

# Beyond Pay Transparency: Developing a Responsible Gender Pay Equity Framework for Sustainable and Inclusive Organizations

**Dr. Mitika Chauhan**

Assistant Professor, School of Business Management, Maa Shakumbhari University

## **Abstract**

One of the most enduring types of workplace inequality in both industrialized and developing nations is the gender wage gap, despite decades of organizational and regulatory initiatives. Although pay transparency laws have become a popular tactic for lowering wage gaps, new research indicates that transparency by itself is not enough to eradicate structural injustices stemming from occupational segregation, unconscious bias, a lack of leadership opportunities, caregiving responsibilities, and organizational culture. As a result, there is an increasing demand for an all-encompassing organizational strategy that tackles the structural and behavioral causes of pay fairness in addition to wage disclosure.

In order to explain how organizations can systematically reduce gender-based wage disparities while promoting sustainable and inclusive workplace practices, this paper develops the Responsible Gender Pay Equity Framework, a conceptual model that integrates organizational justice, stakeholder theory, human capital theory, and social role theory. The paradigm suggests five interconnected organizational dimensions: Organizational Accountability, Care Infrastructure and Flexible Work Policies, Transparent Compensation Systems, Inclusive Talent Management, and Ethical Leadership. Together, these factors promote organizational justice, which acts as a mediation mechanism for gender pay parity, employee trust, greater representation of women in leadership roles, improved retention, and long-term organizational success. By establishing pay equity as a strategic organizational capacity and expanding gender pay gap research beyond regulatory compliance, the study adds to the body of previous work beyond managerial practices that are driven by compliance.

**Keywords:** Gender Pay Gap, Pay Transparency, Organizational Justice, Responsible Leadership, Human Resource Management, Sustainable Organizations, Gender Equality, ESG, Workplace Inclusion.

## **1. Introduction**

In order to advance inclusive and sustainable economic development, organizations, governments, and international institutions have made the pursuit of gender equality a top goal. Even while women's participation in higher education and the workforce has grown dramatically in recent decades, there are still large gaps in employment possibilities, career advancement, leadership representation, and salaries.

Among these issues, one of the most enduring signs of structural inequality in modern businesses is the gender wage gap.

The average salary disparity between men and women who work for pay is known as the "gender pay gap." Evidence from worldwide organizations regularly shows that women continue to earn less than males over the course of their careers, even though the size of the disparity varies across nations, industries, and occupations.

Occupational segregation, unequal chances for career progression, career disruptions due to parenting, unconscious bias, discriminatory organizational practices, and the underrepresentation of women in senior leadership roles all contribute to these discrepancies. As a result, rather than being solely a salary issue, the gender pay gap is becoming more widely acknowledged as a complex organizational difficulty. As a result, governments all around the world have passed laws mandating that companies reveal salary data and increase compensation transparency. Pay transparency has been hailed as a key tool for promoting fair compensation practices, boosting organizational accountability, and lessening information asymmetry. However, recent studies show that deeply ingrained structural disparities cannot be eradicated by openness alone.

As a result, governments all around the world have passed laws mandating that companies reveal salary data and increase compensation transparency. Pay transparency has been hailed as a key tool for promoting fair compensation practices, boosting organizational accountability, and lessening information asymmetry. However, recent studies show that deeply ingrained structural disparities cannot be eradicated by openness alone. Companies may reveal compensation data while maintaining gender differences in hiring, advancement, performance reviews, leadership succession, and opportunities for growth. Because of this restriction, academic focus has switched to more comprehensive organizational structures that influence compensation results. Researchers are increasingly arguing that integrated interventions incorporating ethical leadership, inclusive HR practices, organizational justice, supportive care policies, leadership development, and institutional accountability are necessary to achieve lasting gender pay fairness.

Organizations are working in a quickly changing environment that is marked by stakeholder scrutiny, diversity and inclusion pledges, and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) requirements. Employees, consumers, regulators, and investors now want businesses to show quantifiable progress toward workplace equality. As a result, gender pay equity has changed from being a compliance obligation to a strategic indicator of long-term sustainability, governance quality, and organizational accountability. The body of current literature is still fragmented, despite increasing scholarly attention. Numerous studies look at specific factors that contribute to the gender pay gap, such as pay transparency laws, inequalities in human capital, motherhood penalty, and occupational segregation. Studies that include these aspects into a thorough organizational framework that can direct managerial decision-making are quite rare.

The current study suggests the Responsible Gender Pay Equity Framework as a solution to this disparity. The framework explains how businesses can systematically minimize gender-based wage gaps while fostering inclusive and sustainable workplaces by integrating ideas from Human Capital Theory, Organizational Justice Theory, Stakeholder Theory, and Social Role Theory. Through the mediating role of organizational justice, the framework identifies five important organizational dimensions that

collectively impact gender pay equity: Ethical Leadership, Transparent Compensation Systems, Inclusive Talent Management, Care Infrastructure and Flexible Work Policies, and Organizational Accountability. Three main contributions are made by the study. By understanding pay equity as an integrated organizational skill and going beyond transparency-focused approaches, it first expands research on the gender pay gap. attempting to match working procedures with both more general sustainability goals and Sustainable Development Goal 5 (Gender Equality).

Second, it creates a new conceptual framework that integrates corporate governance, human resource management, and ethical leadership into a single model for attaining fair compensation outcomes. Third, it offers organizations and legislators useful suggestions.

