

# A Systematic Review of Deep Learning Methods in Face Recognition

Sharwari Kshirsagar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Gyankamal Chhajed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Student, Department of Computer Engineering (AI & DS), Vidya Pratishthan's Kamalnayan Bajaj Institute of Engineering and Technology, Baramati, SPPU, Pune, India

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering (AI & DS), Vidya Pratishthan's Kamalnayan Bajaj Institute of Engineering and Technology, Baramati, SPPU, Pune, India

## Abstract

Facial recognition has become one of the most prevalent biometric technologies, facilitating the automated identification and verification of individuals through their facial characteristics. With the progress in artificial intelligence and deep learning, facial recognition systems have demonstrated exceptional performance in various practical applications, including surveillance, authentication, and access control. However, despite these advancements, issues such as pose variation, changes in lighting, occlusions, and aging still impact accuracy and dependability. This paper offers a thorough literature review of recent research in the area of facial recognition, showcasing various methods, techniques, and performance results reported by scholars. The review highlights the transition of facial recognition from conventional techniques to contemporary deep learning-based systems, identifies prevalent challenges, and suggests possible avenues for future research focused on creating more robust, efficient, and ethically sound recognition models.

**Keywords:** Face Recognition, Deep Learning, Image Processing, Facial Expression, Facial Landmarks, Artificial Intelligence, Applications.

## 1. Introduction

Face recognition is a key area of research in computer vision and pattern recognition, focusing on identifying or verifying individuals using their facial characteristics. It has become an essential component of modern technology, finding applications in areas such as law enforcement, access control, mobile authentication, attendance systems, and social media [3], [6]. The ability to recognize faces accurately and efficiently has made it one of the most widely adopted biometric modalities worldwide [2].

In recent years, the field has evolved significantly with the integration of artificial intelligence and deep learning, leading to improved recognition accuracy and adaptability under diverse environmental conditions [4], [7], [12]. Several studies have explored new frameworks and models to enhance recognition performance while addressing issues such as pose variation, illumination, and facial occlusion [1], [8]. Despite these advances, challenges related to aging effects, data quality, and real-time processing still affect system reliability [5], [9].

Furthermore, recent studies have highlighted the significance of lightweight and energy-efficient models

that are appropriate for implementation on edge and mobile devices [4], [15]. Concurrently, concerns regarding privacy, data security, and fairness have come to the forefront, prompting researchers to explore secure frameworks and effective evaluation techniques to guarantee the ethical and responsible utilization of facial data [11], [13].

This survey paper reviews and analyzes fifteen recent studies that contribute to the advancement of face recognition technology. The selected works cover various aspects such as accuracy, efficiency, interpretability, and ethical considerations, offering a holistic view of the current state of research in this domain. The scope of this survey is to summarize key developments in face recognition, highlight emerging trends, and identify research gaps that can guide future innovations toward building more accurate, robust, and socially responsible recognition systems [1–15].

## 2. REVIEWED METHODOLOGY

The proposed face recognition system is developed using deep learning techniques that automatically learn and extract meaningful facial features from images. The methodology follows a structured pipeline consisting of four main stages: data acquisition, pre-processing, feature extraction, and face recognition. Each stage contributes to achieving accurate, efficient, and robust recognition performance.

### A. Data Acquisition

The first step involves collecting facial images from reliable sources such as publicly available datasets or capturing them through a camera system. The quality and diversity of the dataset play a vital role in the performance of the model. The dataset should include variations in pose, lighting, facial expression, and background to ensure that the model generalizes well to different real-world conditions.

### B. Pre-processing

Pre-processing ensures that all input images are consistent and suitable for training. It includes several key steps such as face detection, alignment, cropping, and normalization. Face detection locates the region of interest within the image, while alignment adjusts the orientation of the face using landmarks like eyes and nose. Cropping focuses on the facial area, and normalization standardizes the image size and pixel intensity, reducing the effects of illumination and background noise.

### C. Feature Extraction

In this stage, deep learning models, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), are used to automatically extract important and discriminative features from the input facial images. These networks learn hierarchical feature representations, capturing both fine details (like textures and edges) and high-level identity-related patterns. The output of this stage is a compact numerical representation, known as a feature embedding, which uniquely describes each face in a high-dimensional space.

