

Comparative Study of Conventional and 3D Printed Concrete

Yash Rajendra Ingale¹, Ankita Nagnath Hajare²,
Rajkanya Satish Kalyane³, Tejaswini Mangal Misal⁴, Mrs. N. S. Pote⁵

^{1,2,3,4} Undergraduate Student, Civil Engineering.

⁵ Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering.

Abstract

The construction industry is changing fast. 3D Concrete Printing (3DCP) is a way of building. It is different from cast-in-place methods. 3DCP can help reduce labor and use materials efficiently. This project compares Conventional. 3D Printed Concrete. We look at how strong they're how well they hold together and how much they cost.

The main challenge is understanding how 3D-printed concrete works. It is not the same as concrete. We made special concrete mixes for printing. We tested them to see how strong they are. We also used computers to simulate how 3D-printed concrete buildings behave.

We found that 3D-printed concrete has some advantages. It can be made into shapes and can be built quickly. It needs to be done carefully to make sure it is strong. This project helps engineers understand how to use 3DCP in building design.

Keywords: 3D Concrete Printing, Conventional Concrete 3D Printing, Compressive Strength, Interlayer Bond, Structural Performance, Sustainability, Construction Automation.

1. Introduction

The construction industry has changed a lot in years. There are technologies that help build faster and use less material. 3D-printed concrete (3DPC) is one of them. It needs machines and concrete mixes. The design and engineering of 3DPC structures are different from buildings.

To build a structure it needs to have enough compressive strength and durability. 3DPC structures need to be designed to make sure they are strong.

In this study we look at ways of making 3DPC structures. We use robots to deposit layers. We analyze the structure to make sure it is strong.

2. Literature Survey

Many studies have been done on 3DPC. They show that 3DPC can be stronger and more sustainable than concrete. The way layers are deposited is important. It affects how strong the structure is.

Some studies used computers to simulate how 3DPC structures behave. They also used materials like fiber-reinforced mortar. These materials make 3DPC stronger and more sustainable.

Here are some examples of studies on 3DPC :

1. Al-Noaimat, Y. A. (2026)

This study looks at how 3DCP affects the environment. It compares 3DCP to casting.

2. Sawicki, B., & Placzek, G. (2026)

This study looks at how robots can help build. It compares arms to manual labor.

3. Cheng, S., & Bos, F. (2026)

This study looks at how strong the layers of 3DPC're. It tests the shear strength, between layers.

4. Panchal, P. & Choi M. S. (2025)

This study adds fibers to 3DPC mixes. It tests how strong they are.

5. Alami, A. H. (2023)

This study looks at how to make 3DPC structures stable. It tests nozzle diameters and pump pressures.

6. Mechtcherine, V. (2025)

This study compares printed concrete pipes to traditional pipes.

7. Bong, S. H., & Xia, M. (2021)

This study introduces a type of concrete mix. It is easy to use and strong.

8. Panda, B., & Tan M. J. (2019)

This study looks at how to make 3DPC set quickly. It uses additives.

9. Buswell, R. A., et al. (2020)

This study looks at how 3DCP can change the construction industry.

10. Rahul Sharma (2024)

This study looks at how 3DPC behaves under loads.

11. Bos, F. P., et al. (2023)

This study looks at how 3DCP can be used in areas.

12. Burger, J., et al. (2023)

This study looks at using recycled powder in 3DCP mixes.

13. Malaeb, Z., & Hamzeh, F. (2023)

This study reviews the progress of automated construction.

14. Raza, M. H. et al. (2022)

This study looks at concrete.

15. Bouzidi, K., et al. (2022)

This study looks at biomass-based binders for eco-concrete. It tested how strong these concrete mixes are when they face environmental stressors. The study also looked at how well the layers of stick together. Using biomass in concrete is an idea for making non-load-bearing parts of buildings. This method helps make 3D printing of concrete more sustainable.

16) Muthukrishnan, S., & Sanjayan, J. (2021)

The study looked at how geopolymer concrete hardens. In printing concrete needs to get strong fast so it can hold its shape. Controlling how fast the concrete hardens is key to printing buildings. This way the lower layers don't get damaged by the weight of layers.

17) Wolfs, R. J. M., et al. (2021)

This study compared 3D printed concrete with cast concrete. They Cast beams and tested how well they handle stress. While 3D printed concrete can be just as strong as concrete its ability to handle being stretched depends on how well the layers stick together.