This is how the rest of the paper is organized. The literature on workplace inclusion, leadership, organizational justice, and gender wage disparity is reviewed in the following section. The theoretical underpinnings and the identification of the research gap come next. The Responsible Gender Pay Equity Framework (RGPEF) and its theoretical, managerial, and policy implications are then developed and debated. The paper's conclusion highlights the significance of responsible organizational structures in establishing fair and sustainable workplaces and outlines future study areas.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Evolution of Gender Pay Gap Research

One of the topics in labor economics, human resource management, and organizational behavior that has been studied the most is the gender pay gap. Variations in education, work experience, career choices, and labor market participation were the main causes of salary disparities in early study. According to the Human Capital Theory, disparities in salaries were mostly caused by people's investments in their education, training, and work experience. Subsequent research, however, showed that major income differences remained even after adjusting for these variables, suggesting that organizational and structural factors are important.

The gender wage gap is acknowledged by current research as a complex phenomena influenced by behavioral, organizational, cultural, and institutional factors. Pay inequality is not a single organizational issue; rather, it is a result of a number of factors, including hiring procedures, opportunities for advancement, leadership representation, career disruptions, caregiving obligations, and workplace culture. As a result, the focus of recent research has changed from determining the presence of wage discrepancies to comprehending the organizational structures that can lessen them.

Gender pay equity is still regarded by international organizations such as the World Economic Forum, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the International Labor Organization (ILO) as a crucial sign of sustainable development and inclusive economic growth. The need of fair pay systems in contemporary businesses is further reinforced by the Sustainable Development Goals, especially SDG 5 (Gender Equality) and SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth).

## 2.2 Human Capital Theory and Gender Pay Equity

Wage disparities have long been explained by Becker's Human Capital Theory (1964). According to the notion, people's wages are a reflection of their investments in job experience, professional training, education, and abilities. Due to caregiving obligations, women have historically participated in the labor market at lower rates, which has disrupted their career paths and decreased their accumulation of work experience.

Human capital theory explains a portion of the observed wage gap, but recent studies show that it is unable to adequately explain ongoing gender pay gaps. Research is progressively demonstrating that women are often paid less than males despite having same levels of education, work experience, and productivity. This implies that institutional practices, organizational structures, and unconscious bias affect compensation outcomes in ways that go beyond quantifiable variations in human capital.

Therefore, rather than depending only on equal educational chances, recent research highlights that businesses must address structural impediments in order to achieve wage parity.

## 2.3 Occupational Segregation and Structural Inequality

One of the biggest causes of the gender wage gap is still occupational segregation. The concentration of men and women in distinct professions is known as horizontal segregation, but the underrepresentation of women in executive and senior leadership roles is known as vertical segregation. In fields that often pay less on average than engineering, finance, and technology, such as education, healthcare, administrative services, and caregiving, women are nonetheless disproportionately represented. Despite rising educational attainment, women are still underrepresented in top management and board-level roles.

The "glass ceiling" is a phenomena that prevents women from advancing into higher-paying leadership positions. These inequities are further reinforced by organizational cultures that are marked by informal networks, biased promotion processes, and unequal access to mentorship.

As a result, companies must adopt inclusive hiring practices, open promotion procedures, leadership development initiatives, and fair succession planning in order to reduce occupational segregation.

## 2.4 The Motherhood Penalty and Care Infrastructure

One of the most well-documented causes of gender-based income disparities is the maternity penalty. After delivering birth, women often earn less because of job disruptions, part-time work, caregiving obligations, and slower career advancement. Fathers, on the other hand, frequently enjoy steady or higher income—a phenomenon known as the fatherhood premium.

Women's long-term career prospects are greatly influenced by the availability of childcare facilities, maternity leave regulations, flexible work schedules, and company cultures that encourage families. Businesses that offer flexible scheduling, accessible childcare assistance, hybrid work options, and return-to-work initiatives lower obstacles to ongoing professional development. According to recent research, investing in care infrastructure should be seen as a strategic organizational intervention that improves productivity, diversity, retention, and leadership representation rather than just as an employee perk.

## 2.5 Pay Transparency as an Organizational Strategy

One of the most popular policy tools for reducing salary inequality is pay transparency. Legislation requiring organizations to record gender pay statistics, disclose wage information, and explain compensation disparities has been implemented by governments in Europe, North America, and a number of other locations.

Transparency improves accountability, lessens information asymmetry, and motivates businesses to systematically assess their compensation policies. Workers are more likely to view organizational decisions as just and genuine if they are aware of how salaries are decided.

However, there is mounting evidence that gender-based wage discrepancies cannot be eliminated by transparency alone. Disclosure of salaries may highlight disparities without necessarily resolving their root causes. Unless backed by equal recruitment, promotion, leadership development, and performance evaluation processes, transparency programs frequently create limited long-term impact.

## 2.6 Leadership, Organizational Justice, and Inclusive Workplaces

One of the most important factors in determining the effectiveness of gender equity efforts is leadership commitment. Organizational principles that prioritize justice, accountability, inclusion, and equal opportunity are established by ethical leaders. Recruitment choices, promotion procedures, pay guidelines, and company culture are all impacted by such leadership.

