

Social and Emotional Learning (SEL): A Review of Pedagogical Approaches for Child Development

Saravanan Gunavel¹, Anantharaman Seethalakshmy²,
Dhanuskodi Brindha³

^{1,3}PhD Research Scholars, Department of Psychology, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore

²Head, Department of Psychology, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, India

Abstract

Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) has gained significant recognition as a core component of holistic education. A growing body of evidence shows that children who develop strong social and emotional abilities tend to achieve better outcomes in academic performance, mental health, and interpersonal relationships throughout life. The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) has established a widely adopted framework of five core competencies: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision making. Despite broad acceptance of this framework, a considerable gap exists between its stated goals and the teaching methods used in actual classrooms. This review examines research published between 2000 and 2024 on three pedagogical approaches that show strong potential for developing social and emotional competencies in children aged four to twelve: storytelling and narrative based instruction, structured role play and drama, and experiential group activities. The review draws on developmental theories by Vygotsky, Piaget, Bandura, and Kolb, as well as contributions from narrative psychology. The findings indicate that each approach offers distinct yet complementary mechanisms for building SEL competencies. Storytelling supports perspective taking and emotional understanding. Role play develops empathy, self-regulation, and social negotiation. Activity based learning builds collaborative problem solving and moral reasoning. The review further identifies limitations in current research methods and recommends directions for culturally sensitive curriculum development, teacher preparation, and future empirical study.

Keywords: Social and Emotional Learning, CASEL, Storytelling, Role Play, Activity Based Learning, Pedagogical Interventions, Child Development, Emotional Regulation, Empathy, Vygotsky

1. Introduction

Contemporary educational research increasingly recognizes that preparing children for modern life requires deliberate cultivation of emotional, relational, and ethical capacities, in addition to academic knowledge. Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) refers to the process through which children acquire the skills, attitudes, and knowledge necessary to manage emotions, develop empathy, build healthy

relationships, and make responsible decisions. This framework has become one of the most prominent guides for educational reform in schools worldwide (CASEL, 2020; Zins et al., 2004; Durlak et al., 2011).

The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) has played a central role in defining and promoting SEL through its five competency framework: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision making. Landmark meta-analyses, including that of Durlak et al. (2011) synthesizing 213 school-based SEL programs involving more than 270,000 students, have confirmed that structured SEL interventions produce meaningful and lasting improvements in socio-emotional skills, academic achievement, and behavioral adjustment. Despite this evidence base, a recurring critique in the literature is that many SEL programs function as isolated curricula without sufficient grounding in how children actually learn and develop (Jones, Bailey, and Jacob, 2014; Schonert-Reichl, 2017).

This gap becomes particularly evident when examining pedagogy, that is, the specific instructional methods through which SEL competencies are developed in classroom settings. Educators are increasingly called upon to move beyond worksheet-based exercises toward approaches that are experiential, narrative driven, collaborative, and developmentally appropriate (Darling-Hammond et al., 2019). Among the most promising such approaches are storytelling and narrative based instruction, structured role play and drama, and activity based learning. These methods are inherently engaging for children and are deeply aligned with major developmental and social learning theories. This review synthesizes theoretical and empirical literature on these three pedagogical approaches as vehicles for SEL, drawing on frameworks provided by Vygotsky (1978), Piaget (1965), Bandura (1977), Kolb (1984), and narrative developmental psychology (Bruner, 1990). It covers studies and theoretical contributions published from 2000 to 2024, with reference to foundational works where applicable. The aim is to provide educators, curriculum developers, and researchers with a theoretically coherent and empirically grounded understanding of how storytelling, role play, and structured activities can most effectively nurture social and emotional development in children.