### D. Face Recognition

In the face recognition stage, the system verifies whether two facial images belong to the same person. This is achieved by comparing their corresponding feature embeddings obtained from the feature extraction stage. A similarity measure such as cosine similarity or Euclidean distance is used to

determine how close or far the two embeddings are. If the distance between them is below a certain threshold, the faces are recognized as belonging to the same individual; otherwise, they are considered different. This verification-based approach is particularly useful in applications such as authentication, attendance systems, and secure access control.

## *E. Model Evaluation*

The trained model undergoes evaluation to assess its accuracy and reliability. Common metrics for evaluation encompass verification accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Furthermore, metrics like the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve and True Acceptance Rate (TAR) at varying False Acceptance Rates (FAR) are employed to evaluate performance across different thresholds. These metrics are instrumental in establishing the robustness and consistency of the recognition system.

### I. APPROACHES USED FOR FACE RECOGNITION

Face recognition has evolved through several algorithmic approaches, ranging from traditional feature-based methods to modern deep learning architectures. The reviewed literature highlights various techniques that have contributed to improving accuracy, robustness, and real-time performance. The major approaches used in recent studies include Local Binary Pattern Histogram (LBPH), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), You Only Look Once (YOLO), Hybrid and Advanced Models. A brief overview of these approaches is presented below.

#### *A. Local Binary Pattern Histogram (LBPH)*

The LBPH method is one of the earliest and most popular traditional approaches for face recognition. It works by analyzing local texture patterns in grayscale images, encoding each pixel based on its neighborhood intensity values. The resulting histogram acts as a feature vector representing the face. LBPH is computationally efficient and performs well under varying lighting conditions, making it suitable for real-time applications. However, its performance decreases with large pose variations and complex facial expressions [2].

#### *B. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)*

CNNs form the foundation of most modern deep learning-based face recognition systems. They automatically learn hierarchical feature representations from raw images through multiple convolution and pooling layers. CNN-based models capture both low-level details (like edges and textures) and high-level semantic information (such as facial structure).

In the reviewed works, CNNs have been used for feature extraction and embedding generation, which are then compared using similarity metrics for face verification [4], [5], [9]. CNNs provide superior accuracy compared to traditional methods but require large datasets and high computational resources.

#### *C. Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)*

GANs are used to generate realistic facial images or transform images to improve recognition under challenging conditions. In pose-invariant face recognition, GANs can frontalize profile faces while maintaining identity information [1]. They are also applied in data augmentation and image enhancement tasks, helping the model handle variations in pose, illumination, and expression.

Although GANs produce high-quality images, they are complex to train and require careful

balancing between generator and discriminator networks.

*D. You Only Look Once (YOLO)*

YOLO is a real-time object detection algorithm that has been adapted for face detection in recognition pipelines. It performs detection and localization in a single stage, allowing fast and efficient processing suitable for embedded or edge devices [4]. In several studies, YOLO has been integrated with deep embedding models such as ArcFace to perform end-to-end face detection and recognition.

YOLO’s advantage lies in its high speed and accuracy, but it can struggle with detecting very small or occluded faces.

*E. Hybrid and Advanced Models*

Some recent research has combined multiple techniques to enhance performance. For example, multi-feature learning frameworks such as Joint Similar and Specific Learning (JSSL) integrate various feature representations to improve recognition accuracy [10]. Similarly, Age-Invariant and Video-based recognition models use multi-task and temporal learning approaches to handle dynamic and age-related variations in faces [5], [14].

II. ANALYSIS OF EXISTING STUDIES

TABLE I. ANALYSIS OF EXISTING STUDIES

Sr No	Paper Title	Techniques used	Advantages	Disadvantages
1.	Learning to Drop Expensive Layers for Fast Face Recognition, 2021[15]	Fast-FAR with Reinforcement Learning Agent.	Faster recognition without losing accuracy.	Adds complexity in policy learning.
2.	ST-VLAD: Video Face Recognition Based on Aggregated Local Spatial- Temporal Descriptors, 2021[14]	ST-VLAD with Fisher-based weight learning.	Captures spatial-temporal info for better video face recognition.	Higher computational cost.
3.	Master Face Attacks on Face Recognition Systems, 2022[13]	Latent Variable Evolution (LVE) for master faces.	Reveals vulnerabilities in face authentication.	Enables potential presentation attacks.

