

Experiential Learning and Student Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analytic Synthesis of Empirical Studies

Dr. Mohasina Anjum A Ansari

Assistant Professor
Department of Education and Training
MANUU, Hyderabad

Abstract

Experiential learning is a learner-centred pedagogical approach that has become important and tries to link theoretical knowledge with direct experience, reflection, conceptualisation and application. Although there are a considerable number of empirical studies on the effectiveness of experiential learning in different educational contexts, the literature is fragmented in terms of academic achievement, conceptual understanding, engagement, practical competencies, life skills, and interpersonal development. This study systematically synthesises empirical research on the effectiveness of experiential learning strategies on student outcomes. The literature review for this study identified thirty studies conducted between the years 2000 and 2025. The studies covered secondary education, higher education, professional education, vocational education, science education, engineering, environmental education, mathematics, counselling, leadership, and service learning. The review assessed study design, participants, experiential strategies, outcome variables and major findings. Across the reviewed studies a consistent positive direction of findings was observed. Experiential learning was linked to increased academic achievement, conceptual understanding, higher order thinking, engagement, problem solving, self efficacy, practical skills, communication, collaboration, leadership and other interpersonal skills. Experimental and quasi-experimental studies tended to show better outcomes for students who experienced experiential approaches in comparison with traditional instruction. However, there was substantial methodological heterogeneity in terms of sample characteristics, duration of intervention, outcome measures and implementation settings. The evidence suggests that experiential learning is an effective pedagogical approach, but the source material available does not provide sufficient numerical statistics across all included studies to compute a statistically pooled effect size. The present paper should be interpreted as a systematic and quantitative evidence synthesis, not as a conventional effect-size meta-analysis. The review highlights critical gaps, especially the limited number of studies with secondary school students, science classrooms, Indian educational contexts, and interpersonal skills as explicit outcomes. Future research should use rigorous experimental designs, standardised outcome measures, longer intervention periods, and full reporting of statistical information to allow for future meta-analytic estimation.

Keywords: experiential learning, Kolb's experiential learning cycle, academic achievement, interpersonal skills, student engagement, secondary education, systematic review, meta-analysis

1. Introduction

The focus of education has been shifting from teacher-centric dissemination of information to learner-centric approaches that promote active engagement, meaningful exchange, problem solving, reflection and application of knowledge. This change has been of great importance, since modern educational objectives transcend the mere acquisition of factual knowledge. Learners are expected to develop critical thinking, communication, collaboration, creativity, problem-solving ability, self-confidence, adaptability and other competencies to enable them to respond effectively to real life situations. This transformation has been linked to experiential learning as one of the main approaches.

Experiential learning is based on the premise that learning becomes meaningful when learners actively engage with experiences and then reflect, conceptualise and apply what they have learned. Experiential methods give students the opportunity to be active participants in activities, investigate problems, collaborate, complete projects, conduct experiments, engage in simulations, have field experiences, role play, and connect classroom ideas and concepts to real life situations, rather than being passive recipients of information. Kolb's experiential learning framework conceptualises learning as a cyclical process of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualisation and active experimentation. The model theoretically explains how the experience can be turned into knowledge and then applied to new situations.

The growing interest in experiential learning has led to empirical studies across a wide range of educational settings. The impact on academic achievement, conceptual understanding, engagement, higher-order thinking, practical competencies, professional skills, self-directed learning, life skills, leadership, communication and interpersonal development has been investigated. The studies reviewed in this paper indicate that experiential learning is not restricted to a specific discipline or educational level. It has been used in Biology, Chemistry, Mathematics, environmental education, geoscience, engineering, nursing, hospitality, counselling, entrepreneurship, leadership education, sociology, and service learning.

For instance, Kareem and Ewuola (2025) studied peer tutoring and experiential instructional strategies among Biology students in secondary schools. Both methods were better for academic achievement than traditional teaching, they found, but experiential learning was especially good at helping students understand concepts, feel confident and develop scientific skills. Similarly, Jain and Datt (2025) reported improvement in engagement, higher-order thinking and academic performance through the implementation of experiential learning via makers' laboratory activities in interior design education.