Employees assess workplace fairness through distributive, procedural, interpersonal, and informational justice, according to Organizational Justice Theory. Employee trust, organizational loyalty, job happiness, and retention are all enhanced by compensation schemes that are seen as fair and transparent. Additionally, inclusive leadership encourages women to have equal access to professional opportunities, leadership development, sponsorship, and mentoring. These procedures contribute to more equitable remuneration results while increasing representation in positions of decision-making.

As a result, one of the main ways that leadership behaviors affect female pay fairness is through organizational justice.

## 2.7 Gender Pay Equity in the Indian Context

Although women's educational attainment and workforce engagement have significantly improved in India, there are still large gender-based wage gaps in several industries and occupational groupings. Persistent economic disparities are caused by sociocultural norms, unequal distribution of unpaid care labor, occupational segregation, and low representation of women in top management. Positive momentum has been created by government efforts supporting women's employment, entrepreneurship, maternity benefits, and workplace equality. However, organizational implementation is still inconsistent, especially in small and medium-sized businesses.

Innovation, employee engagement, and organizational success are all enhanced by diversity and inclusion, as Indian firms are realizing more and more. Nevertheless, there are still few systematic frameworks that incorporate organizational accountability, transparent HR procedures, childcare assistance, leadership,

and compensation governance. Therefore, a thorough organizational framework that can convert gender equality ideals into sustainable practice is required, according to the literature now in publication.

### 3. Research Gap

Considerable research has been done on the gender wage gap from organizational, sociological, and economic perspectives, according to the survey of the literature. Numerous factors, including occupational segregation, the maternity penalty, educational achievement, job experience, unconscious bias, and disparities in professional advancement, have been studied in the past. In a similar vein, subsequent studies have looked at how leadership, diversity and inclusion programs, and pay transparency contribute to workplace equality.

There are still a number of gaps in the body of current literature despite these important contributions. First, while many studies concentrate on specific factors that affect the gender pay gap, very few offer an all-encompassing organizational approach that incorporates workplace policies, organizational culture, leadership, and compensation practices.

Second, while pay transparency has drawn a lot of attention, little study has looked at how well it works when combined with other organizational reforms including fair promotion processes, childcare assistance, mentoring, and leadership development. Third, there is still a dearth of research on rising economies, especially India, while the majority of the information now available is concentrated in industrialized nations. Lastly, review studies that summarize current research and offer useful suggestions for businesses looking to attain long-term gender pay parity are required.

In order to close these gaps, this review synthesizes recent research on the gender pay gap, identifies key organizational factors that affect pay inequality, and highlights useful tactics that businesses can implement to support equitable and inclusive compensation practices.

### 4. Global Scenario of the Gender Pay Gap

Despite tremendous advancements in education, job opportunities, and legislative changes that support workplace equality, the gender wage gap remains a serious global issue. The International Labour Organization (ILO), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the United Nations (UN), and the World Economic Forum (WEF) are just a few of the international organizations that regularly report that women are paid less than men in nearly every nation and economic sector. Wage disparity continues to be a persistent issue that affects women's economic empowerment and career advancement, even if the size of the gap varies based on country policies, labor market systems, and cultural contexts.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) reports that women make, on average, 20% less than men worldwide.

The organization links this discrepancy to a number of interrelated causes, such as the maternity penalty, discrimination, inequalities in working hours, unequal access women leadership roles, and occupational

segregation. The earnings disparity is further widened by the disproportionate representation of women in low-paying, informal, and part-time jobs.

In a similar vein, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) notes that, based on full-time workers' median earnings, the average gender wage disparity among OECD member nations is still approximately 11%. Over the past ten years, the gap has gradually closed, but development has been uneven and sluggish among nations. Despite having educational credentials that are on par with or greater than those of men, women still encounter obstacles to career advancement, leadership possibilities, and equitable compensation.

Economic involvement and opportunity continue to be among the poorest aspects of gender equality globally, according to the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Report 2024. Women's economic success is still hampered by differences in labor force participation, projected earned income, and representation in senior management, despite improvements in political representation and educational attainment in a number of nations. The research also points out that there are still large disparities in women's participation in leadership roles and estimated earned income in a number of rising nations, including India.

## **Gender Pay Gap Across Different Regions**

There are significant regional variations in the size of the gender pay gap. Because of their extensive welfare systems, generous parental leave policies, reasonably priced daycare, and robust gender equality laws, Nordic nations like Iceland, Norway, Finland, and Sweden have continuously shown comparatively smaller gender pay inequalities. Additionally, these nations have adopted organizational policies that promote women's equal involvement in leadership and decision-making positions. On the other hand, due of occupational segregation, informal work, reduced female labor force participation, restricted access to childcare, and enduring sociocultural hurdles, many developing and emerging economies continue to face greater income gaps. The lack of social security, equal pay protection, and professional growth chances for women employed in the unorganized sector sometimes exacerbates wage inequality.

## **Pay Transparency Initiatives Worldwide**

A number of nations have implemented laws pertaining to pay transparency in an effort to lessen salary disparity. While nations including Canada, Denmark, Switzerland, and the United States have implemented various transparency measures to enhance accountability, the United Kingdom mandates that big corporations publish yearly gender pay gap reports. More recently, employer requirements have been reinforced by the European Union Pay Transparency Directive (2023), which mandates equal pay reporting, increased salary transparency, and channels for employees to contest unfair pay practices. Transparency can help close the gender wage gap, according to data from these projects, especially when paired with strong enforcement and more extensive organizational changes.