4.	Efficient Region of Interest Based Metric Learning for Effective Open World Deep Face Recognition Applications, 2022 [12]	Coverless steganography via diffusion model.	Secure face data with high recognition rate.	Complex implementation.
5.	Coverless Steganography for Face Recognition Based on Diffusion Model, 2024 [11]	Coverless steganography with diffusion model (DDIM).	High privacy and secure face recognition.	Moderate detection accuracy; complex process.
6.	Extended JSSL for Multi-Feature Face Recognition via Intra-Class Variant Dictionary, 2021 [10]	Extended JSSL (EJSSL) with intra-class variant dictionary.	Better representation of testing images.	Adds computational complexity.
7.	Pixel-Level Face Image Quality Assessment for Explainable Face Recognition, 2023 [9]	Pixel-level face quality estimation, training-free.	Improves interpretability of face images.	Limited to quality assessment, not recognition.
8.	Beyond Frontal Face Recognition, 2023 [8]	Holistic model with generator and classifier using configural info.	Handles pose variations with high accuracy.	Complex model design.
9.	Knowledge Distillation for Face Recognition Using Synthetic Data With Dynamic Latent Sampling, 2024 [7]	SynthDistill with knowledge distillation on synthetic data.	High accuracy with lightweight models.	Still dependent on synthetic data quality.
10.	Automatic Player Face Detection and Recognition for Players in Cricket Games, 2024 [6]	AdaBoost with PAL-based face recognition.	Accurate real-time player identification.	Affected by lighting and occlusion.

11.	When Age- Invariant Face Recognition Meets Face AgeSynthes is: A Multi-Task Learning Framework and a NewBench mark,2023[ 5]	MTLFace with attention decomposition.	Better age- invariant recognition.	Complex training.
12.	Real-Time Face Recognition System at the Edge,2024[ 4]	Extended YOLO and ArcFace on embedded SoC.	High accuracy with real- time performance.	Complex optimization for embedded systems.
13.	A Review of Face Recognition Technology ,2020[3]	Biometric face feature identification.	Broad real- world applicability.	Performance varies under real conditions.
14.	LBPH- based Enhanced Real-Time Face Recognition,20219[2]	Local Binary Pattern Histogram (LBPH).	Effective for real- time and varied lighting conditions.	Limited accuracy with complex facial variations.
15.	CP-GAN: A Cross- Pose Profile Face Frontalization Boosting Pose- Invariant Face Recognition,2020[1]	CP-GAN with U- Net.	Better identity preservation.	Complex training.

## A. Review Summary and Observations

The reviewed literature highlights the continuous evolution of face recognition research from traditional feature-based methods to advanced deep learning and hybrid models. Early approaches such as the Local Binary Pattern Histogram (LBPH) focused on extracting texture-based features for real-time recognition and proved effective under varying illumination conditions [2]. Similarly, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and other statistical approaches provided a foundation for face recognition by reducing dimensionality and simplifying feature representation [3]. However, these methods faced limitations in handling complex pose and expression variations. To address these challenges, recent studies have shifted towards deep learning architectures capable of learning highly discriminative features directly from image data [4], [5], [9].

Several studies have focused on improving robustness under challenging conditions such as pose, age, and lighting variations. For instance, the Cross-Pose Generative Adversarial Network (CP-GAN) was introduced to generate frontal faces from profile images, thereby improving pose- invariant face recognition performance [1]. Similarly, Age- Invariant Face Recognition (AIFR) frameworks have been proposed to reduce the influence of aging on recognition accuracy by separating age-related and identity-related features [5]. Video-based methods such as the Spatial- Temporal Vector of Locally

Aggregated Descriptors (ST- VLAD) have been designed to enhance recognition in video streams by capturing both spatial and temporal facial details [14]. Additionally, methods such as Joint Similar and Specific Learning (JSSL) employ multi-feature representations to improve recognition accuracy by combining diverse facial characteristics [10].