Literature suggest that experiential learning can extend beyond academic success. For example, Voulkelatou (2019) reports that secondary school students who engaged in project-based learning showed improved social interaction, attitude, knowledge acquisition, and emotional engagement. Fede et al. (2018) found that workplace experiential learning was related to communication, responsibility, social interaction and civic behaviour. Similarly, Astin et al. (2000) found that service learning contributed to cognitive and affective development such as academic outcomes, leadership, self-efficacy, critical thinking, and interpersonal development.

Despite these encouraging findings, the existing evidence is scattered. Studies vary widely in educational level, sample size, subject area, intervention type, duration, measurement instruments and outcome

variables. Some studies focus mainly on academic achievement, while others investigate social or professional skills. Some studies use experimental or quasi-experimental designs, whereas others use qualitative case studies, interviews, surveys or longitudinal approaches. A systematic synthesis is therefore required to establish the overall direction of evidence and to identify the areas where experiential learning has the most empirical support.

The present review was thus conducted to integrate empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of experiential learning and to identify trends, consistencies, methodological limitations and research gaps in the existing literature.

Objectives of the Review

The review was guided by the following objectives:

1. To synthesise empirical studies examining the effectiveness of experiential learning in different educational settings.
2. To examine the influence of experiential learning on academic and cognitive outcomes.
3. To analyse evidence regarding the influence of experiential learning on interpersonal, social, and professional competencies.
4. To identify the experiential learning strategies most frequently used in previous research.
5. To examine methodological trends in the existing literature.
6. To identify gaps requiring further empirical investigation, particularly in secondary education and Indian educational settings.
7. To assess the extent to which the available evidence supports experiential learning as an alternative or complement to conventional teaching.

Research Questions

The review addressed the following questions:

1. What is the overall direction of empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of experiential learning?
2. What academic and cognitive outcomes are associated with experiential learning?
3. What interpersonal and social outcomes are associated with experiential learning?
4. Which experiential learning strategies have been investigated most frequently?
5. What educational levels and subject areas have received the greatest research attention?
6. What methodological limitations characterise the existing evidence?
7. What research gaps remain concerning experiential learning among secondary school students?

Theoretical Foundation

Experiential Learning Theory

The main theoretical framework for interpreting the studies reviewed here is Experiential Learning Theory. Learning is perceived within the theory as the process of knowledge construction through the transformation of experience. The learner is not just exposed to information but rather actively experiences and reflects upon that experience, develops conceptual understanding, and then applies that understanding to succeeding situations.

The four-stage cycle generally consists of the following:

Concrete Experience → Reflective Observation → Abstract Conceptualisation → Active Experimentation

Concrete experience refers to direct, hands-on involvement in an activity or situation. The reflective observer is the learner who observes and reflects on what happened. Abstract conceptualisation involves deriving principles, explanations or theoretical understanding from experience. Active Experimentation is when learners use their new understanding in another situation.

This cyclic structure is especially relevant to contemporary pedagogy, as it establishes a connection between theory and practice. A good example is a study on laboratory education by Abdulwahed and Nagy (2009). Their model integrated hands-on, virtual and remote laboratory experiences with activities related to Kolb's cycle. The authors reported significantly increased learning outcomes in the experimental group. Experiential learning thus provides a theoretical basis for understanding why activities such as laboratory work, field trips, simulations, role plays, project work, community service, workplace learning, and problem-based activities may lead to learning outcomes that are different from those that result from passive instruction.

Method**Research Design**

This study used a systematic review and quantitative evidence synthesis approach. The review was largely based on the 30 empirical studies found in the researcher's existing review of related literature. The studies cover the period 2000 to 2025 and include studies in secondary education, higher education, professional education, vocational education and community-based learning contexts.

The study does not impute or estimate missing effect sizes because the available source summaries do not consistently report the numeric information needed to calculate a common effect-size statistic. Rather, the analysis focuses on direction and consistency of findings, methodological features, outcome domains, and patterns of results across studies.

