons lack comprehension of the decision-making process. Insufficient transparency in algorithms may result in inequitable outcomes. Consequently, human oversight is essential to guarantee that justice stays unbiased and accountable.

5.4 AI and Good Governance

Artificial intelligence can enhance democratic governance by optimizing public administration and mitigating corruption. Governments can employ AI to analyze data, formulate policy, and provide services with greater efficiency. This aids in the realization of social and economic rights, including housing, employment, and social security.

AI systems can identify anomalies in financial transactions, so aiding in the prevention of corruption and the misappropriation of public monies. Automated solutions can enhance the efficiency and accessibility of public services, while mitigating discrimination and human mistake. In disaster management, artificial intelligence can forecast natural disasters, track environmental alterations, and orchestrate emergency responses. These applications assist in safeguarding the right to life and security. Nonetheless, the use of AI by governments necessitates vigilant oversight. The unaccountable use of technology can result in the misuse of power. Consequently, transparency and public scrutiny are vital to guarantee that AI bolsters democracy instead of undermining it.

5.5 AI and Freedom of Expression

Artificial intelligence has revolutionized communication by facilitating global information exchange. Social media platforms, translation tools, and content creation technology enable users to articulate their thoughts freely. Artificial intelligence can assist in identifying detrimental content, including hate speech, violence, and misinformation. This aids in preserving public order and safeguarding the rights of others.

Simultaneously, content moderation systems must be employed judiciously. The removal of content by AI without enough scrutiny may curtail freedom of expression. Consequently, equilibrium is essential between safeguarding individual rights and averting harm.

5.6 Critical Evaluation of Benefits

Despite the numerous advantages of artificial intelligence, these gains are not guaranteed. Their efficacy relies on the design, governance, and regulation of the technology. AI can advance human rights solely when employed with ethical accountability and legal protections.

Numerous challenges persist:

- Absence of transparency in artificial intelligence systems
- Disparity in technological access
- Potential for discrimination stemming from prejudiced data
- Excessive dependence on automated decision-making
- Inadequate legislative regulation in numerous nations

These concerns illustrate that AI is a dual-faceted technology. It can facilitate the realisation of human rights, but it may also engender new forms of violation if adequate protections are not implemented.

6. Human Rights Violations Caused By Artificial Intelligence

Although there is the possibility that artificial intelligence will improve the well-being of people, the rapid development of this technology has also produced significant dangers for the preservation of human rights. There are concerns regarding privacy, equality, freedom of expression, and due process that have been raised as a result of the growing use of artificial intelligence by governments, corporations, and security organizations. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems, in contrast to traditional technologies, are able to handle vast amounts of personal data, make decisions on their own, and affect human behavior. This capabilities make the risk of misuse particularly worrisome. When artificial intelligence is employed without the appropriate regulation, it has the potential to lead to abuses of human rights on a massive scale and in a systematic manner.

6.1 Violation of the Right to Privacy

In this day and age of artificial intelligence, one of the human rights that is being most significantly impacted is the right to privacy. Personal information, biometric characteristics, online behavior, and location records are all examples of the types of data that modern artificial intelligence systems require in order to function properly. This data is collected and analyzed by both public and private organizations in order to enhance the quality of services; yet, such methods may result in an excessive amount of surveillance.

A vivid example of how artificial intelligence might pose a threat to privacy is the technology of facial recognition. Without the individuals' knowledge or agreement, these systems are able to identify people in public locations. It is possible that such technology may be utilized to maintain security; but, it also has the potential to be abused for the purpose of monitoring citizens, tracking political activists, and suppressing dissent. When people are aware that they are being monitored all the time, they may be reluctant to freely voice their thoughts, which has a knock-on effect on their freedom of expression.

Data collection by private companies is another issue that has to be addressed. In order to analyze user behavior and preferences, social media platforms and online businesses make use of artificial intelligence

algorithms. However, it is possible that this information could be shared with third parties without the appropriate consent, even though it is frequently utilized for targeted advertising. Individuals have a more difficult time exercising control over their personal information when there is a lack of transparency in the processing of data. With this in mind, the utilization of artificial intelligence in the absence of stringent data protection legislation has the potential to result in severe violations of the right to privacy.

6.2 Mass Surveillance and State Control

Artificial intelligence has significantly enhanced the efficacy of surveillance. Governments may now surveil extensive populations using cameras, biometric systems, and digital tracking technologies. Although surveillance may be warranted for national security, the excessive implementation of AI-driven monitoring could result in totalitarian governance. In certain nations, AI surveillance systems are employed to observe public conduct, monitor online activities, and identify individuals who dissent against the government. Such behaviors may inhibit freedom of expression, freedom of association, and the right to engage in democratic processes. Surveillance undertaken without court control complicates the prevention of power abuse.