Another significant direction in recent research focuses on achieving real-time and efficient face recognition for practical applications. Studies such as those by Ozen [4] and Mahmoodulhaq [6] have explored edge-based and embedded implementations using algorithms like YOLO and AdaBoost to enable fast and accurate detection in real-world environments. The development of lightweight models and adaptive learning strategies, such as Reinforcement Learning Agents (RLA), further optimize recognition by reducing computational costs while maintaining accuracy [15]. In parallel, the growing emphasis on data security and privacy has led to the introduction of coverless steganography and diffusion models that protect facial data without compromising recognition quality [11]. Works such as SynthDistill [7] demonstrate that synthetic data can effectively replace real data for model training, ensuring both privacy and high performance. Other studies have addressed system vulnerabilities by analyzing master face attacks [13] and proposing adaptive threshold mechanisms for open- world recognition scenarios [12].

Overall, the reviewed studies [1–15] show that face recognition has transitioned into a mature research area with diverse techniques addressing specific challenges such as pose invariance, aging, data privacy, and computational efficiency. While deep learning has greatly enhanced accuracy and feature learning capabilities, issues like interpretability, fairness, and data bias still need further attention. The literature collectively emphasizes that future advancements in face recognition should aim toward achieving a balance between accuracy, efficiency, and ethical responsibility.

## VI. ALGORITHM-WISE PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW TABLE II. ALGORITHM-WISE PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

Sr. No.	Algorithm / Model	Evaluation Parameters	Observed Outcomes
1	LBPH (Local Binary Pattern Histogram)	Recognition rate, Lighting variation handling, Processing speed	Achieved 94–96% accuracy on real-time datasets; effective under varied illumination but less accurate for pose and expression changes [2], [14].
2	CP-GAN (Cross-Pose Generative Adversarial Network)	Pose invariance, Identity preservation, Recognition accuracy	Reached 97.8% accuracy on pose-invariant datasets; maintained identity consistency but required complex training. [1].
3	Extended YOLO + ArcFace	Detection accuracy, Processing time, Edge-device efficiency	Achieved 98.3% accuracy with real-time recognition on embedded devices; optimization for hardware remains challenging. [4], [12].

4	Age-Invariant Face Recognition (AIFR)	Cross-age accuracy, Feature disentanglement, Model interpretability	Reported 96.7% accuracy across age groups; artifacts slightly reduced performance [5].
5	SynthDistill (Knowledge Distillation + Synthetic Data)	Verification accuracy, Model size, Generalization	Attained 99.52% accuracy on LFW with lightweight synthetic models; strong generalization and privacy benefits[7], [9].
6	Pixel-Level Face Image Quality Assessment	Image quality metrics, Explainability, Network independence	Correlation score 0.91 for image quality prediction; improved interpretability but not accuracy [9].
7	ST-VLAD (Spatial-Temporal VLAD Descriptor)	Video recognition accuracy, Temporal stability, Processing cost	Achieved 95.4% accuracy on video datasets; high computation cost noted [14].
8	Reinforcement Learning Agent (RLA)	Speed optimization, Accuracy, Computational efficiency	Gained 97.3% accuracy with 25% faster processing by skipping redundant layers.[15].

### III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The review of existing literature indicates that although face recognition technology has advanced considerably with the help of deep learning, several limitations still exist in terms of computational efficiency, pose and age variations, data privacy, and model interpretability. Considering these research gaps, the main objectives of this study are outlined below:

- To develop a deep learning-based face recognition model capable of achieving high accuracy and robustness under varying conditions such as pose, illumination, and facial expression.
- To design an efficient and lightweight framework that can perform real-time recognition and be effectively deployed on resource-constrained or embedded systems.
- To improve the interpretability and quality assessment of deep learning models used in face recognition, ensuring transparent and explainable outputs.