Study Selection

The studies included in the review:

examined an experiential or largely experience-based instructional approach; involved students or trainees in an educational or training context; explored at least one educational, cognitive, behavioural, social, interpersonal, or professional outcome; presented empirical results; were included in the researcher's current literature review; were published from 2000 to 2025. Studies were included if they provided direct insight into the relationship between experiential learning and student outcomes.

Included Studies

The review included 30 studies. These include research by Kareem and Ewuola (2025), Jain and Datt (2025), Kang et al. (2022), Thote (2021), Sumarmi et al. (2020), Burch et al. (2019), Prastawa et al. (2019), Voulkelatou (2019), Bitting et al. (2018), Penthala (2018), Fede et al. (2018), Hill (2017), Parray and Kumar (2017), Lopes et al. (2015), Kit et al. (2015), Alexandar and Poyyamoli (2014), Enrique et al. (2013), Okechukwu (2013), Chan (2012), Matthew (2012), Bala (2011), Lee (2010), Zimmerman (2010), Abdulwahed and Nagy (2009), Lee (2008), Gafoor and Shemi (2007), Jiusto and Di Biasio (2006), Boaler (2002), Wright (2000), and Astin et al. (2000). Summaries of the full studies are in the uploaded review.

Data Extraction

The following information was extracted from the available descriptions of the studies:

author and year; educational context; sample characteristics, if reported; research design; experiential strategy; comparison condition, if reported; outcome variables; major findings; and implications for teaching and learning.

The synthesis was organised around academic/cognitive outcomes and interpersonal/social/professional outcomes.

Analytical Approach

The present analysis draws on structured quantitative evidence synthesis. This includes study counting by outcome direction, comparison of methodological designs and thematic categorisation of findings.

Results

General Characteristics of the Studies

The studies reviewed show a significant heterogeneity. Research was conducted in secondary schools, universities, vocational and professional training programs and community settings. The subjects were Biology, Chemistry, Mathematics, environmental education, geoscience, engineering, hospitality, counselling, sociology, design and leadership.

The diversity of educational environments illustrates the flexibility of experiential learning. Experiential learning appears to be a pedagogical model that can be applied across disciplines rather than as a discipline-specific approach.

A high proportion of studies used experimental or quasi-experimental methods. For instance, a quasi-experiment design was employed by Kareem and Ewuola (2025) with 95 Biology students and a pre-test/post-test control group design was used by Sumarmi et al. (2020) with 168 students. Thote (2021) used an experimental design with 40 students of Chemistry while Okechukwu (2013) used a quasi-experimental design with 74 students of Biology in secondary schools.

Overall, the experimental studies tended to report more favourable outcomes for students in experiential learning groups than for students in conventional instruction groups.

Academic Achievement and Learning Outcomes

Academic achievement was one of the most frequently studied outcomes. The evidence from the reviewed studies consistently supported experiential learning.

Kareem and Ewuola (2025) found that the students taught by experiential instruction performed better in Biology. Thote (2021) found that Chemistry students had better academic performance and conceptual clarity. Sumarmi et al. (2020) found better learning scores and disaster management skills. Okechukwu (2013) also found improved Biology achievement thru experiential learning.

Boaler (2002) found project-based experiential learning to be associated with better conceptual understanding, problem-solving and application in mathematics. This is a particularly important finding

because mathematics is often taught using abstract symbolic procedures, and project-based experiences allow for connections between mathematical concepts and meaningful problems.

The value of experiential learning in engineering laboratory education was also demonstrated by Abdulwahed and Nagy (2009). Their model integrated virtual, remote and hands-on laboratory experiences with Kolb's learning cycle and resulted in improved learning outcomes.

Taken together, these results indicate that experiential learning can enhance both declarative and applied knowledge.

Conceptual Understanding and Higher-Order Thinking

The benefits of experiential learning are much more than examination results. Research suggests that students develop better conceptual understanding through the active manipulation of information and the application of concepts to meaningful situations.

Jain and Datt (2025) reported an improvement in higher-order thinking and cognitive learning outcomes in interior design education. Experiential learning with Google Earth improved spatial understanding and conceptual knowledge (Bitting et al., 2018).