2. The CASEL Framework: A Foundation for Emotionally Literate Schools

CASEL's five competency framework, first articulated in the mid-1990s and continuously refined, remains the most widely adopted model for conceptualizing SEL in educational settings (Weissberg et al., 2015). Self-awareness enables students to recognize their own emotions, values, and strengths. Self-management equips them to regulate impulses, set goals, and manage stress. Social awareness develops the capacity for empathy and perspective taking across diverse cultural contexts. Relationship skills support effective communication, cooperation, and conflict resolution. Responsible decision making integrates ethical reasoning with consideration of personal and collective wellbeing (CASEL, 2020). A robust body of meta-analytic literature has validated this framework. Taylor et al. (2017), examining follow-up data from SEL interventions up to 3.75 years after program completion, confirmed that improvements in social-emotional skills, positive attitudes, and prosocial behavior were sustained over time. Corcoran et al. (2018) reviewed universal school-based SEL programs and confirmed that those aligned with CASEL's competencies produced significantly better academic outcomes than comparison conditions. Mahoney, Durlak, and Weissberg (2018) further reported that

participation in SEL programs was associated with higher educational attainment and lower rates of mental health difficulties in adulthood.

Critics such as Schonert-Reichl (2017) and Cipriano and Rappolt-Schlichtmann (2020) have underscored the need to anchor SEL practice within explicit developmental and pedagogical theories. Without such grounding, CASEL's competencies risk being treated as discrete, teachable items rather than emergent capacities that develop through rich, scaffolded, and socially mediated experience. The following sections address this concern by reviewing the developmental theories most relevant to SEL pedagogy.

3. Theoretical Foundations for Pedagogical SEL Interventions

3.1 Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory

Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory occupies a central place in any pedagogically grounded account of SEL development. Vygotsky (1978) argued that higher psychological functions, including emotional regulation, moral reasoning, and social cognition, originate not within the individual mind but in the social and cultural interactions that children participate in from early childhood. Through shared activities, language, and play, children internalize culturally valued ways of thinking, feeling, and acting.

Particularly relevant is Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development, which refers to the space between what a child can accomplish independently and what becomes possible through collaboration with a more capable peer or adult. Bodrova and Leong (2007) demonstrated how Vygotskian scaffolding principles can be embedded in early childhood classrooms to support emotional self-regulation, showing that guided play and collaborative dialogue help children manage impulses and internalize social rules more effectively than direct instruction alone. Storytelling, role play, and structured group activities all function as scaffolded social spaces in which children can practice and internalize SEL competencies with peer and teacher support (Nicolopoulou, 1997). Vygotsky also emphasized the role of symbolic play as a developmental precursor to abstract thinking and moral reasoning. When children enact social scenarios, they are not merely rehearsing social scripts but constructing and negotiating meaning within culturally situated frames (Harris, 2000).

3.2 Piaget's Cognitive Developmental Theory

Jean Piaget's stage-based theory of cognitive development complements Vygotsky's social emphasis by mapping the cognitive capacities that underlie key SEL competencies at different ages (Piaget, 1965). The preoperational stage, approximately ages 2 to 7, is characterized by egocentrism, meaning children face initial difficulty in taking the perspectives of others. As children move into the concrete operational stage between ages 7 and 11, they develop the capacity for logical reasoning and understanding of mutual social norms, which are foundational to empathy and responsible decision making (Selman, 1980; Killen and Smetana, 2015).

Piaget's emphasis on active learning and cognitive conflict is equally significant for SEL pedagogy. He argued that meaningful learning arises when children encounter experiences that challenge their existing understanding. In SEL contexts, structured storytelling and role play create such productive cognitive conflicts by presenting moral dilemmas and emotionally complex characters that

require children to reconsider simplistic judgments. For curriculum developers, this underscores the importance of developmental sequencing, ensuring that SEL tasks are appropriate to children's cognitive readiness and increase gradually in complexity (Oberle et al., 2016).

3.3 Bandura's Social Learning Theory

Albert Bandura's social learning theory clarifies the critical role of observation, modeling, and vicarious reinforcement in the acquisition of social and emotional competencies. Bandura (1977, 1986) demonstrated that children learn much of their social and moral behavior by observing others and the consequences that follow. This principle of observational learning is especially relevant in educational contexts where teachers, peers, and even fictional characters in stories and role play serve as behavioral models.

