

Student Feedback in Competency-Based Medical Education

**Dr. Sharadkumar Pralhad Sawant¹, Dr. Priyatama Sharadkumar Sawant², Viren Sharadkumar Sawant³, Dr. Shaheen Rizvi⁴,
Dr. Amit Manchanda⁵**

¹Department of Anatomy, ²Department of Ayush,

Prince Medical College and Hospital, Sikar, Rajasthan, India

³Final Year M.D., Volgograd University Medical College, Russia.

⁴Department of Anatomy, Geetanjali Medical College, Geetanjali University,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

⁵Department of Anatomy, Prince Medical College and Hospital, Sikar, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Competency-Based Medical Education (CBME) represents a paradigm shift from traditional teacher-centered instruction toward learner-centered, outcome-oriented medical training. Within this transformative framework, student feedback has emerged as an indispensable component for improving educational quality, teaching effectiveness, curriculum development, assessment strategies, and institutional accountability. Student feedback provides valuable insights into the strengths and limitations of teaching methodologies, learning environments, assessment systems, and faculty performance. It promotes reflective teaching practices, fosters continuous quality improvement, and enhances communication between students and educators. In CBME, where the primary objective is the acquisition of competencies, student feedback plays a pivotal role in identifying gaps in competency attainment, clinical training, skill development, professionalism, and learner satisfaction. Constructive feedback also empowers students by encouraging active participation in the educational process and promoting self-directed learning. The integration of systematic feedback mechanisms contributes significantly to educational excellence, faculty development, curriculum refinement, and patient-centered healthcare training. The present article discusses the concept, significance, educational impact, methodologies, advantages, challenges, and future perspectives of student feedback in Competency-Based Medical Education. Strengthening feedback culture within medical institutions can greatly improve academic standards, institutional harmony, and competency-based healthcare education.

Keywords: Student Feedback, Competency-Based Medical Education, Medical Education, Faculty Development, Learner-Centered Education, Curriculum Improvement, Educational Quality, Reflective Learning

1. Introduction

Medical education has undergone remarkable transformation in recent decades due to changing healthcare demands, rapid scientific advancements, and the need for competent healthcare professionals. Traditional medical teaching methods primarily emphasized passive learning and theoretical knowledge acquisition. However, modern educational systems increasingly focus on competency development, communication skills, professionalism, ethics, clinical reasoning, and lifelong learning. (1)

Competency-Based Medical Education (CBME), introduced by the National Medical Commission, emphasizes outcome-based education aimed at producing competent Indian medical graduates capable of delivering patient-centered healthcare. Within this educational model, continuous evaluation and improvement of teaching-learning processes are essential. (2)

Student feedback has emerged as one of the most powerful tools for quality assurance and educational enhancement in medical education. Feedback refers to the systematic collection of students' perceptions, experiences, suggestions, and evaluations regarding teaching methodologies, curriculum implementation, assessment systems, clinical exposure, and institutional facilities. (3)

Student feedback serves multiple educational purposes. It enables faculty members to improve teaching effectiveness, helps institutions identify curricular deficiencies, promotes accountability, and strengthens learner engagement. Furthermore, feedback supports reflective teaching practices and contributes to the creation of supportive learning environments. (4)

In the context of CBME, student feedback becomes even more important because competency acquisition requires dynamic interaction between learners, teachers, curriculum planners, and healthcare systems. Effective feedback mechanisms ensure that educational objectives align with learner needs and healthcare expectations. (5)

The present article highlights the importance of student feedback in CBME and its role in enhancing academic excellence, institutional development, and healthcare education.

Material and Methods

The present article is a narrative review based on comprehensive literature related to student feedback, medical education, competency-based learning, faculty development, and educational quality assurance. Relevant information was collected from textbooks, peer-reviewed journals, educational guidelines, and publications indexed in PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and Web of Science databases between 2000 and 2025. Keywords used for literature search included "Student Feedback," "Competency-Based Medical Education," "Medical Education Quality," "Learner-Centered Education," "Faculty Evaluation," and "Educational Assessment."

The collected literature was critically analyzed, compared, and synthesized to develop a comprehensive understanding of the role and significance of student feedback in modern medical education.