Lee (2010) reported that simulation games supported a range of learning objectives associated with Bloom's taxonomy and improved decision-making and conceptual understanding. Likewise, Wright (2000) found that short-term experiential activities like field trips and observations can enhance analytical reflection and conceptual understanding when structured reflection is offered.

These findings offer support for the proposition that experiential learning leads to deeper learning, as the students have to interpret, evaluate, apply and at times create knowledge rather than merely recall information.

Student Engagement

Another important outcome is student engagement. Experiential learning often calls for learners to actively participate in the learning process. This is contrasted to instructional approaches where students mainly listen to lectures or reproduce information.

Jain and Datt (2025) found that students exposed to makers' laboratory experiential learning show higher engagement. Similarly, Hill (2017) found increased student engagement in nursing education when theoretical lectures were combined with practical clinical experiences.

Thus, experiential activities may promote engagement by providing students with meaningful tasks, opportunities to make decisions, immediate feedback, and a tangible link between learning and real-world applications.

Practical and Professional Competencies

A strong pattern across the reviewed studies was the development of practical and professional competencies.

Prastawa et al. (2019) found that experiential learning based on creative industry practices improved entrepreneurial competencies and vocational skills among vocational high school students. Lee (2008) found that industry-based experiential learning in hospitality education improved students' understanding of organisational functioning, leadership, adaptability, and initiative.

Kit et al. (2015) found that role-play and instructor feedback improved counselling skills, reflective learning, and professional understanding among trainee educational psychologists.

These findings suggest that experiential learning may be especially appropriate where education involves performance-based competencies. When learners practise skills in situations resembling authentic professional contexts, they are able to connect abstract principles with actual performance.

Interpersonal and Social Skills

The evidence on interpersonal skills is of special relevance to contemporary education. While fewer studies specifically measured interpersonal skills than academic outcomes, some studies reported positive effects.

Voulkelatou (2019) found that project teaching enhanced social interaction, attitudes, and emotional engagement. Experiential learning in the workplace improved communication, responsibility, and interaction with diverse populations (Fede et al., 2018).

According to Lopes et al. (2015) an interpersonal professional development program that combined theoretical and practical interaction training resulted in improvements in communication, empathy, assertiveness and leadership. Likewise, Parray and Kumar (2017) reported improvements in assertiveness, academic performance and psychological well-being after structured assertiveness training.

Astin et al. (2000) offer particularly broad evidence from service learning. They studied a large national sample of college students in a longitudinal study and looked at academic, cognitive, affective, leadership, self-efficacy, and service-related outcomes.

The evidence therefore suggests that experiential learning can generate social learning opportunities in which students practise communication, cooperation, leadership, negotiation, listening, and responsibility.

2. Discussion

Overall, the evidence from the studies reviewed supports the notion that experiential learning is a positive pedagogical approach.

The direction of findings is remarkably consistent, although effect sizes cannot be statistically pooled from available source summaries.

The most frequently cited benefit is improved academic learning. Experiential learning seems especially effective when the subject matter involves application, interpretation, problem-solving or practical performance. The positive outcomes reported in Biology, Chemistry, Mathematics, engineering, environmental education, geoscience, and professional education indicate that experiential learning is not discipline-specific.

The second important finding was that experiential learning facilitates deeper and meaningful learning. Experiential activities involve learners in situations where they must interpret experience, reflect on outcomes, make connections between experience and concepts, and apply knowledge. This corresponds closely with the four stages of experiential learning theory.

The third finding is concerning engagement. Experiential learning turns the classroom from a largely receptive environment into a participatory environment. Students are active participants in the learning process. Projects, simulations, field experiences, role plays, laboratory work, and community-based learning can offer authentic contexts that make learning more meaningful.

A fourth finding was the development of practical skills. This is especially the case for vocational and professional education. The studies in engineering, hospitality, counselling, entrepreneurship, nursing and workplace learning demonstrate how experiential activities can assist in bridging the gap between theory-based preparation and actual performance.

A fifth outcome, which is relatively under-researched, relates to interpersonal skills. Communication, cooperation, leadership, empathy, assertiveness, listening, responsibility and social interaction are increasingly recognised as important educational outcomes. However, the source review suggests that few studies directly address these competencies in secondary school students.