## **Emerging Global Trends**

Beyond equal pay laws, the global conversation on female pay fairness also encompasses more general organizational and cultural concerns. Gender equality is becoming more and more integrated into sustainable business practices, diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) plans, and Environmental, Social,

and Governance (ESG) reporting. Organizations may now perform salary audits, spot possible pay inequalities, and support evidence-based compensation decisions thanks to developments in artificial intelligence and human resource analytics. At the same time, it is becoming increasingly apparent that, rather than depending only on pay transparency, attaining lasting female pay equity necessitates ethical leadership, inclusive corporate cultures, flexible work schedules, childcare support, and transparent promotion systems.

Overall, research from around the world shows that the gender pay gap is still a complicated organizational and societal issue, even though legislative changes and transparency measures have helped to gradually improve the situation. Governments, companies, legislators, and educational institutions must work together to eliminate the structural and cultural hurdles that still restrict women's economic possibilities in order to achieve sustainable change.

Yes. A review paper's Global Scenario section is crucial since it demonstrates the topic's global relevance before focusing on India. You can add the journal-style section that follows the literature review.

#### **4. Global Scenario of the Gender Pay Gap**

Despite tremendous advancements in education, job opportunities, and legislative changes that support workplace equality, the gender wage gap remains a serious global issue. The International Labour Organization (ILO), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the United Nations (UN), and the World Economic Forum (WEF) are just a few of the international organizations that regularly report that women are paid less than men in nearly every nation and economic sector. Wage disparity continues to be a persistent issue that affects women's economic empowerment and career advancement, even if the size of the gap varies based on country policies, labor market systems, and cultural contexts.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) reports that women make, on average, 20% less than men worldwide. The organization links this discrepancy to a number of interrelated causes, such as the maternity penalty, discrimination, inequalities in working hours, unequal access women leadership roles, and occupational segregation. The earnings disparity is further widened by the disproportionate representation of women in low-paying, informal, and part-time jobs.

In a similar vein, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) notes that, based on full-time workers' median earnings, the average gender wage disparity among OECD member nations is still approximately 11%. Over the past ten years, the gap has gradually closed, but development has been uneven and sluggish among nations.

Despite having educational credentials that are on par with or greater than those of men, women still encounter obstacles to career advancement, leadership possibilities, and equitable compensation. Economic involvement and opportunity continue to be among the poorest aspects of gender equality globally, according to the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Report 2024. Women's economic success is still hampered by differences in labor force participation, projected earned income, and representation in senior management, despite improvements in political representation and educational

attainment in a number of nations. The research also points out that there are still large disparities in women's participation in leadership roles and estimated earned income in a number of rising nations, including India.

### **Gender Pay Gap Across Different Regions**

There are significant regional variations in the size of the gender pay gap. Because of their extensive welfare systems, generous parental leave policies, reasonably priced daycare, and robust gender equality laws, Nordic nations like Iceland, Norway, Finland, and Sweden have continuously shown comparatively smaller gender pay inequalities. Additionally, these nations have adopted organizational policies that promote women's equal involvement in leadership and decision-making positions.

On the other hand, due of occupational segregation, informal work, reduced female labor force participation, restricted access to childcare, and enduring sociocultural hurdles, many developing and emerging economies continue to face greater income gaps. The lack of social security, equal pay protection, and professional growth chances for women employed in the unorganized sector sometimes exacerbates wage inequality.

### **Pay Transparency Initiatives Worldwide**

Several countries have introduced pay transparency legislation as a strategy to reduce wage inequality. The United Kingdom requires large organizations to publish annual gender pay gap reports, while countries such as Canada, Denmark, Switzerland, and the United States have adopted various transparency measures to improve accountability. More recently, the European Union Pay Transparency Directive (2023) has strengthened employer obligations by requiring greater salary transparency, equal pay reporting, and mechanisms that allow employees to challenge discriminatory pay practices. Evidence from these initiatives suggests that transparency can contribute to narrowing the gender pay gap, particularly when combined with effective enforcement and broader organizational reforms.

### **Emerging Global Trends**

The global discourse on gender pay equity has expanded beyond equal pay legislation to include broader organizational and societal issues. Organizations are increasingly integrating gender equality into Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting, diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) strategies, and sustainable business practices. Advances in Human Resource Analytics and Artificial Intelligence are also enabling organizations to conduct salary audits, identify potential pay disparities, and support evidence-based compensation decisions. At the same time, there is growing recognition that achieving sustainable gender pay equity requires ethical leadership, inclusive organizational cultures, flexible work arrangements, childcare support, and transparent promotion systems rather than relying solely on pay transparency.