Predictive policing exemplifies AI-driven surveillance. These technologies evaluate historical crime data to forecast potential crime locations or identify likely offenders. While technology may assist law enforcement agencies, it may also result in discrimination if the data utilized is skewed. Communities that have been historically subjected to excessive policing may persist in being targeted, so contravening the principle of equality before the law.

Mass surveillance engenders a contradiction between security and freedom. In the absence of adequate protections, artificial intelligence may be employed to dominate society instead of safeguarding it.

6.3 Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

A significant risk associated with artificial intelligence is algorithmic prejudice. AI systems acquire knowledge from data, and if this input encompasses social or historical biases, the system may yield discriminating outcomes. This may impact employment, education, healthcare, and the criminal justice system.

Recruitment algorithms employed by companies may preferentially benefit specific demographics if the training data mirrors historical hiring trends. Likewise, credit scoring systems may reject loan applications from individuals based on criteria that are indirectly associated with race, gender, or socioeconomic status. These decisions may seem impartial, yet they might lead to disparate treatment.

Algorithmic bias in criminal justice can yield significant repercussions. Risk-assessment instruments employed in sentencing or bail determinations may inaccurately forecast that specific persons are predisposed to criminal behavior. In the absence of transparency in such institutions, contesting unjust rulings becomes challenging.

AI-induced discrimination infringes against the essential human right to equality and non-discrimination. In contrast to human prejudice, algorithmic bias can simultaneously affect thousands of individuals, resulting in a greater impact.

6.4 Lack of Transparency and Accountability

A significant issue with artificial intelligence is the absence of transparency. Numerous AI systems function as "black boxes," indicating that even the engineers may not completely comprehend how the system arrives at a specific decision. The absence of explanation in critical domains such as healthcare, finance, or criminal justice might infringe upon the right to due process.

Individuals has the right to be informed about the processes underlying decisions that impact their life. A person denied employment, credit, or legal entitlement due to an automated decision should have the right to contest that decision. Nonetheless, intricate algorithms frequently hinder the provision of explicit justifications.

Accountability presents a challenge as well. When an AI system inflicts harm, the attribution of responsibility,whether to the creator, the corporation, or the government, is often ambiguous. This deficiency in accountability complicates victims' pursuit of legal redress. The lack of transparency and accountability in AI systems poses a significant risk to the protection of human rights.

6.5 Freedom of Expression and Information Manipulation

Artificial intelligence has revolutionised communication; however, it has also engendered novel methods for information manipulation. The algorithms used by social media companies determine the content users see. These algorithms aim to enhance interaction; nevertheless, they may also facilitate the dissemination of sensational or inaccurate content.

The proliferation of misinformation and deepfake technology is an escalating worry. Artificial intelligence may produce lifelike images, films, and audio that seem authentic yet are entirely fabricated. This content can be utilised to sway elections, tarnish reputations, or incite societal unrest. Content moderation methods also raise concerns about human rights. Platforms employ artificial intelligence to eliminate dangerous content; yet, automated algorithms may erroneously obstruct legitimate expression. Decisions made without human oversight may curtail freedom of expression. Consequently, artificial intelligence can either bolster or jeopardise free expression, depending on its application.

6.6 Employment, Automation, and Economic Rights

Artificial intelligence and automation are transforming the essence of labour. Although technology can enhance productivity, it may also result in job displacement in some sectors. Workers displaced by automation may experience economic instability, jeopardising their right to a livelihood. Artificial intelligence is progressively utilised in recruitment, performance assessment, and workplace surveillance. These systems may conclude data rather than rely on human judgment. If the algorithms are biased or erroneous, employees may be subjected to inequitable treatment without understanding the rationale behind it.

Economic inequality may escalate if a limited number of large firms monopolise the advantages of AI. When a limited elite governs wealth and power, social and economic rights may be compromised.

Consequently, the influence of AI on work must be carefully regulated to ensure that technological advancement does not undermine human dignity.

6.7 Critical Analysis of AI-Related Violations

The infractions perpetrated by artificial intelligence are not invariably deliberate. In some instances, they arise because technology is advancing rapidly, outpacing legal and regulatory frameworks. Governments frequently implement AI systems without fully understanding their ramifications, while private enterprises may prioritise profit over human rights.

Several significant issues can be discerned:

- Absence of explicit global regulations on AI utilisation
- Inadequate data protection legislation in numerous nations
- Insufficient public understanding of the problems associated with artificial intelligence
- Concentration of technological authority inside major corporations
- Lack of efficient accountability systems

These issues demonstrate that artificial intelligence is not inherently detrimental; however, its abuse may result in significant infringement of human rights. Consequently, it is imperative to establish robust legal and ethical frameworks to regulate the utilisation of AI.

7. INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

The advancement of artificial intelligence has introduced new challenges to the safeguarding of human rights; yet, current international human rights law remains a crucial framework for addressing these challenges. Although most human rights treaties were formulated before the advent of contemporary AI technologies, their concepts remain relevant in the digital era. The primary challenge lies in interpreting and applying these principles in contexts involving automated decision-making, data processing, and monitoring. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) acknowledges that essential rights include the right to life, liberty, privacy, equality, and freedom of expression. The utilisation of artificial intelligence directly influences these rights. Article 12 of the Declaration safeguards individuals against arbitrary intrusions into their privacy, pertinent to AI-driven monitoring and data acquisition. Article 7 similarly ensures equality before the law, which may be compromised if automated methods yield unfair outcomes.

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights safeguards against unauthorised intrusions into private lives and guarantees the right to a fair trial. The implementation of AI technologies in criminal justice, including predictive policing and computerised risk assessment, may impact these rights. Individuals should have the right to contest decisions that affect their freedom or reputation. When AI decisions lack transparency, ensuring compliance with legal requirements becomes challenging.

The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights is relevant because artificial intelligence influences employment, education, and access to healthcare. States must ensure that technological advancement does not exacerbate inequality or deprive citizens of fundamental social rights.

In recent years, international organisations have begun to confront the implications of artificial intelligence. Diverse standards underscore that AI development must honour human dignity, equality, and responsibility. Nonetheless, these rules frequently lack binding legal authority, thereby limiting their effectiveness.

Consequently, although international human rights legislation establishes a robust foundation, it is imperative to adapt legal frameworks to address the distinct concerns posed by artificial intelligence.

8. NEED FOR REGULATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

The swift expansion of artificial intelligence necessitates legislation to avert misuse and safeguard human rights. In contrast to conventional technologies, AI systems can operate independently and significantly influence decisions. In the absence of adequate legal regulation, these technologies may inflict damage that is challenging to rectify.

A primary rationale for regulation is the absence of transparency in AI systems. Numerous algorithms are created by commercial enterprises and safeguarded as trade secrets. This complicates governments' and courts' ability to scrutinise decision-making processes. Regulation must mandate that developers guarantee the explainability and accountability of AI systems. A significant concern is data protection. Artificial intelligence relies on extensive personal data, and the improper use of this data may infringe on privacy. Robust data protection legislation is essential to guarantee that personal information is gathered solely for lawful purposes and with appropriate consent.

Regulation is necessary to avert discrimination. Governments must ensure that AI systems undergo bias testing before they are deployed in sectors such as employment, finance, healthcare, or criminal justice. If an algorithm yields inequitable outcomes, a corrective procedure must be established.

International collaboration is crucial due to the transnational use of AI technologies. A system created in one nation may influence individuals in another nation. Consequently, international norms are essential to guarantee the uniform safeguarding of human rights.

Simultaneously, regulation must not impede innovation. Overly stringent regulations may impede technological advancement and curtail the advantages of AI. The challenge lies in formulating legislation that safeguards human rights while facilitating the appropriate advancement of technology.

9. ETHICAL PRINCIPLES FOR AI BASED ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Alongside legal regulation, ethical standards are essential to direct the development and application of artificial intelligence. Laws alone may not be sufficient because technology advances faster than legislation. Ethical rules ensure that AI is developed with consideration for human dignity. Equity is a fundamental principle. AI systems must not exhibit discrimination against humans based on race, gender, religion, or socioeconomic background. Developers must guarantee that the training data is precise and representative.

A further principle is transparency. Individuals must be aware of what to expect when engaging with an AI system and comprehend the processes underlying decisions that affect them. This is particularly significant in sectors such as healthcare, employment, and criminal justice. Accountability is crucial. When an AI system inflicts damage, accountability must be ascertainable. Corporations and governments should not evade accountability by attributing fault to the technology itself. Privacy protection becomes another fundamental principle. AI systems must gather just essential data and safeguard it against misuse. Individuals must possess authority over their personal data.

The idea of human monitoring must consistently be upheld. Artificial intelligence ought to facilitate human decision-making rather than entirely supplant it, particularly in issues of rights and liberties. Adhering to these ethical norms can mitigate the risk of human rights breaches while simultaneously reaping the advantages of technological advancement.

10. CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence is one of the most potent technologies of our time, exerting both beneficial and detrimental effects on human rights. AI has the potential to enhance healthcare, education, governance, and access to justice, thereby helping nations meet their responsibilities to uphold human rights. Conversely, the misuse of AI may result in privacy infringements, discrimination, opacity, and heightened surveillance. The future of artificial intelligence must strike a balance between innovation and the safeguarding of fundamental rights. Governments, private enterprises, and international organisations must collaborate to establish institutions that uphold human dignity and foster equality. When artificial intelligence is developed with responsibility, transparency, and equity, it can serve as an instrument for human advancement.

Nevertheless, if employed without enough regulation, it may lead to significant human rights abuses. The imperative for contemporary civilisation is to ensure that technological progress benefits humanity rather than jeopardises it. The interplay between artificial intelligence and human rights is intricate. Artificial intelligence possesses the capacity to enhance living standards, augment efficiency, and facilitate societal progress. Simultaneously, it may also engender novel mechanisms of control, discrimination, and inequity.

A primary issue is that technological advancement outpaces law reform. Governments frequently implement AI systems before definitive regulations are established. This engenders circumstances in which rights may be infringed upon without adequate recourse. A further concern is the consolidation of power inside major technology corporations. These corporations possess extensive data and can shape public opinion, economic dynamics, and political processes. When private entities have significant power, ensuring accountability becomes challenging. There is concern that governments may use artificial intelligence to enhance monitoring and control rather than safeguard populations. Authorities may rationalise intrusive surveillance in the name of security, thus undermining democracy and liberty.

Nevertheless, it would be erroneous to assert that artificial intelligence ought to be entirely controlled. Technology is not inherently detrimental; the issue resides in its application. With appropriate protections in place, AI can advance human rights rather than infringe upon them. Consequently, the resolution lies not in halting technology advancement, but in ensuring legal frameworks, ethical considerations, and reverence for human dignity direct it.

REFERENCES

1. Trevor Bench-Capon et al., A History of AI and Law in 50 Papers: 25 Years of the International Conference on AI and Law, 20 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE & LAW 215 (2012).
2. Nathalie K. Corrêa et al., Worldwide AI Ethics: A Review of 200 Guidelines and Recommendations for AI Governance, 4 PATTERNS 100857 (2023).
3. Kerstin Cramer et al., Big Science and Research Infrastructures in Europe, in BIG SCIENCE AND RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURES IN EUROPE 1 (2020).

4. Daria Cyman, Elena Gromova & Egidijus Juchnevicius, Regulation of Artificial Intelligence in BRICS and the European Union, 8 BRICS L.J. 86 (2021).
5. Josef Drexl et al., Artificial Intelligence Systems as Inventors? A Position Statement of 7 September 2021, 7 MAX PLANCK INST. INNOVATION & COMPETITION RES. PAPER 21 (2021).
6. Raphaël Hamon, Henrik Junklewitz & Ignacio Sanchez, Robustness and Explainability of Artificial Intelligence, EUR. COMM'N PUB. OFF. (2020)
7. Rohan Kapoor, India AI Regulation: Current State and Future, DATA GUIDANCE (Mar. 2024).
8. Navpreet Kaur, Comparative Study of Artificial Intelligence Legislation and Laws in Major Nations Around the World, 2 J. LEGAL RES. & JURIDICAL SCI. 3 (2023).
9. S. Pragadeswaran et al., Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for Security Analysis Scheme Using Homomorphic Encryption, 31 ARCHIVES FOR TECH. SCI. 148 (2024).
10. Bradshaw, S. and Howard, P. 2019. Social Media and Democracy in Crisis. *Oxford University Press* p 14.
11. Generally, Harry Surden, Computable Contracts, 46 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 629 (2012)
12. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217 (III) A, U.N. Doc. A/RES/217(III) (Dec. 10, 1948).
13. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 16, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171.
14. International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Dec. 16, 1966, 993 U.N.T.S. 3.
15. U.N. Human Rights Council, The Right to Privacy in the Digital Age, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/48/31 (2021).
16. U.N. Secretary-General, Roadmap for Digital Cooperation, U.N. Doc. A/74/821 (2020).
17. UNESCO, Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, U.N. Doc. SHS/BIO/PI/2021/1 (2021).
18. European Commission, Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence (2019).
19. OECD, OECD Principles on Artificial Intelligence (2019).
20. Council of Europe, Artificial Intelligence and Human Rights, Democracy and the Rule of Law (2018).
21. Ryan Calo, Artificial Intelligence Policy: A Primer and Roadmap, 51 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 399 (2017).
22. Danielle Keats Citron, Technological Due Process, 85 WASH. U. L. REV. 1249 (2008).
23. Frank Pasquale, THE BLACK BOX SOCIETY: THE SECRET ALGORITHMS THAT CONTROL MONEY AND INFORMATION (Harvard Univ. Press 2015).