### CONCLUSION

The study highlights the significant progress in face recognition research, moving from traditional feature-based methods like LBPH to advanced deep learning models such as CP-GAN, YOLO + ArcFace, and SynthDistill. These modern approaches demonstrate high accuracy, robustness, and adaptability under varying conditions of pose, illumination, and age. However, challenges such as high computational cost, model complexity, and privacy preservation remain key areas for improvement. Overall, deep learning has transformed face recognition into a more reliable and intelligent system, and future research should

focus on developing lightweight, interpretable, and secure models that can perform efficiently in real-world, resource-constrained environments.

## References

1. J. Liu, Q. Li, M. Liu and T. Wei, "CP-GAN: A Cross-Pose Profile Face Frontalization Boosting Pose-Invariant Face Recognition," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 198659-198667, 2020, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3033675](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3033675)
2. Memon, Farah & Memon, Hira & Dharejo, Fayaz & Ahmed, Aftab & Ghaffar, Abdul. (2019). LBPH-based Enhanced Real-Time Face Recognition. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*. 10.10.14569/IJACSA.2019.0100535.
3. L. Li, X. Mu, S. Li and H. Peng, "A Review of Face Recognition Technology," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 139110-139120, 2020, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3011028](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3011028)
4. Ozen, Emre & Alim, Fikret & Okcu, Sefa Burak & Kavakli, Enes & Cigla, Cevahir. (2024). Real-Time Face Recognition System at the Edge 10.1117/12.3013671.
5. Z. Huang, J. Zhang and H. Shan, "When Age-Invariant Face Recognition Meets Face Age Synthesis: A Multi-Task Learning Framework and a New Benchmark," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 7917-7932, 1 June 2023, doi: [10.1109/TPAMI.2022.3217882](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2022.3217882)
6. M. U. Haq, M. A. J. Sethi, S. Ahmad, M. A. ELAffendi and M. Asim, "Automatic Player Face Detection and Recognition for Players in Cricket Games," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 41219-41233, 2024, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3377564](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3377564)
7. H. Otroshi Shahreza, A. George and S. Marcel, "Knowledge Distillation for Face Recognition Using Synthetic Data With Dynamic Latent Sampling," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 187800-187812, 2024, doi [10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3505621](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3505621)
8. M. Joseph and K. Elleithy, "Beyond Frontal Face Recognition," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 26850-26861, 2023, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3258444](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3258444)
9. P. Terhörst, M. Huber, N. Damer, F. Kirchbuchner, K. Raja and Kuijper, "Pixel-Level Face Image Quality Assessment for Explainable Face Recognition," in *IEEE Transactions on Biometrics, Behavior, and Identity Science*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 288- 297, April 2023, doi: [10.1109/TBIOM.2023.3263186](https://doi.org/10.1109/TBIOM.2023.3263186)
10. G. Lin et al., "Extended JSSL for Multi-Feature Face Recognition via Intra-Class Variant Dictionary," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 91807-91819, 2021, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3089836](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3089836)
11. Y. Guo and Z. Liu, "Coverless Steganography for Face Recognition Based on Diffusion Model," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 148770-148782, 2024, doi [10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3477469](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3477469)
13. A. R. Faizabadi, H. F. B. M. Zaki, Z. B. Z. Abidin, N. N. W. N. Hashim and M. A. B. Husman, "Efficient Region of Interest Based Metric Learning for Effective Open World Deep Face Recognition Applications," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 76168- 76184, 2022, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3192520](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3192520)
14. H. H. Nguyen, S. Marcel, J. Yamagishi and I. Echizen, "Master Face Attacks on Face

- Recognition Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Biometrics, Behavior, and Identity Science, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 398-411, July 2022, doi: [10.1109/TBIOM.2022.3166206](https://doi.org/10.1109/TBIOM.2022.3166206)
15. Y. Wang, Y. -P. Huang and X. -J. Shen, "ST-VLAD: Video Face Recognition Based on Aggregated Local Spatial-Temporal Descriptors," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 31170-31178, 2021, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3060180](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3060180)
16. J. Li, W. Jia, Y. Hu, S. Li and X. Tu, "Learning to Drop Expensive Layers for Fast Face Recognition," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 117880-117886, 2021, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3106483](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3106483)