Bandura's concept of self-efficacy, which refers to an individual's belief in their capacity to produce desired outcomes, is particularly relevant to SEL. Research consistently associates high self-efficacy with greater emotional regulation, social initiative, and persistence in interpersonal challenges (Bandura, 1997; Caprara et al., 2008). Many SEL interventions are designed to build self-efficacy by providing mastery experiences, positive social feedback, and guided reflection, all of which are readily embedded in storytelling workshops, drama activities, and collaborative group tasks. Role play, for instance, allows children to practice social scenarios in a safe environment, building confidence that transfers to real-life interactions (Eisenberg et al., 2006).

3.4 Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle

David Kolb's model of experiential learning provides a dynamic framework for understanding how pedagogical experiences are transformed into lasting competencies. Kolb (1984) proposed a four-stage cycle consisting of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation, capturing the iterative nature of meaningful learning. Applied to SEL, this cycle suggests that children develop socio-emotional competencies most deeply when they engage in meaningful social experiences, reflect on what happened, extract applicable principles, and then apply these insights in new situations.

This framework has direct implications for how storytelling, role play, and group activities should be structured. A role play activity, for example, is most pedagogically effective when followed by structured debriefing that supports reflective observation, facilitated discussion of the social and emotional principles involved, and opportunities for students to practice new behaviors in subsequent interactions. Celio, Durlak, and Dymnicki (2011), in their meta-analysis of service-learning programs, demonstrated that the reflection component was particularly critical: programs incorporating structured reflection produced significantly larger effects on social development than those lacking it.

3.5 Narrative Psychology and Developmental Perspectives

Jerome Bruner's (1990) foundational work on narrative as a mode of human sense-making provides theoretical grounding for the power of storytelling as an SEL intervention. Bruner argued that narrative is not merely a literary device but a primary cognitive and cultural tool through which human beings organize experience, understand the intentions and emotions of others, and construct identity. Children

from remarkably early ages use narrative to make sense of their emotional and social worlds (Fivush, 2011).

Empirical research in developmental psychology has provided strong support for the SEL relevant benefits of narrative engagement. Mar, Oatley, and Peterson (2009) demonstrated that engagement with narrative fiction enhances theory of mind, defined as the capacity to understand that others hold beliefs, desires, and emotions distinct from one's own. This is a foundational dimension of social awareness and empathy. Kidd and Castano (2013) extended this finding, demonstrating that reading literary fiction featuring complex characters produced significant improvements in affective theory of mind. Paley (1990), in her landmark work with young children, demonstrated how classroom storytelling and story acting create communities of narrative inquiry in which children collaboratively develop moral reasoning, social understanding, and emotional vocabulary.

4. Storytelling as a Pedagogical Intervention for SEL

Storytelling has been recognized across cultures and historical periods as one of the most effective instruments of human socialization and moral education (Engel, 1995; Heath, 1983). In educational settings, it encompasses a broad range of practices including teacher-led read-alouds, student narrative creation, digital storytelling, oral tradition sharing, and collaborative story making, each of which can be deliberately designed to advance CASEL's SEL competencies.

The mechanism through which storytelling promotes self-awareness and emotional literacy is well documented. When children encounter characters navigating complex emotional situations such as jealousy, loss, moral conflict, or kindness, they are invited to recognize and name emotions, which is a process foundational to self-awareness. Denham et al. (2012) showed that emotional competence developed in early childhood through guided narrative interactions significantly predicted later social competence and school adjustment. Fivush (2011) demonstrated that adults' narrative co-construction with children, specifically the elaboration of emotional events and their meanings, was strongly associated with children's emotional vocabulary and self-regulatory capacity.

The mechanism through which storytelling promotes social awareness is equally well established. Mar et al. (2009) reported that frequency of fiction reading in children was positively associated with better performance on theory of mind tasks, even after controlling for individual differences in general intelligence. The vivid inner life of fictional characters, accessible in ways that real social encounters often are not, provides children with a privileged cognitive space in which to practice perspective taking and social understanding. This effect appears amplified when storytelling is interactive: when teachers prompt children to predict characters' feelings, debate moral choices, or retell stories from alternative viewpoints, the social cognition demands are substantially increased (Nicolopoulou, 1997).

