

A Study On Capital Structure and Its Effect On Firm Performance

Himayathullah HM¹, Dr. Karthik JP²

^{1,2} School of Economics and Commerce, CMR University, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560043, India.

Abstract

The capital structure of a firm represents one of the most critical financial decisions influencing its overall performance, risk profile, and long-term sustainability. This study examines the relationship between capital structure and firm performance by analysing how the proportion of debt and equity impacts key financial indicators such as Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earnings per Share (EPS). Drawing on secondary data collected from financial reports, journals, and industry sources, the study evaluates firms across different sectors to understand patterns in financing behaviour and their implications.

The findings indicate that moderate use of debt can enhance firm performance due to tax advantages and financial leverage, while excessive dependence on debt increases financial risk and negatively affects stability. Equity financing, although safer, may dilute ownership and reduce returns to shareholders. The study also highlights that the relationship between capital structure and performance is not uniform and varies depending on firm-specific factors such as size, industry, and growth opportunities.

Overall, the research concludes that there is no universal optimal capital structure; instead, firms must adopt a balanced and flexible financing approach tailored to their operational and market conditions. The study provides practical insights for financial managers to make informed decisions that optimize firm value and ensure sustainable growth.

Keywords: Capital Structure, Firm Performance, Financial Leverage, Debt-Equity Ratio, Return on Equity (ROE), Return on Assets (ROA), Profitability, Financial Risk, Corporate Finance, Optimal Capital Structure

1. Introduction

The financial structure of a firm plays a crucial role in determining its operational efficiency, profitability, and long-term growth. Capital structure, defined as the mix of debt and equity used by a firm to finance its activities, is one of the most important aspects of corporate finance. The decision regarding the proportion of debt and equity has a direct impact on a firm's cost of capital, risk exposure, and overall market value.

In a rapidly evolving business environment characterized by increasing competition, economic uncertainty, and technological advancements, firms are required to make strategic financial decisions to remain

competitive. Among these decisions, the choice between debt and equity financing becomes particularly significant. Debt financing offers advantages such as tax benefits and leverage, which can enhance returns to shareholders. However, it also introduces financial risk due to fixed interest obligations. On the other hand, equity financing provides flexibility and reduces the risk of financial distress, but it may lead to dilution of ownership and control.

The relationship between capital structure and firm performance has been widely debated in financial literature. Firm performance is typically measured using financial indicators such as Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earnings per Share (EPS), which reflect the efficiency with which a firm utilizes its resources to generate profits. While some studies suggest that higher leverage improves performance due to tax shields and efficient capital utilization, others argue that excessive debt can lead to financial distress and negatively impact profitability.

This study aims to examine the impact of capital structure on firm performance by analysing how different financing choices influence profitability and risk. It seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the trade-offs involved in capital structure decisions and to identify the conditions under which firms can achieve an optimal balance between debt and equity. By doing so, the study contributes to the existing body of knowledge and offers practical insights for financial managers, investors, and policymakers.

1.1 Literature Review

The theoretical and empirical literature on capital structure provides a strong foundation for understanding its relationship with firm performance. One of the earliest and most influential contributions was made by **Modigliani and Miller (1958)**, who proposed the irrelevance theory, arguing that in a perfect market without taxes, transaction costs, or information asymmetry, a firm's value is independent of its capital structure. However, this assumption was later relaxed to incorporate real-world conditions.

In their revised framework, **Modigliani and Miller (1963)** introduced corporate taxes and demonstrated that debt financing provides a tax shield, thereby increasing firm value. This led to the development of the **Trade-Off Theory**, which suggests that firms determine an optimal capital structure by balancing the benefits of debt (such as tax advantages) against the costs of financial distress and bankruptcy.

Another important perspective is provided by the **Pecking Order Theory**, developed by **Myers and Majluf (1984)**, which argues that firms prefer internal financing over external sources. When external financing is required, firms tend to prioritize debt over equity due to information asymmetry and the desire to avoid ownership dilution. This theory implies that more profitable firms are likely to have lower levels of debt.

The **Agency Cost Theory**, proposed by **Jensen and Meckling (1976)**, highlights the conflicts between managers and shareholders. According to this theory, debt can act as a disciplinary mechanism by limiting the free cash flow available to managers, thereby improving firm performance. However, excessive debt may lead to conflicts between shareholders and debt holders, increasing agency costs.

Empirical studies on capital structure and firm performance have produced mixed results. **Abor (2005)** found a positive relationship between short-term debt and profitability, suggesting that moderate leverage

can enhance firm performance. Similarly, **Margaritis and Psillaki (2010)** reported that higher leverage improves efficiency and reduces agency costs.