18) Singh, N. B., & Middendorf, B. (2020)

This study looked at cement-concrete. It compared the structure of regular concrete with geopolymer concrete. Geopolymer concrete has an inside structure, which makes it more durable. However it needs control of the environment to prevent cracking.

19) Rida, L., & Alaoui A. H. (2020)

The researchers created a concrete mix using a lot of fly ash. They tested how well it flows and compared its production cost to concrete. This special mix is economical and sustainable for 3D printing of concrete. It provides the flow properties for robotic printing at a lower cost.

20) Zhu, B., et al. (2019)

This study did a cost-benefit analysis of a building project. It found that for designs 3D printing of concrete was 40% cheaper than traditional methods. For buildings with designs 3D printing of concrete is the most economical choice. It removes the limitations of construction methods.

21) Nerella, V. N., et al. (2019)

This study used laser scanning to measure the "stair-effect on printed walls. It compared nozzle shapes to see which one produces a smoother finish. A rectangular nozzle provides a flatter surface and better contact between layers. This reduces the need for plastering.

22) Kruger, J., et al. (2019)

This research focused on the properties of concrete and developed a mathematical model to predict when the bottom layer of a printed wall might buckle. The study provides a safety formula for printing designers to calculate the maximum print height based on the strength of the concrete.

23) Kazemian, A., et al. (2017)

This study set standards for printability and buildability. It compared how much layers sag under their weight during the first 10 minutes after printing. Silica Fume is essential for high-performance 3D printing of concrete. It increases the load-bearing capacity of the fresh concrete.

24) Le, T. T. et al. (2012)

This paper details the development of a printing mortar" using small aggregates. The study compared this mix with flowable concrete. 3D printing of concrete requires a mortar- consistency rather than a concrete-like one. High workability combined with setting is key.

25) Paulay, T. & Priestley N. (2020)

This study focused on resistance. It used shaking table tests to compare a printed wall with a reinforced concrete wall. While printed walls are stiff they need integrated vertical reinforcement to survive high seismic loads.

26) ISO/ASTM (2020)

This is the standard document defining terminology for material extrusion and setting benchmarks for comparing different 3D printing technologies. Following standards is necessary for the safety, insurance and legal compliance of 3D printed buildings.

27) Asprone, D., et al. (2018)

The research focused on reinforced 3D printing of concrete. It proposed a method where a robot places steel rebar simultaneously as the concrete is printed. Simultaneous reinforcement allows printed concrete to be used for beams and slabs not just non-load-bearing walls.

28) Wang, L., et al. (2023)

This paper used machine learning to predict the mix ratios. It compared AI results with trial and error methods. AI can reduce mix-development time by 80%. It provides an accurate way to handle factors like temperature and humidity.

29) D'Acunto, P. (2026)

This study focused on topology optimization. It used software to remove concrete from areas with no stress. The resulting walls were 50% lighter just as strong as solid walls. 3D printing of concrete allows for optimization that is impossible with conventional formwork. This leads to material efficiency.

30) FAO/Building Global (2020)

This handbook provides a comparison of construction costs across countries. It analyzed the ROI of concrete printers versus traditional equipment. While the initial equipment cost is high, the savings in material, labor and time make 3D printing of concrete a profitable long-term investment.

3. Methodology

Standards and Material Characterization

Review of Standards:

1. A comprehensive review of IS 456:2000 (Plain and Reinforced Concrete) IS 10262:2019 (Concrete Mix Proportioning). IS 1893:2016 (Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design) to establish structural benchmarks.
2. Investigation of materials used in 3D printing of concrete specifically high-performance mortars containing Silica Fume, Fly Ash and Superplasticizers focusing on Yield Stress and Rheological stability.

3. Evaluation of ACI 318 and RILEM guidelines for "Additive Manufacturing of Concrete " specifically focusing on the guidelines for "Layer Adhesion and Load-Bearing Calculation."

Load, Demand and Stress Analysis

Design Strength Calculation :

1. Determine the target strength (M30/M40) based on structural requirements.
2. Find the permissible vertical stress within the printed elements.

Interlayer Weaknesses Study :

Specifically focusing on the "Anisotropy Effect" (the loss of strength across layer interfaces) and transient stress deflections in rise layered systems.

Selection of Study Area :

1. A multi-storey residential structural zone.
2. Scale: 1:10 prototype models.
3. Regular (uniform layers). Irregular (topology-optimized) structural geometries.