This is an important research opportunity. If experiential learning is to prepare students to participate in the real world, it should not be evaluated only by academic test scores. Interpersonal competence is an important factor of all-round development.

3. Methodological Heterogeneity

However, there is considerable heterogeneity across the studies despite the positive direction of the findings. The number of subjects examined ranges from very small groups, such as the eight trainees examined by Kit et al. (2015), to very large longitudinal samples, such as the 22,236 college students examined by Astin et al. (2000).

The interventions are also quite different. Experiential learning may include laboratory activities, role play, project-based learning, service learning, simulation games, workplace experience, field activities, virtual environments or community projects. All these approaches highlight experience but may not be assumed necessarily to have the same effects.

Outcome measures are also variable. Some studies use achievement tests; some use questionnaires, interviews, observations, self-efficacy scales, life-skills measures, or professional assessments. Therefore, direct comparison of outcomes necessitates careful attention to construct equivalence.

The diversity of designs highlights the need to report adequate statistical information in future studies. Full reporting of sample sizes, means, standard deviations, test statistics, confidence intervals and effect sizes would allow future researchers to calculate standardised effect sizes and conduct more accurate statistical meta-analyses.

Research Gaps

The review highlights a number of important gaps.

First, most of the studies are limited to academic achievement, and relatively few studies examine both academic and interpersonal development at the same time.

Second, much of the literature takes place in higher education or professional training. Evidence on regular secondary school classrooms remains relatively scarce.

Thirdly, interpersonal skills such as assertiveness, facilitation, counselling, communication and peer interaction have not been studied enough in secondary school students.

Fourth, very few studies have developed and experimentally tested detailed experiential teaching modules, especially for school science.

Fifth, while experiential learning is often contrasted with traditional teaching, there is a need for larger, well-controlled studies with randomisation where possible.

Sixth, the literature is geographically unbalanced. The source review points out the limited research conducted in Indian secondary schools compared to international contexts.

Seventh, many studies examine short-term outcomes. Longitudinal studies are necessary to ascertain if the benefits of experiential learning continue over time.

Eighth, the full cycle of experience is not applied consistently. Some interventions are called activity-based or project-based but do not systematically integrate reflection, conceptualisation and active experimentation.

Implications for Educational Practice

The findings have implications for teachers and educational institutions.

First, teachers should integrate meaningful experiences into normal classroom instruction. Experiential learning doesn't always mean expensive technology or fancy infrastructure. Simple activities, demonstrations, field observations, group projects, role plays, problem-solving tasks, peer teaching and reflective discussions can all provide meaningful experiences.

Second, experience needs to be followed by reflection. Experiential learning is not just a function of the activity itself. Teachers need to provide a structure for students to say what happened, why it happened, what they learnt and how they can use the learning elsewhere.

Third, experiential learning must be linked to curriculum goals. Activities shouldn't be just for fun. All experiences should have clearly defined learning outcomes.

Fourth, the assessment should not just be done in the traditional written examinations. Performance tasks, projects, reflective journals, presentations, peer assessment, observation and practical demonstrations can provide richer evidence of learning.

Fifth, interpersonal skills can be developed through experiential learning. Group projects can be deliberately designed to give students practice in communication, cooperation, leadership, negotiation and responsibility.

Implications for Secondary Science Education

The importance of the results for secondary science education is particularly emphasised. Science is an experiential process in the sense that scientific knowledge is developed through observation, experimentation, investigation, evidence and reasoning.

Traditional science teaching can be focused on the memorisation of facts and procedures. Experiential approaches provide students with opportunities to explore phenomena, ask questions, collect evidence, make sense of observations, and use scientific concepts.

The reviewed studies in Biology, Chemistry, environmental education, geoscience and laboratory education support such an approach. Thote (2021) found that achievement and conceptual clarity in Chemistry improved through experiential activities; Okechukwu (2013) reported improved achievement in Biology.

Thus, experiential science teaching for secondary school students may include experiments, investigations of the local environment, community projects, science models, simulations, field observations, collaborative problem-solving and reflective discussion.