Concept of Student Feedback

Definition

Student feedback is a structured process through which learners provide opinions, evaluations, suggestions, and reflections regarding teaching methods, curriculum implementation, learning environments, assessment systems, and educational experiences.

Objectives of Student Feedback

- i. Improvement of teaching quality
- ii. Enhancement of learning experiences
- iii. Identification of curricular gaps
- iv. Promotion of faculty development
- v. Strengthening learner engagement
- vi. Improvement of assessment methods
- vii. Encouragement of reflective teaching
- viii. Enhancement of institutional accountability (6)

Importance of Student Feedback in CBME

1. Enhancing Teaching Effectiveness

Student feedback helps faculty members evaluate:

- a. Clarity of teaching
- b. Teaching methodologies
- c. Communication skills
- d. Student engagement
- e. Clinical teaching effectiveness

Constructive feedback enables educators to modify teaching strategies according to learner needs.

2. Promoting Learner-Centered Education

CBME emphasizes active participation of learners in the educational process. Student feedback:

- a. Encourages student involvement
- b. Promotes democratic learning environments
- c. Enhances student motivation
- d. Supports collaborative learning

This strengthens learner-centered education.

3. Improving Curriculum Design

Student feedback identifies:

- a. Curriculum overload
- b. Redundant topics
- c. Inadequate clinical exposure
- d. Deficiencies in competency training
- e. Gaps in integration of subjects

Curriculum planners can utilize feedback for curriculum refinement and modernization.

4. Strengthening Clinical Competency Development

In CBME, competency acquisition is the primary educational objective. Student feedback helps institutions assess:

- a. Clinical postings
- b. Procedural training
- c. Skill laboratory effectiveness

d. Communication skill development

e. Early clinical exposure

This improves competency attainment among students.

5. Encouraging Reflective Teaching Practices

Feedback encourages faculty members to reflect upon:

a. Teaching performance

b. Student understanding

c. Classroom interaction

d. Assessment strategies

Reflective teaching improves educational quality and professional growth.

6. Enhancing Assessment Systems

Students often provide valuable insights regarding:

a. Examination patterns

b. Fairness of assessment

c. Practical examinations

d. Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE)

e. Internal assessment methods

Such feedback improves reliability and validity of assessments.

7. Improving Faculty Development

Student feedback identifies areas requiring:

a. Faculty training

b. Communication skill enhancement

c. Technological adaptation

d. Clinical teaching improvement

Institutions can organize targeted faculty development programs accordingly.

8. Promoting Institutional Accountability

Systematic feedback mechanisms enhance:

a. Transparency

b. Academic accountability

c. Educational governance

d. Institutional quality assurance

This strengthens trust between students and institutions. (7)

Methods of Collecting Student Feedback

1. Structured Questionnaires

Commonly used standardized forms with rating scales.

2. Online Feedback Systems

Digital platforms enabling anonymous and rapid feedback collection.

3. Focus Group Discussions

Interactive discussions providing detailed qualitative insights.

4. Individual Interviews

Personalized exploration of student experiences.

5. Feedback Workshops

Collaborative sessions involving students and faculty.

6. Suggestion Boxes

Anonymous collection of opinions and recommendations. **(8)**

Characteristics of Effective Feedback

- i. Constructive
- ii. Specific
- iii. Objective
- iv. Timely
- v. Confidential
- vi. Action-oriented
- vii. Respectful
- viii. Evidence-based **(9)**

Role of Faculty in Utilizing Feedback

Faculty members should:

- i. Accept feedback positively
- ii. Reflect upon teaching practices
- iii. Implement constructive changes
- iv. Encourage open communication
- v. Maintain professional attitudes
- vi. Foster supportive learning environments

Positive utilization of feedback improves teacher-student relationships. **(10)**

Challenges in Student Feedback

1. Fear of Bias and Retaliation

Students may hesitate to provide honest feedback due to fear of negative consequences.

Subjectivity

Feedback may sometimes reflect personal preferences rather than objective evaluation.

2. Lack of Faculty Acceptance

Some faculty members may resist criticism or feedback-driven changes.