Storytelling also provides a culturally inclusive pedagogical vehicle for SEL. Unlike standardized SEL curricula that may be culturally misaligned for children from diverse backgrounds, storytelling can incorporate narratives drawn from students' own cultural and community traditions, thereby honoring diverse frameworks for understanding emotions, relationships, and moral reasoning (Rogoff, 2003; Immordino-Yang et al., 2019). Children engage more deeply with narratives that are

emotionally and culturally meaningful to them, which strengthens the depth of SEL processing (Bruner, 1990). Practical implementations reviewed in the literature include Vivian Paley's story acting approach and various digital storytelling projects. Studies consistently report gains in emotional vocabulary, perspective taking, conflict resolution skills, and prosocial behavior, although methodological limitations in many studies call for more rigorous evaluation designs (Nicolopoulou, 1997; Engel, 1995).

5. Role Play and Dramatic Activity as SEL Pedagogy

Structured role play and drama activities represent a particularly effective modality for SEL because they require children to simultaneously engage multiple social and emotional dimensions. Children must adopt and sustain a perspective other than their own, regulate the emotional intensity of the dramatic situation, negotiate roles and narrative directions collaboratively, and reflect on the social meanings of what transpired (Vygotsky, 1978; Bodrova and Leong, 2007). These demands correspond directly to CASEL's competencies of self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship skills.

From a social learning theory perspective, role play functions as a structured context for observational and enactive learning. When children portray characters navigating social conflict, moral dilemmas, or emotionally charged situations, they simultaneously enact and observe prosocial and empathic behaviors, facilitating the vicarious reinforcement that Bandura (1977) identified as central to social-emotional development. Because role play is theatrical rather than direct, it provides emotional distance that allows children to explore difficult emotions and social situations without the high stakes of real encounters (Harris, 2000).

Empirical research on role play and SEL is particularly strong in early childhood contexts. Raver (2002) reviewed evidence on emotionally salient play interventions and concluded that structured socio-dramatic play consistently supported the development of emotion regulation, impulse control, and social problem solving in preschool-aged children. Domitrovich et al. (2017) reported that SEL programs incorporating role play and modeled practice produced significantly stronger outcomes for social competence and emotion regulation than those relying primarily on didactic instruction. Collaborative role play also creates authentic contexts for practicing relationship skills. Children must negotiate role assignments, manage disagreements, take turns, and attend to the emotional cues of their partners, all of which are rehearsals for the relational demands of everyday life (Taylor et al., 2017).

In the middle childhood years, approximately ages 7 to 11, role play can be extended into more structured drama and forum theatre approaches in which children enact social scenarios and then pause, analyze, and re-enact them with alternative choices. These approaches, adapted from Augusto Boal's Theatre of the Oppressed for educational SEL contexts, directly support responsible decision making by making the social and emotional consequences of choices tangible and discussable (Schonert-Reichl and Hymel, 2007). Teacher facilitation is critical: research indicates that guided debriefing after role play, in which teachers support children to name emotions, reflect on social dynamics, and identify alternative strategies, substantially amplifies SEL learning gains compared to undirected play alone (Celio et al., 2011).

6. Activity Based and Experiential Learning for SEL

Activity based learning encompasses a broad range of structured pedagogical experiences including cooperative group tasks, service learning, problem based projects, outdoor education, and collaborative arts making, all of which engage children in meaningful, socially embedded work. From a Kolbian perspective, these activities are effective SEL interventions because they situate emotional and social challenges within authentic problem contexts that require real cooperation, negotiation, and shared responsibility (Kolb, 1984; Zull, 2002).

Cooperative learning activities, in which children work interdependently toward a shared goal, have a particularly well-established evidence base for SEL outcomes. When carefully designed to ensure positive interdependence, individual accountability, and face-to-face interaction, cooperative tasks create natural contexts for practicing relationship skills, managing conflict constructively, and developing social awareness (Wentzel, 1993). The Jigsaw technique, for example, in which each group member holds a unique piece of information necessary for the group's success, creates conditions for empathy, communication, and shared responsibility simultaneously. Blewitt et al. (2020) found that structured cooperative learning activities embedded within SEL programs produced significant improvements in social competence and prosocial behavior, particularly in diverse classroom contexts.