**Table 1. Global Overview of Gender Pay Gap**

Region/Country	Current Situation	Key Initiatives	Major Challenges
Nordic Countries	Lowest gender pay gaps globally	Equal pay legislation, childcare support, parental leave	Leadership representation
European Union	Moderate gap with declining trend	EU Pay Transparency Directive, salary reporting	Uneven implementation
United States	Persistent pay gap across sectors	Equal Pay Act, state pay transparency laws	Occupational segregation
OECD Countries	Average gender wage gap around 11%	Pay reporting, diversity policies	Career progression barriers
India	Wage gap persists across formal and informal sectors	Equal Remuneration provisions, labour reforms, diversity initiatives	Informal employment, leadership gap, social norms

### 5. Gender Pay Gap in India

India has made considerable progress in promoting gender equality through improvements in women's education, legal protections, and workforce participation. Government initiatives such as Beti Bachao Beti Padhao, Skill India, Startup India, and the Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Act, 2017 have encouraged greater participation of women in education and employment. Despite these efforts, the gender pay gap continues to be a significant challenge across both the formal and informal sectors. The gender pay gap in India refers to the difference in average earnings between men and women performing paid work. Although the gap has gradually narrowed over the past three decades, women continue to earn substantially less than men across many occupations and industries.

According to the International Labour Organization (ILO), the gender wage gap in India declined from approximately 48% in 1993–94 to about 28% in 2018–19, indicating progress but also highlighting that considerable inequality still exists. The labour market in India is characterized by low female labour force participation, a large informal economy, and occupational segregation. Data from the Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) indicate that although women's labour force participation has improved in recent years, it remains significantly lower than that of men. Women are more likely to work in agriculture, education, healthcare, domestic work, and other low-paying sectors, whereas men are more heavily represented in engineering, manufacturing, finance, and technology occupations, which generally offer higher wages and greater career advancement opportunities.

Another important contributor to the gender pay gap in India is the high proportion of women employed in the informal sector. Informal employment often lacks standardized wage structures, employment contracts, social security benefits, and effective enforcement of labour laws. As a result, women working

in informal occupations frequently receive lower wages than men while having limited access to career development opportunities and workplace protections. Career interruptions associated with marriage, childbirth, and caregiving responsibilities also contribute significantly to wage inequality. Many women temporarily leave the workforce or shift to part-time or flexible employment after becoming mothers. These interruptions reduce opportunities for promotions, skill development, and salary progression, resulting in a long-term earnings disadvantage commonly referred to as the motherhood penalty.

Leadership representation remains another important concern. Although women have made remarkable achievements in higher education and professional careers, they continue to be underrepresented in senior management, executive leadership, and board-level positions. Limited access to decision-making roles affects career progression and contributes to persistent salary disparities. Studies have shown that organizations with greater gender diversity in leadership often demonstrate stronger organizational performance, better innovation, and more equitable workplace policies. The Government of India has introduced several legislative measures to promote equal pay and workplace equality. Earlier, the Equal Remuneration Act, 1976 mandated equal remuneration for men and women performing the same work.

Overall, research from around the world shows that the gender pay gap is still a complicated organizational and societal issue, even though legislative changes and transparency measures have helped to gradually improve the situation. Governments, companies, legislators, and educational institutions must work together to eliminate the structural and cultural hurdles that still restrict women's economic possibilities in order to achieve sustainable change.

Corporate sustainability and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting have also been highlighted in recent conversations around female pay equity in India. Organizations are under growing pressure from investors, regulators, and other stakeholders to reveal diversity indicators, promote workplace inclusion, and show advancements in gender equality. These changes show that equal pay is now seen as a crucial part of responsible corporate governance and long-term company success rather than just a legal requirement.

All things considered, research indicates that closing the gender pay gap in India necessitates an all-encompassing strategy that incorporates strong legal enforcement, open compensation policies, inclusive HR procedures, leadership training, childcare assistance, flexible work schedules, and organizational dedication to diversity and inclusion. In addition to enhancing gender equality, addressing these interrelated issues will boost output, increase employee engagement, and promote long-term economic growth.

## **6. Gender Pay Gap in India**

With advancements in women's education, legal safeguards, and employment involvement, India has made significant strides toward advancing gender equality. The Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Act, 2017, *Beti Bachao Beti Padhao*, *Skill India*, *Startup India*, and other government programs have promoted women's increased involvement in the workforce and in education. The gender pay gap is still a major problem in both the formal and informal sectors, notwithstanding these efforts.

In India, the average salary disparity between men and women who work for pay is known as the "gender pay gap." Women continue to earn much less than men in numerous professions and businesses, despite the fact that the gap has gradually closed over the previous three decades.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) reports that the gender wage gap in India decreased from over 48% in 1993–1994 to roughly 28% in 2018–19, showing progress but also emphasizing the persistence of significant inequality.

Low female labor force participation, a sizable informal economy, and occupational segregation are characteristics of the Indian labor market. Despite recent improvements, women's labor force participation is still far lower than men's, according to data from the Periodic Labor Force Survey (PLFS). While men are more prevalent in jobs in engineering, manufacturing, finance, and technology, which typically pay more and provide more opportunities for career advancement, women are more likely to work in low-paying industries like agriculture, education, healthcare, and domestic work.

The high percentage of women working in the unorganized sector is another significant factor in India's gender pay disparity. Standardized pay scales, work contracts, social security benefits, and efficient labor law enforcement are frequently absent from informal employment. Because of this, women who work in informal jobs typically earn less than men and have less prospects for professional advancement and workplace rights.

Wage inequality is also greatly impacted by career disruptions brought on by marriage, childbearing, and caregiving obligations. After becoming moms, many women temporarily quit their jobs or switch to flexible or part-time work. A long-term economic disadvantage known as the "motherhood penalty" results from these disruptions, which limit prospects for promotions, skill development, and wage advancement.