3. Inadequate Feedback Systems

Poorly designed questionnaires reduce effectiveness.

4. Lack of Follow-Up Action

Failure to implement improvements discourages students from participating sincerely. **(11)**

Strategies to Improve Feedback Systems

- i. Anonymous Feedback Mechanisms
- ii. Ensure confidentiality and encourage honest responses.
- iii. Digital Feedback Platforms
- iv. Improve accessibility, efficiency, and data analysis.
- v. Faculty Sensitization Programs
- vi. Promote positive attitudes toward feedback.
- vii. Periodic Curriculum Review
- viii. Integrate feedback into curriculum planning.
- ix. Student-Faculty Interaction
- x. Encourage open dialogue and collaborative problem-solving. (12)

Discussion

Student feedback has become an essential pillar of modern medical education, especially within the framework of Competency-Based Medical Education. Unlike traditional educational models that focused primarily on teacher authority, CBME emphasizes learner participation, competency acquisition, reflective practice, and continuous quality improvement.

Student feedback serves as a powerful educational tool that bridges the gap between learners and educators. It provides valuable insights into teaching effectiveness, curriculum relevance, assessment quality, and institutional functioning. By incorporating students' perspectives, medical institutions can create more responsive, adaptive, and learner-centered educational environments. (13)

Feedback also promotes professionalism and accountability among faculty members. Teachers become more aware of students' educational needs, learning difficulties, and expectations. Consequently, they can adopt innovative teaching methodologies such as problem-based learning, simulation-based education, small-group discussions, and digital learning technologies.

In CBME, where competency acquisition is central, student feedback becomes particularly important for evaluating clinical exposure, skill development, communication training, and assessment practices. Students are uniquely positioned to assess whether educational experiences truly support competency development. (14)

However, effective feedback systems require mutual trust, confidentiality, institutional commitment, and faculty acceptance. Negative attitudes toward feedback, fear of retaliation, and lack of follow-up actions may compromise the effectiveness of feedback mechanisms. Therefore, institutions must develop supportive feedback cultures that encourage open communication, constructive criticism, and collaborative improvement.

Technological advancements have further strengthened feedback systems through online platforms, real-time evaluation tools, and digital analytics. Future medical education will likely witness greater integration of artificial intelligence and data-driven educational feedback systems for continuous quality enhancement. (15)

Conclusion

Student feedback is an indispensable component of Competency-Based Medical Education and plays a vital role in improving educational quality, teaching effectiveness, curriculum design, faculty development, and institutional accountability.

Constructive feedback enhances learner-centered education, strengthens competency acquisition, promotes reflective teaching practices, and improves communication between students and faculty. It also supports continuous curriculum refinement and quality assurance in medical institutions.

Medical colleges should therefore establish structured, confidential, and action-oriented feedback systems integrated within CBME frameworks. Faculty members should be sensitized toward positive utilization of feedback for academic improvement and professional growth.

A strong feedback culture ultimately contributes to the development of competent, ethical, reflective, and patient-centered healthcare professionals capable of meeting the evolving demands of modern medicine.

Declaration by Authors

Ethical Approval: Approved

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to Dr. Piyush Sunda, Paediatrician, Chairman of Prince Eduhub and Prince Hospital, and Dr. Ramratan Yadav, Consultant Surgeon and Professor at Government Medical College, Sikar. The authors are also thankful to the faculty and staff of the Department of Anatomy, Prince Medical College, for their continuous support and encouragement throughout the study. Special appreciation is extended to the body donors and their families whose noble contribution to medical education continues to inspire generations of future doctors. Authors also acknowledge the immense help received from the scholars whose articles are cited and included in references of this manuscript. The authors are also grateful to authors / editors / publishers of all those articles, journals and books from where the literature for this article has been reviewed and discussed.