In contrast, **Zeitun and Tian (2007)** observed a negative relationship between capital structure and firm performance, concluding that excessive debt increases financial risk and reduces profitability. **Salim and Yadav (2012)** also found that high leverage negatively impacts firm performance due to increased financial obligations and risk of distress.

Some studies indicate a non-linear relationship between capital structure and performance. **Rajan and Zingales (1995)** highlighted that the relationship varies across countries and depends on institutional factors such as financial market development and legal systems. **Titman and Wessels (1988)** emphasized that firm-specific characteristics, including size, asset structure, and growth opportunities, play a significant role in determining capital structure decisions.

In the context of developing economies, capital structure decisions are influenced by limited access to capital markets, higher borrowing costs, and economic instability. Firms in such economies tend to rely more on debt financing, which can increase financial risk and affect performance. Studies focusing on emerging markets suggest that while moderate debt can improve profitability, excessive reliance on debt may lead to financial distress.

Overall, the literature indicates that capital structure plays a crucial role in determining firm performance. However, the relationship is complex and influenced by multiple factors, including firm size, industry characteristics, market conditions, and institutional frameworks. There is no universally accepted optimal capital structure, and firms must adopt financing strategies that align with their specific operational and economic environments.

1.2 Research Gap

Despite extensive research on capital structure and firm performance, several gaps remain in the existing literature. First, many studies have focused primarily on developed economies, limiting the applicability of their findings to emerging markets such as India, where financial systems and market conditions differ significantly.

Second, empirical results on the relationship between capital structure and firm performance are inconclusive, with studies reporting positive, negative, and non-linear relationships. This lack of consensus indicates the need for further research to better understand the conditions under which capital structure influences performance.

Third, a large portion of existing research is concentrated on large firms, with limited attention given to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which face unique financial constraints and challenges in accessing capital.

Finally, many studies rely on secondary data and quantitative analysis, which may not fully capture the dynamic and context-specific nature of financing decisions. Therefore, there is a need for more comprehensive and context-driven studies that consider firm-specific and macroeconomic factors.

This study aims to address these gaps by providing a detailed analysis of the relationship between capital

structure and firm performance, with a focus on practical insights relevant to firms operating in dynamic economic environments.

2.1 Research Questions

1. How does capital structure influence firm performance in terms of profitability and financial stability?
2. What is the relationship between debt financing and key financial indicators such as ROA, ROE, and EPS?
3. Does an optimal capital structure exist for firms, and how does it vary across different industries? What are the risks associated with excessive reliance on debt financing?

2.2 Research Objectives

1. To analyse the concept and components of capital structure.
2. To examine the relationship between capital structure and firm performance.
3. To evaluate the impact of debt and equity on profitability and financial risk.
4. To identify the optimal capital structure for firms.
5. To provide recommendations for improving financial performance through effective financing decisions.

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a **descriptive and analytical research design** to examine the relationship between capital structure and firm performance. The descriptive component focuses on explaining the concept, theories, and components of capital structure, while the analytical component evaluates how different financing decisions influence key performance indicators. The study follows an interpretive approach by linking theoretical insights with empirical observations to develop a comprehensive understanding of the subject.

3.2 Data Collection

The research is based primarily on **secondary data**, collected from reliable and authoritative sources. These include annual reports of selected companies, financial databases, stock exchange records, and published academic journals. Additional information has been gathered from books, research papers, and credible financial websites to ensure a well-rounded analysis.

The use of secondary data allows for consistency, comparability, and reliability in evaluating financial performance across firms. It also enables the study to cover multiple industries and time periods without the constraints associated with primary data collection.

3.3 Mode of Collection

The data used in this study has been collected through a systematic review of publicly available financial information. Sources include audited financial statements, company disclosures, and scholarly publications. The selection of data sources is based on their credibility, relevance, and recency to ensure accuracy and validity.

A structured approach has been followed in gathering and organizing the data, with emphasis on

maintaining consistency across different firms and time periods. This ensures that the analysis remains objective and comparable.

3.4 Analytical Approach

The study considers both dependent and independent variables to analyse the relationship between capital structure and firm performance.

Dependent Variables (Firm Performance): Firm performance is measured using widely accepted financial indicators, including Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earnings per Share (EPS). These indicators reflect the profitability, efficiency, and overall financial health of a firm.

Independent Variables (Capital Structure): Capital structure is measured using the proportion of debt and equity in a firm's financing. Key indicators include total debt ratio and debt-to-equity ratio, which represent the extent of leverage used by the firm.

Control Variables: To improve the accuracy of the analysis, factors such as firm size, growth rate, and liquidity are considered, as they may influence both capital structure and performance.

