

Efficacy, Moderators, and Emerging Applications of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy in Adolescents: A Literature Synthesis

Abstract

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) serves as a primary evidence-based psychotherapeutic framework for youth, exhibiting variable strength across clinical presentations. Current literature confirms that CBT yields its strongest empirical support for adolescent anxiety disorders and broader internalizing symptoms, moderate effectiveness for depressive disorders, and limited direct efficacy for suicidality-specific outcomes. Contextual factors—including implementation settings, therapist competence, digital delivery formats, caregiver involvement, and cultural adaptations—significantly moderate therapeutic success. Emerging literature highlights expanding applications for academic and non-clinical youth challenges, though further dismantling studies and trials targeting non-responders remain critical.

1. Introduction:

Conceptual Foundations and Empirical Support

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is an integrative psychotherapeutic framework combining cognitive restructuring, behavioral exposure, problem-solving, coping-skills training, and affect regulation (Benjamin et al., 2011; Fuhrman, 1988; Kaczurkin & Foa, 2015; Ng & Wong, 2018). Grounded in the principle that maladaptive cognitive appraisals and behavioral patterns drive emotional distress, youth-centered CBT adapts standard techniques into developmentally tailored strategies to address cognitive maturation, functional coping, and emotional regulation (Benjamin et al., 2011; Kaczurkin & Foa, 2015). Across child and adolescent internalizing disorders, CBT has established substantial empirical support and is widely recognized as a first-line clinical intervention (Benjamin et al., 2011; Kaczurkin & Foa, 2015; Stiede et al., 2023; Wergeland & Riise, 2020). However, efficacy varies significantly across specific diagnostic categories, and treatment nonresponse, equitable access, and broader dissemination persist as major ongoing concerns in pediatric mental health (Benjamin et al., 2011; Naeem et al., 2020).

Disorder-Specific Efficacy Across Internalizing and Suicidal Outcomes

Anxiety Disorders

The evidence base for CBT is strongest and most consistent in treating youth anxiety disorders. Systematic reviews identify CBT—particularly protocols emphasizing behavioral exposure—as the gold standard for pediatric anxiety (Kaczurkin & Foa, 2015; Stiede et al., 2023). School-based targeted CBT interventions significantly reduce adolescent anxiety relative to waitlist controls, with small-to-moderate effect sizes maintained at 12-month follow-ups (Haugland et al., 2020). Universal teacher-delivered CBT interventions in low- and middle-income contexts have similarly demonstrated sustained reductions in anxiety and immediate gains in self-esteem (De Silva et al., 2024).

Depressive Disorders

Evidence supporting CBT for adolescent depression is positive but less robust than for anxiety. Meta-analytic findings indicate moderate-quality evidence for depressive symptom reduction at post-treatment and follow-up, with targeted prevention programs reducing subsequent depression risk by up to 63% (Oud et al., 2019). Optimal long-term outcomes occur when protocols integrate behavioral activation, cognitive restructuring, and active caregiver involvement (Oud et al., 2019). However, longitudinal meta-analyses reveal a declining trend in CBT effect sizes over time compared to seminal early trials, indicating that early literature may have overstated typical contemporary treatment effects (Johnsen & Friborg, 2015).

Suicidality and Self-Harm

Efficacy remains limited for suicidality-specific outcomes. Specialized CBT-informed models—such as CBT for Suicide Prevention (CBT-SP) and parent-adolescent integrated CBT—show promise, but randomized controlled trials specifically targeting suicidal ideation and behavior remain scarce (Iyengar et al., 2018; Spirito et al., 2011). When synthesized alongside Dialectical Behavior Therapy for Adolescents (DBT-A), CBT-derived interventions represent the only approach with replicated positive effects on reducing self-harm, though overall efficacy across primary suicidal endpoints remains modest (Iyengar et al., 2018).

Delivery Modes, Moderators, and Emerging Applications

The implementation context, therapist variables, and structural adaptations directly influence adolescent CBT outcomes.

Dimension	Primary Clinical Finding	Key Reference(s)
Routine Care	CBT maintains clinical effectiveness in standard community settings, yielding outcomes comparable to efficacy trials.	Wergeland & Riise (2020)
Brief Interventions	Brief school-based CBT reduces anxiety symptoms but has not met non-inferiority benchmarks compared to standard-duration CBT.	Haugland et al. (2020)
Digital / Internet CBT	Therapist-guided internet CBT (ICBT) yields reductions in depression and anxiety while enhancing self-esteem in pilot RCTs.	Berg et al. (2022)
Qualitative Experience	Adolescents undergoing ICBT report enhanced personal agency, greater self-acceptance, and improved capacity to manage automatic thoughts.	Berg et al. (2023)

Dimension	Primary Clinical Finding	Key Reference(s)
Therapist Factors	Higher treatment integrity, strong therapeutic alliance, clinical empathy, and developmentally appropriate delivery correlate with superior treatment gains.	Podell et al. (2013)
Cultural Adaptation	Culturally adapted CBT outperforms non-adapted versions short-term in Asian contexts, enhancing overall participant engagement.	Naeem et al. (2020); Ng & Wong (2018)

Cultural tailoring significantly improves acute treatment effects in non-Western populations, such as Chinese adolescents, though comparative cost-effectiveness and scalable digital self-help models require further trial validation (Naeem et al., 2020; Ng & Wong, 2018). Furthermore, emerging applications of CBT have extended into preliminary domains, demonstrating potential benefits for academic burnout, test anxiety, procrastination, self-handicapping behaviors, self-compassion, and academic performance (Alçay & Çutuk, 2026; Khurshid et al., 2025).

