Advanced International Journal for Research (AIJFR)
E-ISSN: 3048-7641 ● Website: www.aijfr.com ● Email: editor@aijfr.com

Traditional Chalk-and-Board Teaching versus LCD Projector PowerPoint Teaching in Medical Education: Advantages, Disadvantages, and Educational Perspectives

Dr. Sharadkumar Pralhad Sawant[1], Dr. Priyatama Sharadkumar Sawant[2], Viren Sharadkumar Sawant[3], Dr. Shaheen Rizvi[4], Dr. Amit Manchanda[5]

[1]Department of Anatomy, [2]Department of Ayush,
Prince Medical College and Hospital, Sikar, Rajasthan, India
[3]Final Year M.D., Volgograd University Medical College, Russia.
[4] Department of Anatomy, Geetanjali Medical College, Geetanjali University,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India
[5]Department of Anatomy, Prince Medical College, Rajasthan University of Health Sciences,
Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

Abstract

Teaching methodologies in medical education have evolved remarkably over recent decades with the introduction of advanced audiovisual technologies and digital learning tools. Among the most widely used teaching methods are the traditional chalk-and-board technique and modern LCD projector PowerPoint (PPT) presentations. Both methods possess unique educational advantages and limitations, and their effectiveness continues to be a subject of discussion among medical educators and students worldwide.

Traditional chalk-and-board teaching has been used for generations and is valued for its simplicity, flexibility, interactive nature, and ability to promote active note-taking and gradual understanding of concepts. It allows teachers to explain topics step-by-step, maintain eye contact with students, and modify teaching according to student responses. Conversely, LCD projector PPT teaching offers visually attractive presentations, incorporation of multimedia elements, improved organization of content, and efficient delivery of large volumes of information within limited time. PPT-based teaching is particularly useful for illustrating complex diagrams, clinical images, animations, radiological findings, and surgical procedures in medical education.

Despite technological advancements, concerns have been raised regarding excessive dependence on PowerPoint presentations, including reduced student interaction, passive learning, information overload, and diminished attention span. Similarly, chalk-and-board teaching may be limited by time constraints, difficulty in presenting detailed visuals, and reduced visibility in large classrooms.

The present article discusses the comparative advantages and disadvantages of chalk-and-board teaching and LCD projector PPT teaching in medical education. It highlights the educational effectiveness,

student perceptions, and future relevance of both methods while emphasizing the importance of adopting a balanced and integrated teaching approach for optimal learning outcomes.

Keywords: Chalk and Board Teaching, PowerPoint Presentation, LCD Projector, Medical Education, Teaching Methodology, Interactive Learning, Audiovisual Teaching, Medical Students.

1. Introduction

Medical education is a dynamic and continuously evolving field that requires effective teaching-learning methodologies for developing competent healthcare professionals. The quality of teaching significantly influences students' understanding, retention, clinical reasoning, and professional development. Over the years, educational methods in medical institutions have evolved from purely traditional classroom teaching to technologically advanced digital learning systems.(1)

Among the various teaching methods used in medical education, traditional chalk-and-board teaching and LCD projector PowerPoint (PPT) presentations remain the most commonly employed classroom teaching tools. The chalk-and-board method has been used for centuries and continues to be appreciated for its simplicity, spontaneity, and interactive nature. In this method, the teacher gradually develops concepts on the blackboard or whiteboard while explaining the subject matter verbally, thereby allowing students sufficient time to understand and take notes.(2)

With the rapid advancement of information technology and audiovisual systems, LCD projector PPT teaching has gained immense popularity in medical colleges worldwide. PowerPoint presentations enable teachers to deliver structured content efficiently while incorporating images, animations, videos, radiological findings, charts, and clinical photographs that enrich the learning experience. PPT teaching is particularly advantageous in subjects such as anatomy, pathology, radiology, surgery, and physiology, where visual representation is essential.(3)

Although technological innovations have transformed modern teaching practices, debates continue regarding the superiority of one teaching method over the other. Many educators believe that chalk-and-board teaching promotes active participation and conceptual clarity, whereas others advocate PPT-based teaching for its visual appeal and efficiency. In reality, both methods possess distinct strengths and limitations.(4)

The present article aims to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of chalk-and-board teaching and LCD projector PPT teaching in medical education while exploring their educational effectiveness, student preferences, and future relevance.

Chalk-and-Board Teaching

Traditional chalk-and-board teaching is one of the oldest and most widely accepted teaching methods in education. Despite technological advancements, it remains highly relevant in medical education due to its interactive and student-centered nature.