Service learning, which involves structured community engagement activities in which children contribute meaningfully to their local communities as part of a curriculum, has emerged as a compelling context for integrating SEL competencies with real-world social responsibility. Celio, Durlak, and Dymnicki's (2011) meta-analysis of 62 service-learning studies found significant positive effects on personal and social development, attitudes toward school, and civic engagement, with reflection based programs yielding the strongest effects. Service learning operationalizes all five CASEL competencies: it requires self-awareness in understanding one's impact on others, self-management in sustaining engagement, social awareness in appreciating diverse community needs, relationship skills in collaborative work, and responsible decision making in balancing personal and collective interests.

Arts based activities, including collaborative visual art projects, music making, and movement, also represent important SEL contexts that are underrepresented in the mainstream pedagogical literature. Zull (2002) argued from a neuroscientific perspective that multi-sensory, emotion integrated learning experiences including creative arts produce deeper and more lasting changes in cognitive structure and function than purely verbal-academic tasks. Collaborative art making creates natural contexts for shared expression, emotional communication, conflict negotiation, and collective celebration, all of which cultivate SEL competencies in ways that are simultaneously enjoyable and developmentally significant (Immordino-Yang and Damasio, 2007).

7. Implications for Practice and Future Research

The pedagogical implications of this review are broad and interconnected. At the curriculum level, SEL programs should be designed to incorporate all three modalities in a developmentally sequenced and culturally responsive manner. In early childhood settings, emphasis may be placed on guided storytelling, puppet play, and collaborative dramatic activity, which align with the cognitive and social

capacities of children in the preoperational stage. As children mature into middle childhood, programs can introduce more complex role play scenarios involving moral dilemmas, cooperative project work, and structured service-learning experiences that build upon their growing capacities for logical reasoning and perspective taking (Killen and Smetana, 2015; CASEL, 2020).

At the school and system level, this review highlights the need for sustained professional development that goes beyond training in specific activities. Teachers need grounding in the developmental and social learning theories that explain why these activities promote SEL, enabling them to adapt, improvise, and respond to the specific emotional and social dynamics of their classrooms (Darling-Hammond et al., 2019; Schonert-Reichl, 2017). A critical implication emerging from the reviewed literature is the centrality of teacher facilitation. The evidence consistently indicates that the SEL benefits of storytelling, role play, and activity based learning are significantly shaped by the quality of teacher scaffolding, questioning, and reflective debriefing (Celio et al., 2011; Domitrovich et al., 2017). Teachers who ask open-ended questions about characters' motivations, support children to articulate and validate emotional responses, and create safe conditions for social risk taking are essential catalysts through which pedagogical activities are transformed into lasting SEL gains. Professional development that equips teachers with these facilitative skills rather than simply providing them with activity scripts is therefore a prerequisite for effective implementation (Greenberg et al., 2017; Schonert-Reichl et al., 2015).

School cultures that model the very social and emotional competencies they seek to cultivate in students, through inclusive decision making processes, transparent emotional communication, and a visible commitment to community wellbeing, are likely to amplify the effects of classroom-level interventions (Weissberg et al., 2015). Future research should prioritize rigorous experimental and quasi-experimental designs that compare the relative contributions of storytelling, role play, and activity based approaches to specific CASEL competencies across different age groups and cultural contexts. Mixed-methods designs that combine standardized SEL measures with rich classroom observation and teacher and student perspective data are particularly needed to illuminate the mechanisms and conditions under which these pedagogical modalities are most effective.