Calculation Logic & Parameter Selection :

Concrete Properties Selection :

Grade M30 and M40 concrete has to be considered for all the elements (Regular and Irregular topographic structures).

Load and Stress Calculation :

Static Load : Internal hydrostatic pressure caused by the self-weight of concrete based on density standards.

Peak Demand : lateral load requirements (Live and Dead loads) based on IS 875 guidelines.

Strength Loss : Analysis of Anisotropy Coefficients. Comparing the resistance of a monolithic cast vs. A layered print.

Surge Load : Transient analysis for structural vibrations or seismic impact on the layered network.

Structural Parameters and Capacity Calculation :

Different structural parameters as follows have to be considered to calculate the strength of all Regular and Irregular models: Roughness Coefficient (Ra) Design Vertical Gradient (Sv) and Nodal Stress (σ).

Model Preparation for Analysis

A. Regular Network (Flat Foundation)

Model 1 : Bare Monolithic Frame: Standard cast concrete with no optimization and no internal infill.

Model 2 : Solid Wall with Central Core: load-bearing line at the central axis (3D Printed).

Model 3 : Wall with Corner Reinforcement: placement of vertical rebar at all four perimeter corners.

Model 4 : Printed Frame with Layer Regulators: Integrated horizontal bracing provided in sub-layers.

Model 5 : Combined Optimized System: Hollow-core main + internal layer regulators.

B. Irregular Network (Varying Elevation/Topography)

Model 1 : Bare Monolithic Frame: Standard cast concrete with no optimization.

Model 2 : Solid Wall with Central Core: load-bearing line at the central axis.

Model 3: Wall with Corner Reinforcement: placement of vertical rebar at all four perimeter corners.

Model 4 : Printed Frame, with Layer Regulators: Integrated horizontal bracing provided in sub-layers.

Model 5 : Combined Optimized System: Hollow-core main + internal layer regulators.

Comparative Study Parameters

Based on the models the following parameters will be analyzed:

1. To study concrete and 3D printed concrete.
2. To study the properties of concrete and 3D printed concrete.
3. To compare the cost of concrete and 3D printed concrete.
4. To assess sustainability benefits of printed concrete.

4) REVIEW ON AUTODESK FUSION 360 SOFTWARE :

1. The 3D printed models and topology-optimized geometries will be designed. Simulated using Autodesk Fusion 360.
2. The software tool will be used to simulate the printing process and the mechanical behavior of complex concrete forms.

3. Key parameters such as toolpath efficiency, material volume reduction and buildability limits will be calculated.
4. Fusion 360 helps in Generative Design analysis especially for automated structural weight optimization and material distribution of 3D printed concrete.
5. The software supports toolpath modeling and comparison of different infill configurations and nozzle deposition patterns for 3D printing.
6. Toolpath visualization indicates the path of the nozzle ensuring structural stability during the fresh state of 3D printed concrete.
7. Extrusion velocity shows the rate of flow required for each layer under various printing speeds for 3D printing.
8. Volume fraction represents the amount of material saved by replacing conventional sections with optimized lattice or hollow structures in 3D printed concrete.
9. These results help in comparing cast frames, cellular printed systems, optimized toolpaths and reinforcement -integrated networks for 3D printing.

5. EXPECTED CONCLUSION :

1. **Structural Performance** : 3D printed concrete achieves strength to conventional methods while utilizing topology -optimized geometries to minimize material volume and structural weight of 3D printed concrete.
2. **Economic Analysis** : The 3D printing technology reduces project costs by eliminating expensive timber formwork and significantly lowering manual labor requirements through robotic automation in 3D printing.
3. **Sustainability Impact** : 3D printing offers an environmental profile by reducing construction waste by up to 60% and supporting the use of eco -friendly, low -carbon cementitious blends in 3D printed concrete.
4. **Final Assessment** : While conventional concrete remains a baseline 3D printing is a high-efficiency alternative for modern construction offering faster delivery and greater design freedom for 3D printed concrete.