Advantages of Chalk-and-Board Teaching

1. Promotes Active Learning

Chalk-and-board teaching allows concepts to develop gradually. Students follow the progression of the lecture actively and participate mentally throughout the session.

2. Better Note-Taking

Since the teacher writes information step-by-step, students get adequate time to understand concepts and prepare notes simultaneously.

3. Enhanced Teacher–Student Interaction

This method encourages continuous interaction between teachers and students. Teachers can maintain eye contact, ask questions, and modify explanations according to student responses.

4. Improved Conceptual Understanding

Complex concepts can be explained systematically through diagrams, flowcharts, and stepwise illustrations, which improves comprehension.

5. Flexibility in Teaching

Teachers can spontaneously modify lectures, add additional information, or emphasize important points according to classroom needs.

6. Reduced Information Overload

Unlike PPT presentations, chalk-and-board teaching usually limits excessive information and allows students to process concepts gradually.

7. Minimal Technical Dependence

This method does not depend on electricity, computers, projectors, or internet connectivity, making it reliable and economical.

Disadvantages of Chalk-and-Board Teaching

1. Time-Consuming

Writing extensive material and drawing complex diagrams may consume considerable teaching time.

2. Limited Visual Presentation

Detailed clinical photographs, radiological images, videos, animations, and microscopic structures cannot be effectively demonstrated.

3. Visibility Issues

Students seated at the back of large lecture halls may have difficulty viewing diagrams or written content clearly.

4. Physical Fatigue

Continuous writing on the board may be physically tiring for teachers and may interrupt lecture flow.

5. Difficulty in Covering Large Syllabus

In competency-based medical curricula with extensive content, chalk-and-board teaching alone may not be sufficient for timely syllabus completion.

LCD Projector Powerpoint (PPT) Teaching

PowerPoint teaching using LCD projectors has become increasingly popular in medical education because of its audiovisual capabilities and efficiency.

Advantages of LCD Projector PPT Teaching

1. Attractive Visual Presentation

PPT presentations can include high-quality images, diagrams, animations, videos, charts, and clinical photographs that enhance understanding.

2. Time-Efficient

Large amounts of information can be presented within a shorter period, helping teachers complete extensive syllabi effectively.

3. Better Demonstration of Complex Topics

Subjects such as embryology, neuroanatomy, radiology, pathology, and surgical procedures can be explained more effectively using animations and multimedia.

4. Organized Content Delivery

PPT presentations provide structured and sequential delivery of information, reducing chances of omission.

5. Useful for Large Classrooms

Projection systems ensure visibility for large groups of students in lecture halls.

6. Integration with Modern Technology

PowerPoint teaching allows integration of videos, online resources, digital illustrations, and clinical imaging for enriched learning.

7. Reusability

Prepared presentations can be stored, modified, and reused for future teaching sessions.

Disadvantages of LCD Projector PPT Teaching

1. Passive Learning

Students may become passive listeners during PPT lectures because information is presented rapidly.

2. Reduced Note-Taking

Fast-moving slides often limit students' ability to prepare effective notes during lectures.

3. Decreased Teacher–Student Interaction

Teachers may focus excessively on slides rather than engaging directly with students.

4. Information Overload

Slides overloaded with text, images, or excessive details may reduce comprehension and concentration.

5. Technical Problems

Power failures, projector malfunction, software issues, and internet interruptions can disrupt teaching sessions.

6. Reduced Attention Span

Long PPT lectures with excessive slides may decrease student attention and increase fatigue.

7. Dependence on Prepared Material

Some teachers may rely heavily on pre-prepared slides, reducing spontaneity and flexibility in teaching.

DISCUSSION

The debate between traditional chalk-and-board teaching and LCD projector PPT teaching continues to remain relevant in modern medical education. Both methods possess distinct educational advantages and limitations, and their effectiveness depends largely on teaching objectives, subject matter, classroom size, and student learning preferences.(5)

Chalk-and-board teaching promotes active engagement and gradual learning. Students remain attentive because information is developed systematically during the lecture. This method facilitates conceptual clarity and better note-taking, especially in subjects requiring sequential explanation and diagrammatic representation. Teacher–student interaction is also superior in chalk-and-board teaching, making it highly effective for foundational medical subjects.(6)

On the other hand, PPT teaching offers significant advantages in presenting visually intensive medical content. Modern medicine relies heavily on imaging technologies, microscopic interpretation, surgical demonstrations, and multimedia learning. PowerPoint presentations allow incorporation of such educational resources, thereby improving visualization and clinical understanding.(7)

However, excessive dependence on PPT teaching may convert students into passive recipients of information. Rapid slide transitions, overloaded content, and reduced interaction can negatively affect learning outcomes. Many students report difficulty concentrating during lengthy PPT lectures and often struggle to take meaningful notes.(8)