Implications for Indian Education

The findings also have implications for the Indian educational context, in which there is a growing focus on competency-based education, experiential learning, multidisciplinary learning, and holistic student development.

However, the literature reviewed suggests that evidence from Indian secondary schools is limited. Hence, it is important for Indian researchers to carry out well-designed experimental studies in different school settings such as government and private schools, urban and rural settings, and different socio-economic groups.

Special emphasis should be placed on school-level implementation, since pedagogical approaches that work in universities may not necessarily lead to the same results among younger learners.

Recommendations for Future Meta-Analytic Research

Future studies should collect complete quantitative data from each eligible study. At least researchers should extract sample size, means, standard deviations, and comparison-group information. If these are not reported, abstract t , F , correlation coefficients, odds ratios, or effect sizes are missing.

A common metric like Hedges' g would be appropriate for comparing continuous outcomes across studies that use different measurement scales. If there is substantial variation in interventions and populations, a random-effects model would be appropriate. Statistical heterogeneity could be evaluated by using Cochran's Q , I^2 , and the estimates of between-study variance.

The analyses conducted by moderators could investigate if the impact of experiential learning varies based on educational level, subject area, intervention type, duration, geographical region, sample characteristics, or outcome domain.

The number of studies should be sufficient to allow for assessment of publication bias using appropriate methods. Funnel plots and statistical tests may provide information on possible small-study effects. Such analyses should be interpreted with caution.

Most importantly, future researchers need to distinguish between experiential learning as a theoretical framework and individual instructional strategies such as project-based learning, simulation, role play, laboratory learning, service learning and field-based learning. These approaches may have similar experiential qualities but different effects.

4. Conclusion

The systematic synthesis of 30 studies provides strong evidence for the effectiveness of experiential learning as a learner-centred pedagogical approach. Across levels of education and disciplines,

experiential learning was consistently associated with improvements in academic achievement, conceptual understanding, higher-order thinking, engagement, problem solving, practical competencies, professional skills, communication, leadership, self-efficacy, and social development.

This evidence is particularly strong in studies that employ experimental and quasi-experimental designs. Research in biology, chemistry, mathematics, engineering, environmental education, vocational education, nursing, hospitality, counselling, and service learning has shown the flexibility of experiential approaches. For example, the use of a mix of hands-on, virtual, and remote experiences to implement Kolb's experiential learning cycle is supported empirically by the lab study of Abdulwahed and Nagy. Likewise, the broader cognitive and affective potential of experience-based service learning is demonstrated by the large longitudinal study of Astin et al. .

However, the evidence base remains flawed by methodological shortcomings. There is a paucity of studies in regular secondary school classrooms in Indian educational setting with science education and interpersonal skills as well defined outcome variables. This review points out these gaps and stresses the need for research on the synergistic effects of experiential learning on academic and interpersonal development.

These findings thus lend support to a broader conception of educational effectiveness. We should not judge experiential learning solely by exam scores. It can also contribute to communication, cooperation, confidence, leadership, problem solving, reflection and application of knowledge and this is equally important.

However, the study summaries provided do not provide sufficient numerical data to report a pooled statistical effect size in the current study. This would lead to results that are not supported. The appropriate next step for a publication that claims to have conducted a formal meta-analysis is to obtain the original articles and extract the necessary statistical information from each study.

All in all, the current evidence suggests that experiential learning is a promising pedagogical approach to facilitate meaningful, active, applied, and holistic learning. Its greatest potential may not be in improving what students know, but in helping them better understand how knowledge can be used, reflected upon, communicated and applied in authentic situations.

References

1. Abdulwahed, M., & Nagy, Z. K. (2009). Applying Kolb's experiential learning cycle for laboratory education. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 98(3), 283–294. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2009.tb01025.x>
2. Alexandar, R., & Poyyamoli, G. (2014). The effectiveness of environmental education for sustainable development based on active teaching and learning at high-school level: A case study from Puducherry and Cuddalore regions, India. *Journal of Sustainability Education*, 7(1), 1–20.
3. Astin, A. W., Vogelgesang, L. J., Ikeda, E. K., & Yee, J. A. (2000). How service learning affects students. Higher Education Research Institute, University of California, Los Angeles.
4. Bitting, K. S., McCartney, M. J., Denning, K. R., & Roberts, J. A. (2018). Conceptual learning outcomes of virtual experiential learning. *Research in Science Education*, 48(3), 533–548.