Another significant issue is the representation of leaders. Despite their impressive accomplishments in higher education and the workplace, women are still underrepresented in executive leadership, senior management, and board-level roles. Career advancement is impacted by limited access to decision-making positions, which also leads to ongoing wage discrepancies. Research has indicated that companies with higher levels of gender diversity in leadership frequently exhibit superior organizational performance, more innovative work practices, and more equitable workplace regulations.

In order to advance workplace equality and fair pay, the Indian government has proposed a number of legal initiatives. Previously, equal compensation for men and women doing the same work was required by the Equal Remuneration Act of 1976.

The Code on Wages, 2019, which forbids gender-based discrimination in wages for comparable labor and aims to guarantee equitable compensation practices across industries, subsequently included the regulations pertaining to equal remuneration. Despite these legal protections, the prevalence of informal employment, lax organizational oversight, and inadequate enforcement mechanisms continue to pose implementation issues.

In order to increase women's involvement and career advancement, Indian organizations have embraced diversity, equality, and inclusion (DEI) efforts more and more. Big businesses have implemented gender

diversity goals, mentorship programs, parental leave policies, flexible work schedules, and leadership development efforts. Small and medium-sized businesses frequently face resource and policy constraints, and the adoption of such methods varies greatly among industries.

Corporate sustainability and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting have also been highlighted in recent conversations around female pay equity in India. Organizations are under growing pressure from investors, regulators, and other stakeholders to reveal diversity indicators, promote workplace inclusion, and show advancements in gender equality. These changes show that equal pay is now seen as a crucial part of responsible corporate governance and long-term company success rather than just a legal requirement.

All things considered, research indicates that closing the gender pay gap in India necessitates an all-encompassing strategy that incorporates strong legal enforcement, open compensation policies, inclusive HR procedures, leadership training, childcare assistance, flexible work schedules, and organizational dedication to diversity and inclusion.

In addition to enhancing gender equality, addressing these interrelated issues will boost output, increase employee engagement, and promote long-term economic growth.

## 7. Discussion

The literature reviewed in this study demonstrates that the gender pay gap is a complex organizational issue that cannot be explained by a single factor. Rather, occupational segregation, career disruptions, unconscious bias, restricted access to leadership roles, unequal caregiving duties, and organizational practices all contribute to wage inequality.

Pay transparency is a crucial step in eliminating wage inequities, but it is insufficient on its own, according to one of the most consistent findings in the literature. Salary information may be disclosed by organizations, but if hiring, promotion, performance reviews, and leadership development procedures continue to be prejudiced, large disparities may still exist. Therefore, rather than being a stand-alone solution, pay transparency should be seen as one part of a larger organizational strategy.

The assessment also emphasizes the significance of inclusive and moral leadership. Better female representation and more fair compensation structures are typically found in companies where top management actively promotes diversity and equal opportunity. Long-term salary discrepancies are greatly reduced by mentoring, sponsorship, leadership development initiatives, and equitable promotion practices.

The importance of family-friendly workplace policies is another significant result. Women's career disruptions are lessened and ongoing professional growth is supported by flexible work schedules, childcare options, parental leave regulations, and return-to-work initiatives. These methods increase company commitment and retention while also enhancing employee well-being.

Government programs supporting women's education, employment, and workplace equality in India have resulted in significant advancements. Wage disparity is still influenced by issues including informal

employment, occupational segregation, and the underrepresentation of women in top leadership. As a result, companies must go beyond merely adhering to legal obligations and create all-encompassing human resource plans that support equity, openness, and equitable career prospects.

## 8. Practical Implications

The review's conclusions have a number of useful ramifications for businesses, legislators, and HR specialists.

To find salary inequalities and guarantee fair compensation practices, organizations should frequently perform gender pay audits. Bias in compensation decisions can be reduced with the use of objective performance evaluation methods and transparent salary structures.

To increase the number of women in management and senior positions, human resource managers should conduct inclusive recruitment, promotion, and leadership development initiatives. Initiatives for sponsorship and mentoring can help women advance in their careers and lower obstacles to leadership. By offering flexible work schedules, maternity leave, childcare assistance, and return-to-work programs, employers can further promote family-friendly workplace practices. These programs can lessen the detrimental effects of employment disruptions on women's long-term incomes.

In addition to encouraging businesses to disclose gender pay information and implement inclusive employment practices, policymakers should keep tightening rules pertaining to gender equality, workplace diversity, and equal pay. Lastly, professional associations and educational institutions should raise awareness of workplace equality and offer training courses that support inclusive leadership and objective decision-making.

## 9. Future Research Directions

Even though a lot of research has been done on the gender pay gap, there are still a few areas that need more study.

The long-term efficacy of pay transparency laws in various sectors and nations may be the subject of future research. Research comparing developed and emerging economies might be beneficial in understanding how institutional, cultural, and economic factors affect female pay equity.

Researchers may also look into how new technologies, such as data analytics and artificial intelligence, might detect and lessen wage discrimination through impartial hiring procedures and automated salary audits.

The relationship between company culture, leadership styles, employee well-being, and gender pay equity requires more investigation. The long-term effects of organizational initiatives on wage equality might be better understood through longitudinal research.

Lastly, future research may examine the experiences of women employed in cutting-edge fields including technology, artificial intelligence, entrepreneurship, and digital platforms. These fields may present both opportunities and difficulties for attaining gender wage fairness.