Longitudinal follow-up studies would help establish the durability of SEL gains produced by these approaches, addressing a significant gap in the current evidence base (Mahoney et al., 2018; Taylor et al., 2017). Cross-cultural research that explores how narratives, dramatic conventions, and cooperative practices vary across cultural communities, and how SEL pedagogy can be authentically adapted to honor this diversity, is also urgently needed (Rogoff, 2003; Immordino-Yang et al., 2019). Future researchers should also examine the role of digital and technology mediated storytelling and role play environments, which are increasingly prevalent in contemporary classrooms and may offer expanded opportunities for SEL development.

8. Conclusion

This review has demonstrated that storytelling, role play, and activity based learning represent theoretically coherent and empirically supported pedagogical modalities for advancing social and emotional learning in children. Grounded in the foundational insights of Vygotsky, Piaget, Bandura, Kolb, and Bruner, and aligned with CASEL's five competency framework, these approaches offer

educators powerful and flexible tools for nurturing the whole child. They transform SEL from a supplementary curriculum component into a dynamic, embedded process visible in the stories children tell, the roles they embody, the projects they pursue together, and the reflective conversations they engage in with their teachers and peers.

The evidence reviewed here consistently points toward a vision of the school as a community of social and emotional practice, a place where children learn not only academic content but also how to feel, relate, and act with care and responsibility. Realizing this vision requires not only well-designed pedagogical activities but also deeply prepared and emotionally attuned teachers, culturally responsive curricula, and school cultures that reflect the social and emotional values they aim to instill in students. As the research base for SEL continues to develop, it is hoped that the rich, theoretically integrated pedagogical traditions examined here will receive the rigorous and sustained empirical attention they deserve, contributing to a more complete and humane vision of education for all children.

References

1. Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.
2. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
3. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
4. Blewitt, C., Morris, H., Nolan, A., Jackson, K., Barrett, H., and Skouteris, H. (2020). Integrating social and emotional learning in early childhood education: A systematic review of the literature. *Early Child Development and Care*, 190(11), 1831-1847.
5. Bodrova, E., and Leong, D. J. (2007). *Tools of the mind: The Vygotskian approach to early childhood education*. Prentice Hall.
6. Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Harvard University Press.
7. Caprara, G. V., Vecchione, M., Alessandri, G., Gerbino, M., and Barbaranelli, C. (2008). The contribution of personality traits and self-efficacy beliefs to academic achievement. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 78(4), 735-756.
8. CASEL. (2013). *CASEL guide: Effective social and emotional learning programs*. Preschool and elementary school edition. Author.
9. CASEL. (2020). *CASEL's SEL framework: What are the core competence areas and where are they promoted?* Author.
10. Celio, C. I., Durlak, J. A., and Dymnicki, A. B. (2011). A meta-analysis of the impact of service-learning on students. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 34(2), 164-181.
11. Cipriano, C., and Rappolt-Schlichtmann, G. (2020). The case for SEL: Embedding social and emotional learning within the broader framework of educational equity. *Educational Psychologist*, 55(1), 1-15.
12. Corcoran, R. P., Cheung, A., Kim, E., and Xie, C. (2018). Effective universal school-based social and emotional learning programs for improving academic achievement: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 50 years of research. *Educational Research Review*, 25, 56-72.
13. Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., and Osher, D. (2019). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140.