5. Boaler, J. (2002). *Experiencing school mathematics: Traditional and reform approaches to teaching and their impact on student learning*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
6. Burch, G. F., Giambatista, R. C., Batchelor, J. H., Burch, J. J., Hoover, J. D., & Heller, N. A. (2019). A meta-analysis of experiential learning. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, 17(3), 239–273. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dsji.12188>
7. Chan, C. K. Y. (2012). Exploring an experiential learning project through Kolb's learning theory using a qualitative research method. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 37(4), 405–415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2012.706596>
8. Fede, J. H., Gorman, K. S., & Cimini, M. E. (2018). Student employment as a model for experiential learning. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 41(1), 107–124. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053825917747902>
9. Gafoor, A. K., & Shemi, C. (2007). Impact of study skills training on achievement in biology of standard VIII students. *E-Journal of the All India Association for Educational Research*, 19(3–4), 45–48.
10. Hill, B. (2017). Research into experiential learning in nurse education. *British Journal of Nursing*, 26(16), 932–938. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2017.26.16.932>
11. Jain, R., & Datt, S. (2025). Experiential learning and student engagement. *i-manager's Journal on Educational Psychology*, 19(1), 28–40.
12. Jiusto, S., & DiBiasio, D. (2006). Experiential learning environments: Do they prepare our students to be self-directed, lifelong learners? *Journal of Engineering Education*, 95(3), 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2006.tb00892.x>
13. Kang, J., Roestel, N. M. E., & Girouard, A. (2022). Experiential learning to teach user experience in higher education in past 20 years: A scoping review. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 4, 812907. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2022.812907>
14. Kareem, A. O., & Ewuola, T. G. (2025). Effectiveness of peer-tutoring and experiential instructional strategies in improving secondary school Biology students' learning outcomes. *Acta Educationis Generalis*, 15(2), 21–37.
15. Kit, P. L., Garcés-Bacsal, R. M., & Burgetova, K. (2015). Making the road by walking: Using role-play and instructor feedback to teach basic counseling skills to Singaporean trainee educational psychologists. *International Journal of School & Educational Psychology*, 3(4), 241–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21683603.2015.1070778>
16. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice-Hall.
17. Lee, A. (2010). Simulation games: Shifting from conceptual learning to experiential learning. *Blended Learning in Practice*, July, 36–49.
18. Lee, S. (2008). Increasing student learning: A comparison of students' perceptions of learning in the classroom environment and their industry-based experiential learning assignments. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 7(4), 37–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15313220802033310>
19. Okechukwu, A. (2013). Effects of experiential learning strategy on secondary school students' achievement in biology [Paper presentation]. World Conference on Science and Technology Education, Sarawak, Malaysia.

20. Parray, W. M., & Kumar, S. (2017). Impact of assertiveness training on the level of assertiveness, self-esteem, stress, psychological well-being and academic achievement of adolescents. *Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing*, 8(12), 1476–1480.
21. Prastawa, S., Akhyar, M., Gunarhadi, & Suharno. (2020). The effectiveness of experiential learning based on creative industry to improve competency of entrepreneurship of vocational high school students. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education (ICLIQE 2019)* (pp. 25–33). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200129.004>
22. Sumarmi, S., et al. (2020). Experiential learning models. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 8(1), 61–85.
23. Thote, P., & S., G. (2021). Outcome based learning: The effect of experiential learning activities on the attainment of specific learning outcome among senior secondary school students. *International Journal of Research - GRANTHAALAYAH*, 9(4), 28–42.
<https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v9.i4.2021.3824>
24. Voulkelatou, G. (2019). **[Complete bibliographic information needs to be verified before inclusion.]**
25. Wright, M. C. (2000). Getting more out of less: The benefits of short-term experiential learning in undergraduate sociology courses. *Teaching Sociology*, 28(2), 116–126.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1319259>