## 10. Conclusion

Despite increased awareness and the adoption of equal pay laws in many nations, the gender pay gap remains a major problem for companies and legislators. Numerous organizational, social, and economic issues, such as occupational segregation, unconscious bias, limited leadership possibilities, career interruptions, and unequal caregiving obligations, have an impact on pay disparity, according to the literature evaluated in this study.

The research also shows that compensation gaps cannot be eliminated by pay transparency alone, even while it promotes better accountability and justice. A complete organizational strategy that incorporates transparent compensation systems, inclusive hiring and promotion procedures, leadership dedication, staff development, and family-friendly workplace regulations is necessary for sustainable gender pay fairness. Increasing organizational commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion will be crucial for lowering income disparity and fostering sustainable economic growth in India and other emerging nations. Businesses that place a high priority on justice and equal opportunity are likely to see increases in employee trust, retention, organizational performance, and company reputation.

Overall, this assessment emphasizes that attaining gender pay parity is both a strategic corporate obligation and an issue of legal compliance. To ensure fair chances for future generations and create more inclusive workplaces, companies, legislators, researchers, and educational institutions must continue to collaborate.

## References

1. Acker, J. (1990). Hierarchies, jobs, bodies: A theory of gendered organizations. *Gender & Society*, 4(2), 139–158.
2. Adams, J. S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 267–299). Academic Press.
3. Aguinis, H., & Burgi-Tian, J. (2021). Measuring performance during crises and beyond: The Performance Promoter Score. *Business Horizons*, 64(1), 149–160.
4. Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 16(2), 40–68.
5. Altonji, J. G., & Blank, R. M. (1999). Race and gender in the labor market. In O. Ashenfelter & D. Card (Eds.), *Handbook of Labor Economics* (Vol. 3, pp. 3143–3259). Elsevier.
6. Arrow, K. J. (1973). The theory of discrimination. In O. Ashenfelter & A. Rees (Eds.), *Discrimination in labor markets* (pp. 3–33). Princeton University Press.
7. Azmat, G., & Petrongolo, B. (2014). Gender and the labor market: What have we learned from field and lab experiments? *Labour Economics*, 30, 32–40.
8. Babcock, L., & Laschever, S. (2003). *Women don't ask: Negotiation and the gender divide*. Princeton University Press.
9. Barth, E., Kerr, S. P., & Olivetti, C. (2021). The dynamics of gender earnings differentials. *Annual Review of Economics*, 13, 605–628.
10. Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.
11. Becker, G. S. (1971). *The economics of discrimination* (2nd ed.). University of Chicago Press.

12. Begeny, C. T., Ryan, M. K., Moss-Racusin, C. A., & Ravetz, G. (2020). In some professions, women have become well represented, yet gender bias persists. *Science Advances*, 6(26), eaba7814.
13. Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). The gender wage gap: Extent, trends, and explanations. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 55(3), 789–865.
14. Blau, F. D., Brummund, P., & Liu, A. Y. H. (2013). Trends in occupational segregation by gender. *ILR Review*, 66(3), 673–701.
15. Bobbitt-Zeher, D. (2011). Gender discrimination at work. *Gender & Society*, 25(6), 764–786.
16. Bowles, H. R., Babcock, L., & Lai, L. (2007). Social incentives for gender differences in the propensity to initiate negotiations. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 103(1), 84–103.
17. Byron, K. (2005). A meta-analytic review of work–family conflict and its antecedents. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 67(2), 169–198.
18. Catalyst. (2023). *Women in management and leadership*. Catalyst.
19. Claudia Goldin. (2021). *Career and family: Women's century-long journey toward equity*. Princeton University Press.
20. Cohen, P. N., & Huffman, M. L. (2007). Working for the woman? Female managers and the gender wage gap. *American Sociological Review*, 72(5), 681–704.
21. Cook, A., & Glass, C. (2014). Above the glass ceiling: When are women and racial minorities promoted to CEO? *Strategic Management Journal*, 35(7), 1080–1089.
22. Correll, S. J., Benard, S., & Paik, I. (2007). Getting a job: Is there a motherhood penalty? *American Journal of Sociology*, 112(5), 1297–1339.
23. Cotter, D., Hermsen, J., Ovadia, S., & Vanneman, R. (2001). The glass ceiling effect. *Social Forces*, 80(2), 655–681.
24. Daily, C. M., Certo, S. T., & Dalton, D. R. (1999). A decade of corporate women: Some progress in the boardroom, none in the executive suite. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(1), 93–100.
25. Dobbin, F., & Kalev, A. (2016). Why diversity programs fail. *Harvard Business Review*, 94(7–8), 52–60.
26. Eagly, A. H. (1987). *Sex differences in social behavior: A social-role interpretation*. Erlbaum.
27. Eagly, A. H., & Carli, L. L. (2007). *Through the labyrinth: The truth about how women become leaders*. Harvard Business School Press.
28. England, P. (2010). The gender revolution. *Gender & Society*, 24(2), 149–166.
29. England, P., Levine, A., & Mishel, E. (2020). Progress toward gender equality in the United States has slowed or stalled. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(13), 6990–6997.
30. European Commission. (2023). *Directive (EU) 2023/970 on strengthening the application of the principle of equal pay for equal work or work of equal value between men and women through pay transparency and enforcement mechanisms*.
31. European Institute for Gender Equality. (2023). *Gender Equality Index 2023: Towards a green transition in transport and energy*. Publications Office of the European Union.
32. Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
33. Goldin, C. (2014). A grand gender convergence: Its last chapter. *American Economic Review*, 104(4), 1091–1119.
34. Goldin, C. (2023). *Why women won*. Princeton University Press.