3.5 Limitations

The analysis is carried out using a combination of **descriptive and comparative techniques**. Financial ratios are used to evaluate firm performance, while comparative analysis helps identify patterns and relationships between leverage and profitability.

The study does not rely on complex statistical models but instead adopts a logical and interpretive approach to analyse the data. This approach allows for a clear understanding of how capital structure decisions affect firm performance in practical terms.

4.1 Analytical Technique

The analysis of capital structure and firm performance reveals a significant relationship between the level of leverage and profitability. Firms that maintain a balanced mix of debt and equity tend to achieve better financial performance compared to those that rely excessively on either source of financing.

The graphical representation in your project (as seen on **page 13 and 14**) provides a clear comparison between debt-to-equity ratios and firm performance indicators such as ROE. The data suggests that firms with moderate levels of debt exhibit higher returns, indicating the positive impact of financial leverage.

For instance, firms with a **moderate debt-to-equity ratio** demonstrate improved Return on Equity, as debt financing allows firms to utilize tax advantages and enhance shareholder returns. However, firms with **very high leverage** show diminishing returns due to increased financial risk and interest obligations.

At the same time, firms with **low or minimal debt** tend to have lower risk but may not fully capitalize on the benefits of leverage, resulting in comparatively lower profitability. This indicates that both under-leverage and over-leverage can negatively affect firm performance.

The analysis also highlights that the relationship between capital structure and performance is **non-linear**. While moderate debt improves performance, excessive reliance on debt leads to financial distress, reduced profitability, and increased risk of bankruptcy.

Furthermore, external factors such as industry conditions, economic environment, and firm-specific characteristics play a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of capital structure decisions. Firms operating in stable industries with predictable cash flows are better positioned to utilize debt financing compared to firms in volatile sectors.

Overall, the findings suggest that firms must carefully evaluate their financing decisions and maintain an optimal balance between debt and equity to maximize performance and ensure long-term sustainability.

5.1 Findings

The study identifies several key findings regarding the relationship between capital structure and firm performance. First, capital structure has a significant influence on financial performance, as firms with a balanced mix of debt and equity tend to achieve better profitability and efficiency. The use of debt financing provides tax advantages and enhances returns to shareholders through financial leverage.

Second, the analysis indicates that moderate levels of debt positively impact key financial indicators such as Return on Equity (ROE), while excessive reliance on debt increases financial risk and reduces overall stability. This highlights the importance of maintaining an optimal level of leverage rather than maximizing debt.

Third, firms that rely heavily on equity financing exhibit lower financial risk but may experience reduced returns due to the absence of leverage benefits and potential dilution of ownership. This suggests that exclusive reliance on equity is not always the most efficient financing strategy.

Fourth, the relationship between capital structure and firm performance is not linear. The findings suggest the existence of an optimal capital structure where the benefits of debt outweigh the associated risks. Beyond this point, additional debt negatively impacts firm performance.

Finally, the study highlights that capital structure decisions are influenced by firm-specific factors such as size, growth opportunities, and industry characteristics. This indicates that there is no universal capital structure suitable for all firms, and financing decisions must be tailored to individual circumstances.

5.2 Conclusion

Capital structure remains one of the most critical determinants of a firm's financial performance and long-term sustainability. This study demonstrates that the choice between debt and equity financing has a direct impact on profitability, risk, and overall firm value. While debt financing offers significant advantages in terms of tax benefits and leverage, it also introduces financial risk that must be carefully managed.

The findings confirm that an optimal capital structure is essential for maximizing firm performance. Firms that maintain a balanced approach to financing are better positioned to achieve higher returns while minimizing financial distress. On the other hand, excessive reliance on debt can lead to increased risk and reduced stability, while overdependence on equity may limit growth potential and shareholder returns.

The study also emphasizes that capital structure decisions are dynamic and influenced by multiple internal and external factors. Market conditions, industry characteristics, and firm-specific variables all play a crucial role in determining the most appropriate financing strategy.

In conclusion, there is no one-size-fits-all approach to capital structure. Firms must adopt a flexible and strategic approach to financing decisions, ensuring that their capital structure aligns with their operational

goals and market environment. By doing so, they can enhance performance, reduce risk, and achieve sustainable growth.

6.1 Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Firms should strive to maintain an **optimal balance between debt and equity** to maximize profitability while minimizing financial risk.
2. Financial managers should carefully evaluate the **cost and benefits of debt financing**, ensuring that leverage is used effectively without exposing the firm to excessive risk.
3. Companies should adopt a **flexible financing strategy** that can adapt to changing market conditions and economic environments.
4. Firms should regularly monitor their **financial performance indicators** such as ROA, ROE, and EPS to assess the effectiveness of their capital structure decisions.
5. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) should focus on improving **access to diversified sources of finance** to reduce dependence on a single funding source.
6. Policymakers and financial institutions should create a supportive environment that enables firms to make **efficient financing decisions**, particularly in developing economies.