Several educational studies have shown that students generally prefer a combination of chalk-and-board and PPT teaching rather than exclusive dependence on either method.(9) Integrated teaching approaches combine the strengths of both systems. For example, complex diagrams and clinical images can be demonstrated through PPT, while important concepts and explanations can be developed interactively on the board.(10)

In competency-based medical education, where emphasis is placed on understanding, communication, critical thinking, and clinical application, blended teaching methodologies appear to be the most effective educational strategy. Teachers should therefore select teaching methods according to learning objectives, student needs, and subject requirements rather than relying exclusively on a single approach.

CONCLUSION

Both traditional chalk-and-board teaching and LCD projector PPT teaching possess unique educational importance in medical education. Chalk-and-board teaching promotes active participation, conceptual understanding, note-taking, and teacher–student interaction, whereas PPT teaching enhances visual learning, organization, and efficient presentation of complex medical content.

Neither method can be considered universally superior because each has specific advantages and limitations. The most effective teaching strategy lies in the balanced integration of traditional and modern teaching methodologies. Combining chalk-and-board interaction with multimedia PPT presentations can maximize student engagement, improve understanding, and enhance learning outcomes in medical education.

Medical educators should therefore adopt flexible and student-centered teaching approaches that incorporate the strengths of both systems to create effective, interactive, and clinically relevant learning experiences for future healthcare professionals.

Declaration by Authors

Ethical Approval: Approved

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to Dr. Piyush Sunda, Paediatrician, Chairman of Prince Eduhub and Prince Hospital, and Dr. Ramratan Yadav, Consultant Surgeon and Professor at Government Medical College, Sikar. The authors are also thankful to the faculty and staff of the Department of Anatomy, Prince Medical College, for their continuous support and encouragement throughout the study. Special appreciation is extended to the body donors and their families whose noble contribution to medical education continues to inspire generations of future doctors. Authors also acknowledge the immense help received from the scholars whose articles are cited and included in references of this manuscript. The authors are also grateful to authors / editors / publishers of all those articles, journals and books from where the literature for this article has been reviewed and discussed.

Source of Funding: None
Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Authors' contributions: Sharadkumar Pralhad Sawant (SPS) conceptualized the study, designed the framework of the manuscript, and prepared the original draft with critical intellectual inputs. Priyatama S. Sawant (PSS) substantially assisted in scientific writing, organization of content, and refinement of the manuscript. Viren S. Sawant (VSS) carried out an extensive and systematic review of the relevant literature and contributed to the compilation of scholarly references. S. Rizvi (SR) performed meticulous proofreading, language editing, grammatical corrections, and Amit Manchanda (AM) done plagiarism assessment to ensure the academic integrity and originality of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Harden RM, Laidlaw JM. Essential Skills for a Medical Teacher: An Introduction to Teaching and Learning in Medicine. 2nd ed. London: Elsevier; 2017.
2. Brown G, Manogue M. AMEE Medical Education Guide No. 22: Refreshing lecturing: A guide for lecturers. Med Teach. 2001;23(3):231-44.
3. Seth V, Upadhyaya P, Ahmad M, Moghe V. PowerPoint or chalk and talk: Perceptions of medical students versus dental students in a medical college in India. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2010;1:11-6.
4. Baxi SN, Shah CJ, Parmar RD, Parmar D, Tripathi CB. Students' perception of different teaching aids in a medical college. Afr J Health Prof Educ. 2009;1(1):15-6.
5. Giri PA, Phalke DB. Views regarding use of audio-visual aids during didactic lectures in community medicine among first year medical students of rural medical college, Loni, Maharashtra. Natl J Integr Res Med. 2013;4(3):145-8.
6. Venkatesh S, Srinivasan K. A comparative study of chalkboard teaching and PowerPoint teaching in medical education. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2015;4(5):631-4.
7. Kulkarni NP. Effectiveness of chalkboard teaching versus PowerPoint presentation in medical education. Int J Anat Res. 2016;4(2):2300-5.
8. Mayer RE. Multimedia Learning. 2nd ed. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2009.
9. McLean M, Cilliers F, Van Wyk JM. Faculty development: Yesterday, today and tomorrow. Med Teach. 2008;30(6):555-84.
10. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Power Point Versus Chalk Board: Impact on the Medical Student, International Journal Of Pharmacy Review & Research, 2015;5(3):282-85. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355117259_POWER_POINT_VERSUS_CHALK_BOARD_IMPACT_ON_THE_MEDICAL_STUDENT