35. Heilman, M. E. (2012). Gender stereotypes and workplace bias. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 32, 113–135.
36. International Labour Organization. (2018). *Global wage report 2018/19: What lies behind gender pay gaps*.
37. International Labour Organization. (2019). *A quantum leap for gender equality: For a better future of work*.
38. International Labour Organization. (2022). *Care at work: Investing in care leave and services for a more gender-equal world*.
39. International Labour Organization. (2024). *Equal Pay International Coalition (EPIC): Annual report*.
40. International Monetary Fund. (2022). *Gender equality and economic growth: Stalled progress?* International Monetary Fund.
41. Jain, H., & Mukherji, S. (2010). Gender pay gap in India. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 45(3), 321–335.
42. Joshi, A., Son, J., & Roh, H. (2015). When can women close the gap? *Academy of Management Journal*, 58(5), 1516–1545.
43. Kabeer, N. (2016). Gender equality, economic growth, and women's agency. *Feminist Economics*, 22(1), 295–321.
44. Kelan, E. K. (2009). *Performing gender at work*. Palgrave Macmillan.
45. Koch, A. J., D'Mello, S. D., & Sackett, P. R. (2015). Gender stereotypes in employment decisions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(1), 128–161.
46. Kossek, E. E., & Lee, M. D. (2017). Work–family conflict and work–life balance. In S. Rogelberg (Ed.), *The SAGE encyclopedia of industrial and organizational psychology* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
47. Lips, H. M. (2013). The gender pay gap: Challenging the rationalizations. *Sex Roles*, 68(3–4), 169–185.
48. Mandel, H., & Semyonov, M. (2014). Gender pay gap and employment structures. *American Sociological Review*, 79(6), 1155–1175.
49. McKinsey & Company. (2023). *Women in the workplace 2023*.
50. Mincer, J., & Polachek, S. (1974). Family investments in human capital. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(2), S76–S108.
51. Moss-Racusin, C. A., Dovidio, J. F., Brescoll, V. L., Graham, M. J., & Handelsman, J. (2012). Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(41), 16474–16479.
52. NITI Aayog. (2022). *Women entrepreneurship platform annual report*.
53. OECD. (2021). *The pursuit of gender equality: An uphill battle*.
54. OECD. (2023). *Gender equality in a changing world*.
55. OECD. (2024). *Employment outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing.
56. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *Gender equality dashboard*.
57. Petersen, T., & Morgan, L. A. (1995). Separate and unequal: Occupation-establishment sex segregation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 101(2), 329–365.
58. Petersen, T., Snartland, V., Becken, L. E., & Olsen, K. M. (1997). Within-job wage discrimination. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103(4), 913–947.

59. Reskin, B. F., & Padavic, I. (2002). *Women and men at work* (2nd ed.). Pine Forge Press.
60. Riach, P. A., & Rich, J. (2002). Field experiments of discrimination. *The Economic Journal*, *112*(483), F480–F518.
61. Ridgeway, C. L. (2011). *Framed by gender*. Oxford University Press.
62. Ridgeway, C. L., & Correll, S. J. (2004). Unpacking the gender system. *Gender & Society*, *18*(4), 510–531.
63. Rudman, L. A., & Glick, P. (2001). Prescriptive gender stereotypes. *Journal of Social Issues*, *57*(4), 743–762.
64. Sandberg, S. (2013). *Lean in*. Alfred A. Knopf.
65. Schein, V. E. (2007). Women in management. *Women in Management Review*, *22*(1), 6–18.
66. Smith, N., Smith, V., & Verner, M. (2013). Why are women paid less than men? *European Sociological Review*, *29*(4), 873–886.
67. Stainback, K., Kleiner, S., & Skaggs, S. (2016). Women in power. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *42*, 355–376.
68. Terjesen, S., Sealy, R., & Singh, V. (2009). Women directors on corporate boards. *Corporate Governance*, *17*(3), 320–337.
69. The World Bank. (2024). *Women, business and the law 2024*.
70. UN Women. (2016). *The persistence of the gender pay gap*.
71. UN Women. (2023). *Progress on the Sustainable Development Goals: The gender snapshot*.
72. UN Women. (2024). *Women at work: Global trends*.
73. UNDP. (2023). *Human Development Report 2023/2024: Breaking the gridlock*. United Nations Development Programme.
74. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.
75. Williams, J. C. (2000). *Unbending gender*. Oxford University Press.
76. Williams, J. C., Blair-Loy, M., & Berdahl, J. L. (2013). Cultural schemas and workplace inequality. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, *7*(1), 325–365.
77. World Bank. (2023). *Gender strategy 2024–2030*.
78. World Economic Forum. (2023). *Global Gender Gap Report 2023*.
79. World Economic Forum. (2024). *Global Gender Gap Report 2024*.
80. World Health Organization. (2024). *Women, health and gender equality: Global status report*. World Health Organization.