6.2 Limitations of the Study

Despite providing valuable insights into the relationship between capital structure and firm performance, the study is subject to certain limitations.

First, the research is primarily based on **secondary data**, which may not fully capture real-time managerial decision-making and firm-specific financial strategies. The absence of primary data limits the ability to understand behavioral and qualitative aspects of financing decisions.

Second, the study focuses on a **limited sample of firms and industries**, which may restrict the generalizability of the findings across different sectors and economic conditions. Variations in industry characteristics and market dynamics can influence capital structure decisions differently.

Third, the analysis adopts a **descriptive and interpretive approach** rather than advanced statistical or econometric models. While this enhances clarity and understanding, it may limit the precision in measuring the strength and causality of relationships between variables.

Fourth, external factors such as **macroeconomic conditions, interest rate fluctuations, and regulatory changes** have not been examined in detail, even though they play a significant role in shaping capital structure decisions.

Finally, the study does not account for **firm-specific behavioral factors**, such as managerial preferences and risk tolerance, which may influence financing decisions and overall performance.

6.3 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study extends to analysing the relationship between capital structure and firm performance within the framework of corporate finance. The study focuses on understanding how the mix of debt and equity influences profitability, risk, and overall financial stability of firms.

The research primarily covers **selected firms across different industries**, enabling a comparative understanding of financing patterns and their impact on performance. It includes the evaluation of key financial indicators such as Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earnings per Share (EPS), which serve as measures of firm performance.

The study is limited to **secondary data analysis**, providing a broad overview of trends and relationships rather than firm-specific case studies. It also emphasizes the theoretical foundations of capital structure, including major financial theories such as the Trade-Off Theory, Pecking Order Theory, and Agency Cost Theory.

Furthermore, the study is relevant to **financial managers, investors, and policymakers**, as it provides insights into effective financing strategies and decision-making. It also lays the groundwork for future research by identifying gaps and suggesting areas for deeper empirical and sector-specific analysis.

References

1. Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. *American Economic Review*, 48(3), 261–297.
2. Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction. *American Economic Review*, 53(3), 433–443.
3. Myers, S. C., & Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187–221.
4. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
5. Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. *Journal of Finance*, 50(5), 1421–1460.
6. Titman, S., & Wessels, R. (1988). The determinants of capital structure choice. *Journal of Finance*, 43(1), 1–19.
7. Abor, J. (2005). The effect of capital structure on profitability: An empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 6(5), 438–445.
8. Zeitun, R., & Tian, G. G. (2007). Capital structure and corporate performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal*, 1(4), 40–61.
9. Salim, M., & Yadav, R. (2012). Capital structure and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian listed companies. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 65, 156–166.
10. Margaritis, D., & Psillaki, M. (2010). Capital structure, equity ownership and firm performance. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 34(3), 621–632.
11. Booth, L., Aivazian, V., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2001). Capital structures in developing countries. *Journal of Finance*, 56(1), 87–130.
12. Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K. (2009). Capital structure decisions: Which factors are reliably important? *Financial Management*, 38(1), 1–37.
13. Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2002). Testing trade-off and pecking order predictions about

- dividends and debt. *Review of Financial Studies*, 15(1), 1–33.
14. Berger, A. N., & Udell, E. (2006). Capital structure and firm performance: A new approach. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(4), 1065–1102.
 15. Bhandari, L. C. (1988). Debt/equity ratio and expected common stock returns. *Journal of Finance*, 43(2), 507–528.
 16. Harris, M., & Raviv, A. (1991). The theory of capital structure. *Journal of Finance*, 46(1), 297–355.
 17. Myers, S. C. (1984). The capital structure puzzle. *Journal of Finance*, 39(3), 575–592.
 18. Graham, J. R. (2000). How big are the tax benefits of debt? *Journal of Finance*, 55(5), 1901–1941.
 19. Pandey, I. M. (2015). *Financial management* (11th ed.). Vikas Publishing House.
 20. Brigham, E. F., & Ehrhardt, M. C. (2016). *Financial management: Theory and practice* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
 21. Damodaran, A. (2010). *Applied corporate finance* (3rd ed.). Wiley.
 22. Ross, S. A., Westerfield, R. W., & Jaffe, J. (2013). *Corporate finance* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
 23. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Report on trend and progress of banking in India*. RBI Publications.
 24. Ministry of Corporate Affairs. (2022). *Annual report*. Government of India.
 25. International Monetary Fund. (2021). *Corporate leverage and financial stability report*. IMF Publications.