14. Denham, S. A., Blair, K. A., DeMulder, E., Levitas, J., and Sawyer, K. (2012). Preschool emotional competence: Pathway to social competence? *Child Development*, 73(1), 49-66.
15. Domitrovich, C. E., Durlak, J. A., Staley, K. C., and Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Social-emotional competence: An essential factor for promoting positive adjustment and reducing risk in school children. *Child Development*, 88(2), 408-416.
16. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., and Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405-432.
17. Eisenberg, N., Spinrad, T. L., and Sadovsky, A. (2006). Empathy-related responding in children. In M. Killen and J. G. Smetana (Eds.), *Handbook of moral development* (pp. 517-549). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
18. Engel, S. (1995). *The stories children tell: Making sense of the narratives of childhood*. W. H. Freeman.
19. Fivush, R. (2011). The development of autobiographical memory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62(1), 559-582.
20. Greenberg, M. T., Domitrovich, C. E., Weissberg, R. P., and Durlak, J. A. (2017). Social and emotional learning as a public health approach to education. *Future of Children*, 27(1), 13-32.
21. Harris, P. L. (2000). *The work of the imagination*. Blackwell.
22. Heath, S. B. (1983). *Ways with words: Language, life and work in communities and classrooms*. Cambridge University Press.
23. Immordino-Yang, M. H. (2016). *Emotions, learning, and the brain: Exploring the educational implications of affective neuroscience*. W. W. Norton and Company.
24. Immordino-Yang, M. H., and Damasio, A. R. (2007). We feel, therefore we learn: The relevance of affective and social neuroscience to education. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 1(1), 3-10.
25. Immordino-Yang, M. H., Darling-Hammond, L., and Krone, C. (2019). Nurturing nature: How brain development is inherently social and emotional. *Educational Researcher*, 48(1), 42-52.
26. Jones, S. M., Bailey, R. C., and Jacob, R. (2014). Social-emotional learning is essential to classroom management. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 96(2), 19-24.
27. Kidd, D. C., and Castano, E. (2013). Reading literary fiction improves theory of mind. *Science*, 342(6156), 377-380.
28. Killen, M., and Smetana, J. G. (Eds.). (2015). *Handbook of moral development* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.
29. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
30. Mahoney, J. L., Durlak, J. A., and Weissberg, R. P. (2018). An update on social and emotional learning outcome research. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 100(4), 18-23.
31. Mar, R. A., Oatley, K., and Peterson, J. B. (2009). Exploring the link between reading fiction and empathy: Ruling out individual differences and examining outcomes. *Communications*, 34(4), 407-428.
32. Nicolopoulou, A. (1997). Worldmaking and identity formation in children's narrative play-acting. In B. Cox and C. Lightfoot (Eds.), *Sociogenetic perspectives on internalization* (pp. 157-187). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

33. Oberle, E., Schonert-Reichl, K. A., Hertzman, C., and Zumbo, B. D. (2016). Social-emotional competencies make the grade: Predicting academic success in early adolescence. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 37, 38-48.
34. Paley, V. G. (1990). *The boy who would be a helicopter: The uses of storytelling in the classroom*. Harvard University Press.
35. Piaget, J. (1965). *The moral judgment of the child*. Free Press.
36. Piaget, J., and Inhelder, B. (1969). *The psychology of the child*. Basic Books.
37. Raver, C. C. (2002). Emotions matter: Making the case for the role of young children's emotional development for early school readiness. *Social Policy Report*, 16(3), 3-19.
38. Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. Oxford University Press.
39. Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2017). Social and emotional learning and teachers. *Future of Children*, 27(1), 137-155.
40. Schonert-Reichl, K. A., and Hymel, S. (2007). Educating the heart as well as the mind: Why social and emotional learning is critical for students' school and life success. *Education Canada*, 47(2), 20-25.
41. Schonert-Reichl, K. A., Oberle, E., Lawlor, M. S., Abbott, D., Thomson, K., Oberlander, T. F., and Diamond, A. (2015). Enhancing cognitive and social-emotional development through a simple-to-administer mindfulness-based school program for elementary school children. *Developmental Psychology*, 51(1), 52-66.
42. Selman, R. L. (1980). *The growth of interpersonal understanding: Developmental and clinical analyses*. Academic Press.
43. Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., and Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156-1171.
44. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
45. Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Domitrovich, C. E., and Gullotta, T. P. (Eds.). (2015). Social and emotional learning: Past, present, and future. In J. A. Durlak, C. E. Domitrovich, R. P. Weissberg, and T. P. Gullotta (Eds.), *Handbook of social and emotional learning: Research and practice* (pp. 3-19). Guilford Press.
46. Wentzel, K. R. (1993). Does being good make the grade? Social behavior and academic competence in middle school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85(2), 357-364.
47. Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Wang, M. C., and Walberg, H. J. (Eds.). (2004). *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?* Teachers College Press.
48. Zull, J. E. (2002). *The art of changing the brain: Enriching the practice of teaching by exploring the biology of learning*. Stylus Publishing.