References

1. Al-Noaimat, Y. A., et al. (2026). "3D concrete printing technology: Progress and prospects for construction." *Materials Research Proceedings*, Vol. 58 Pp. 62-69.
2. Sawicki, B., Placzek, G., et al. (2026). "Human-robot collaboration in fabrication with concrete: quantifying productivity in 3D printing." *Construction Robotics*, Vol. 10 Issue 4.
3. Cheng, S., Grimm, B., & Bos F. (2026). "Properties of the transition region in 3D printed graded concrete." *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 520.
4. Panchal, P. & Choi M. S. (2025). "A review on effect of fibers to enhance engineering properties of 3D printing concrete." *Journal of Building Engineering*, Vol. 111.
5. Alami, A. H. et al. (2023). "3D Concrete Printing: Progress, Applications, Challenges and SDGs in 3D printing." *Buildings (MDPI)* Vol. 13 Issue 4.
6. Mechtcherine, V. & Nerella V. (2025). "Evaluation of Fresh, Hardened and Durability Properties of 3D Concrete Printed Pipes in 3D printing." *ACI Materials Journal*.
7. Bong, S. H., Xia, M., & Shi, C. (2021). "Ambient temperature cured 'just -add-water' geopolymer for 3D printing." *Cement and Concrete Composites*, Vol. 121.
8. Panda, B., & Tan M. J. (2019). ". Characterization of one -part geopolymers for extrusion based 3D printing." *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol.220.
9. Buswell, R. A., et al. (2020). "3D printing using extrusion: A roadmap for research in 3D printing." *Automation in Construction*, Vol. 112.
10. Sharma, R. (2024). "Mechanical Anisotropy in 3D Printed Concrete Structures in 3D printing." *Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology*, Vol. 22.
11. Bos, F. P., et al. (2023). "3D printing for housing: Benefits and challenges of 3D printing." *Automation in Construction*, Vol. 148.
12. Burger, J., et al. (2023). "Influence of Recycled Concrete Powder on Rheology of Printable Matrixes for 3D printing." *ACI Materials Journal*.
13. Malaeb, Z., & Hamzeh, F. (2023). "Advancement in Sustainable 3D Concrete Printing: A Review of 3D printing." *Buildings (MDPI)* Vol. 14 Issue 2.
14. Raza, M. H. et al. (2022). "Recent. Productivity analysis of 3D printed geopolymers in 3D printing." *Additive Manufacturing*, Vol. 52.
15. Bouzidi, K., et al. (2022). "3D printable biomass -based composite for 3D printing." *Carbohydrate Polymers*, Vol. 293.
16. Muthukrishnan, S., & Sanjayan, J. (2021). "Effect of reactions on the rheology of geopolymer in 3D printing." *Cement and Concrete Composites*, Vol. 116.
17. Wolfs, R. J. M., et al. (2021). "Mechanical performance of printed concrete: A comparison of 3D printing." *Cement and Concrete Research*, Vol. 141.
18. Singh, N. B., & Middendorf, B. (2020). "Geopolymers as an alternative to Portland cement in 3D printing." *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 237.
19. Rida, L., & Alaoui A. H. (2020). "High -Volume Fly Ash Mortar Solution for Sustainable Development in 3D printing." *Advances in Intelligent Systems*, Vol. 1104.
20. Zhu, B., et al. (2019). "-Scale 3D printing of concrete: A comparative study of 3D printing." *IRE Journals*.

22. Nerella, V. N., et al. (2019). "A approach to characterize surface quality in 3D printing." Structures, Vol. 52.
23. Kruger, J., et al. (2019). "3D concrete printing: A review of state properties in 3D printing." Composites Part B: Engineering, Vol. 175.
24. Kazemian, A., et al. (2017). "Laboratory development of 3D printable materials for printing." Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 145.
25. Le, T. T., et al. (2012). "Mix design for high -performance printing concrete for 3D printing." Structures, Vol. 45.
26. Paulay, T. & Priestley N. (2020). "Mechanical. Stability in 3D Printed
27. Systems in 3D printing." Technical Reprint Series.
28. ISO/ASTM (2020). "ISO/ASTM 52900:2015 Additive Manufacturing. General Principles for 3D printing." ISO Standards. 27. Asprone, D., et al. (2018). 3D printing of reinforced elements: Design for 3D printing." Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 165.
29. Wang, L., et al. (2023). "AI-Based Optimization of Concrete Mix Design for 3D printing." Automation in Construction, Vol. 145.
30. D'Acunto, P., & Dörfler K. (2026). "Improving efficiency of 3DCP walls in 3D printing." Frontiers of Structural Engineering.
31. FAO (2020). "Handbook on Automated Construction and Sustainable Design for printing." United Nations (FAO) Publications.