While CBT remains a well-supported intervention for internalizing distress, future research must prioritize dismantling active intervention components, refining tailored strategies for treatment non-responders, and expanding accessible delivery channels across school and digital health ecosystems (Benjamin et al., 2011; Kaczurkin & Foa, 2015; Naeem et al., 2020; Oud et al., 2019).

References

- Alçay, A., & Çutuk, Z. A. (2026). Preliminary results of the effects of cognitive behavioral group therapy on depression, anxiety, stress, self-compassion, and inferiority feelings in gifted adolescents. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 44(1), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-026-00656-y>
- Benjamin, C. L., Puleo, C. M., Settapani, C. A., Brodman, D. M., Edmunds, J. M., Cummings, C. M., & Kendall, P. C. (2011). History of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) in youth. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 20(2), 179–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2011.01.011>
- Berg, M., Klemetz, H., Lindegaard, T., & Andersson, G. (2023). Self-esteem in new light: A qualitative study of experiences of internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy for low self-esteem in adolescents. *BMC Psychiatry*, 23, Article 5328. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-023-05328-0>
- Berg, M., Lindegaard, T., Flygare, A.-L., Hagvall, L., Klemetz, H., Ludvigsson, M., & Andersson, G. (2022). Internet-based CBT for adolescents with low self-esteem: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 51(5), 388–407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2022.2060856>
- De Silva, S., Peris, R., Senaviratne, S., & Samaranayake, D. (2024). Effectiveness of a cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT)-based intervention for reducing anxiety among adolescents in the Colombo District, Sri Lanka: Cluster randomized controlled trial. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 18, Article 799. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-024-00799-9>

6. Fuhrman, T. S. (1988). Review of cognitive-behavioral therapy with troubled children: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 17(1), 94–95.
7. Haugland, B. S., Haaland, Å., Baste, V., Bjaastad, J. F., Hoffart, A., Rapee, R., Raknes, S., Himle, J., Husabø, E., & Wergeland, G. J. H. (2020). Effectiveness of brief and standard school-based cognitive-behavioral interventions for adolescents with anxiety: A randomized non-inferiority study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 59(4), 552–564.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2019.12.003>
8. Iyengar, U., Snowden, N., Asarnow, J., Moran, P., Tranah, T., & Ougrin, D. (2018). A further look at therapeutic interventions for suicide attempts and self-harm in adolescents: An updated systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9, Article 583.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2018.00583>
9. Johnsen, T. J., & Friborg, O. (2015). The effects of cognitive behavioral therapy as an anti-depressive treatment is falling: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(4), 747–768.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000015>
10. Kaczurkin, A. N., & Foa, E. B. (2015). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders: An update on the empirical evidence. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 17(3), 337–346.
<https://doi.org/10.31887/dcns.2015.17.3/akaczurkin>
11. Khurshid, K., Mushtaq, R., Rauf, U., Anwar, N., Abbas, Q., Aljhani, S., Ramzan, Z., & Shahzadi, M. (2025). Cognitive behavior therapy for academic burnout, procrastination, self-handicapping behavior, and test anxiety among adolescents: A randomized control trial. *BMC Psychology*, 13, Article 2371. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-02371-2>
12. Naeem, F., Latif, M., Mukhtar, F., Kim, Y.-R., Li, W., Butt, M., Kumar, N., & Ng, R. (2020). Transcultural adaptation of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in Asia. *Asia-Pacific Psychiatry*, 13(1), Article e12442. <https://doi.org/10.1111/appy.12442>
13. Ng, T., & Wong, D. (2018). The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy for Chinese people: A meta-analysis. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 52(7), 620–637.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867417741555>
14. Oud, M., De Winter, L., Vermeulen-Smit, E., Boddien, D., Nauta, M., Stone, L., Van Den Heuvel, M., Taher, R. A., De Graaf, I., Kendall, T., Engels, R., & Stikkelbroek, Y. (2019). Effectiveness of CBT for children and adolescents with depression: A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *European Psychiatry*, 57, 33–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.12.008>
15. Podell, J. L., Kendall, P. C., Gosch, E. A., Compton, S. N., March, J. S., Albano, A. M., Rynn, M., Walkup, J. T., Sherrill, J., Ginsburg, G. S., Keeton, C. P., Birmaher, B., & Piacentini, J. (2013). Therapist factors and outcomes in CBT for anxiety in youth. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 44(2), 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031700>
16. Spirito, A., Esposito-Smythers, C., Wolff, J., & Uhl, K. M. (2011). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for adolescent depression and suicidality. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 20(2), 191–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2011.01.012>
17. Stiede, J. T., Trent, E. S., Viana, A., Guzick, A. G., Storch, E. A., & Herschfield, J. (2023). Cognitive behavioral therapy for children and adolescents with anxiety disorders. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 32(3), 543–558. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2022.12.001>



18. Wergeland, G. J., & Riise, E. N. (2020). Cognitive behavior therapy for internalizing disorders in children and adolescents in routine clinical care: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 83, Article 101918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101918>