Source of Funding: None.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions: Sharadkumar Pralhad Sawant (SPS) conceptualized the study, designed the framework of the manuscript, and prepared the original draft with critical intellectual inputs. Priyatama S. Sawant (PSS) substantially assisted in scientific writing, organization of content, and refinement of the manuscript. Viren S. Sawant (VSS) carried out an extensive and systematic review of the relevant literature and contributed to the compilation of scholarly references. S. Rizvi (SR) performed meticulous proofreading, language editing, grammatical corrections, and Amit Manchanda (AM) done plagiarism assessment to ensure the academic integrity and originality of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Alharbi NS. Evaluating competency-based medical education: A systematized review of current practices. *BMC Medical Education*. 2024;24:612.
2. Baboolal SO, Singaram VS. Implementation and impact of an adapted digital perioperative competency-building tool to enhance teaching, learning and feedback in postgraduate competency-based medical education. *Journal of Surgical Education*. 2024;81(5):722-740.
3. Kumar A, Gupta S, Jain J, et al. Perceived learning and feedback of students following the competency-based clinical rotation in psychiatry: A retrospective review from tertiary-care teaching institute in Central India. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*. 2024;46(5):429-438.

4. Dr. S. P. Sawant Dr. S. Rizvi, Teaching Anatomy to Undergraduate Students, International Journal of Anatomy and Research, 2015, Vol 3, Issue 3, Page No. 1212-15, Open Access, Peer Reviewed, Quarterly Publishing Online/Print Journal SCOPUS, Index Medicus for South East Asia Region (IMSER), Sherpa Romeo, SC Imago Journal & Country Rank, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.16965/ijar.2015.172>
5. Sethuraman B, Chari U, Perugu S, et al. Students' perspectives of competency-based medical education in undergraduate psychiatry clinical training: A qualitative evaluation. Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine. 2024.
6. Soundariya K, Nishanthi A, Mahendran R, Vimal M. Evaluation of competency-based medical education curriculum implementation for Phase II medical undergraduates: A qualitative study. Journal of Advances in Medical Education and Professionalism. 2025;13(1):36-48.
7. Sharma J, Deswal H, Kaushal J, Rohilla S. Perception of MBBS students about pharmacology teaching in new competency-based medical education curriculum at a tertiary care medical institute of Haryana. Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics. 2025.
8. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Easy method to educate newly admitted medical students, Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences (SJAMS) March-April 2015; 3(2g):1039-42 International, open access, peer reviewed journal Cross-Ref DOI, Publons, OAJI, Research gate, Academia.edu, Google scholar. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.36347/sjams.2015.v03i02.099>
9. Waghmare T, Waghmare L, Ambad RS. A proposed context-specific, student-centric, value-based, technology-enhanced, and outcome-oriented model of competency-based graduate medical education in a rural Indian medical school. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences. 2025;17(Suppl 1):S194-S196.
10. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Ethics for Medical Educators, Anatomy & Physiology: Current Research, 2017; Vol 7, Issue 2 (257) Peer-reviewed open access journal Publons, Google Scholar, Euro Pub, Geneva Foundation for Medical Education and Research. Received Date: March 28, 2017; Accepted Date: March 30, 2017; Published Date: March 31, 2017, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-0940.1000257>
11. Pande BS, Pawar K, Patel AR, Patil A. A study of student feedback on competency-based medical education (CBME): A cross-sectional study. 2026.
12. Comparative evaluation of competency-based and real-patient education in undergraduate clinical clerkships: Insights from student feedback and performance. BMC Medical Education. 2025.
13. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Study of passive didactic teacher centered approach & an active student centered approach in teaching Anatomy, International Journal of Anatomy and Research, 2015, Vol 3, Issue 3, Page No 1192-97. Open Access, Peer Reviewed, Quarterly Publishing Online/Print Journal SCOPUS, Index Medicus for South East Asia Region (IMSER), Sherpa Romeo, SC Imago Journal & Country Rank, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.16965/ijar.2015.147>
14. Hicke Y, Geathers J, Rajashekar N, et al. MedSimAI: Simulation and formative feedback generation to enhance deliberate practice in medical education. 2025.
15. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, The Evolution of Human Anatomy in India, MOJ Anatomy and Physiology, April 2017, Volume 4, Issue 2, 00132, 2017, Page No. 285–289, Received: March 18, 2017, Published: September 18, 2017, Peer-reviewed open access journal Genamics Journal Seek, Similarity Check, Sci lit, OCLC, World Cat, ROAD. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15406/mojap.2017.04.00132>